	BEFORE THE
APPLI CALIFORNIA IN OR	TIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE CATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO THE ISTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE GANIZED PURSUANT TO THE STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
	REGULAR MEETING
LOCATION:	VIA ZOOM
DATE:	JULY 28, 2022 9 A.M.
	9 A.M.
REPORTER:	BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR CSR. NO. 7152
	CSR. NO. 7152
FILE NO.:	2022-30

INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER	4
2. ROLL CALL	4
3. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT	6
4. PRESIDENT'S REPORT	23
CONSENT CALENDAR	
5. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES FOR JUNE 23, 2022 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING AND JUNE 27, 2022 ICOC MEETING	149
ACTION ITEMS	
6. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT (CLIN 1 OR 2)	52
7. CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO CLIN 2: FUNDING OPPORTUNITY FOR CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS CONCEPT PLAN (REFERENCE FILES FOR JULY 22, 2022 SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING)	90
8. CONSIDERATION OF CALIFORNIA CELL AND GENE THERAPY MANUFACTURING NETWORK CONCEPT PLAN	97
9. CONSIDERATION OF REVISION TO CIRM COMPENSATION LEVELS	130
10. CONSIDERATION OF CIRM'S ENDORSEMENT OF SB 987	79
2	

INDEX (CONT'D.)

CLOSED SESSION

NONE

11. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA, FINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION RELATING TO APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO AGENDA ITEM 6 (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE 125290.30(F) (3) (B) AND (C))

DISCUSSION ITEMS

12.	PUBLIC COMMENT	154

13. ADJOURNMENT 161

	BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152
1	JULY 28, 2022; 9 A.M.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY,
4	AND WELCOME TO THE JULY MEETING OF THE ICOC AND
5	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE. MARIA, WILL YOU
6	PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
8	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED ABOUSALEM.
10	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
12	DR. BARRETT: PRESENT.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL. GEORGE
14	BLUMENTHAL.
15	DR. BLUMENTHAL: HERE.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL BOTCHAN.
17	DR. BOTCHAN: HERE.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
19	DR. BOXER: PRESENT.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
21	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: PRESENT.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
23	DR. DULIEGE: PRESENT.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
25	MS. DURON: PRESENT.
	4

	_	
1	MS	. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
2	DR	. FISCHER-COLBRIE: HERE.
3	MS	. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
4	DR	. FISHER: HERE.
5	MS	. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS. JUDY
6	GASSON.	
7	DR	. GASSON: HERE.
8	MS	. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
9	DR	. GOLDSTEIN: HERE.
10	MS	. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
11	DR	. HIGGINS: HERE.
12	MS	. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD. RICH
13	LAJARA.	
14	MR	. LAJARA: HERE.
15	MS	. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
16	DR	. LEVITT: HERE.
17	MS	. BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
18	DR	. LO: HERE.
19	MS	. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS. SHLOMO
20	MELMED.	
21	DR	. MELMED: HERE.
22	MS	. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
23	DR	. MIASKOWSKI: GOOD MORNING.
24	MS	. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
25	ADRIANA PADI	LLA.
		5
		COURT CANDROINT IDAILO 02064
	100	1117 NIN A 177 STUDTE CARTINDANCE IIVATIA O'OCA

1	DR. PADILLA: HERE.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA. AL ROWLETT.
3	MR. ROWLETT: HERE.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: BARRY SELICK.
5	DR. SELICK: HERE.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
7	DR. SOUTHARD: HERE.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
9	DR. STAMOS: HERE.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
13	MR. TORRES: PRESENT.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
15	DR. VUORI: HERE.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON.
17	J.T., WE HAVE A QUORUM.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
19	MARIA.
20	WE'LL START WITH THE CHAIR'S REPORT.
21	COUPLE OF THINGS ON THAT. JUST WANTED TO TELL
22	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD THAT I SPENT TUESDAY THROUGH
23	THURSDAY DOWN IN SAN DIEGO AT OUR FIRST IN-PERSON
24	BRIDGES CONFERENCE SINCE 2019 AND CAN REPORT TO THE
25	BOARD THAT THERE WAS JUST A TREMENDOUS LEVEL OF
	6
	v v

1	ENTHUSIASM ON THE PART OF ALL THE PARTICIPANTS.
2	THEY WERE SO HAPPY TO BE BACK TOGETHER AND THAT, AS
3	ALWAYS, THE AGENDA WAS FIRST-RATE. A NUMBER OF
4	MEMBERS OF THE CIRM TEAM GAVE TALKS. THERE WERE
5	SEVERAL SCIENTIFIC TALKS THAT WERE VERY, VERY
6	INTERESTING, STRAIGHT OUT OF ISSCR, AS WELL AS ON
7	THE THIRD DAY A PAIR OF PANELS, ONE AN ADVOCACY
8	PANEL CHAIRED BY KATIE SHARIFY FROM OUR
9	COMMUNICATIONS TEAM AND FEATURING OUR OWN YSABEL
10	DURON, WHO I'M GOING TO TURN TO IN A SECOND FOR A
11	FEW COMMENTS ON THAT, AND THEN A TERRIFIC SCIENCE
12	COMMUNICATIONS/SOCIAL MEDIA DISCUSSION BY KATIE AND
13	ESTEBAN CORTEZ ALSO FROM OUR COMMUNICATIONS TEAM.
14	AS ALWAYS AT THESE MEETINGS THERE WERE
15	SORT OF SPECIFIC SIDEBAR DISCUSSIONS THAT WERE VERY
16	INTERESTING. ON THE FIRST DAY ONE OF THE Q AND A,
17	AFTER MARIA MILLAN HAD FINISHED HER PRESENTATION,
18	FEATURED A QUESTION OR MORE OF A STATEMENT ACTUALLY
19	FROM A GENTLEMAN FROM HUMBOLDT STATE WHO COMMENTED
20	THAT HE'S GONE OUT IN THE COMMUNITY WHERE HE GOES TO
21	SCHOOL AND TALKED TO PEOPLE ABOUT STEM CELL RESEARCH
22	AND HAS GOTTEN A NUMBER OF, THE WAY HE DESCRIBED IT
23	WAS ANGRY RESPONSES AND QUESTIONING ABOUT THE
24	VALIDITY OF IT AND COMMENTS ABOUT STEM CELL CLINICS.
25	AND ON SORT OF FURTHER PRESSING, HE DISCOVERED THAT

7

-	
1	MOST OF THESE PEOPLE GOT THEIR INFORMATION ON STEM
2	CELL TECHNOLOGY FROM JOE RHOGAN.
3	AND SO WE HAD AT THE DINNER AFTERWARDS,
4	KATIE AND ESTEBAN AND I HAD A MORE LENGTHY
5	DISCUSSION WITH HIM. HE SAID IT WAS JUST REALLY
6	INTERESTING THAT THERE'S EVEN NOW THIS MANY YEARS
7	INTO CIRM THERE ARE STILL MANY PEOPLE OUT THERE THAT
8	HAVE MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT THE VALUE OF STEM CELL
9	RESEARCH AND INDEED STILL HAVE OPPOSITION TO IT.
10	AND SORT OF DROVE HOME THE REAL VALUE OF OUR EVER
11	INCREASING EMPHASIS ON COMMUNICATION TO THE PUBLIC.
12	SO THAT WAS ONE THING I THOUGHT WAS VERY
13	INTERESTING.
14	THE SECOND THING WAS I ALWAYS LIKE TO AT
15	THESE DINNERS GO AROUND, AS DID ALL THE MEMBERS OF
16	THE CIRM TEAM, SIT WITH THESE KIDS AND THE FOLKS WHO
17	RUN THEIR PROGRAMS. AND ONE GROUP I HAPPENED TO SIT
18	DOWN BY COINCIDENCE WAS THE BERKELEY CITY COLLEGE
19	GROUP AND CHATTED WITH THEM, FIVE OR SIX MEMBERS IN
20	THEIR COHORT, CHATTED WITH THEM ABOUT WHAT THEY WERE
21	DOING.
22	AND THEN THE NEXT MORNING HAD OCCASION TO
23	TALK TO THE WOMAN WHO RUNS THAT PROGRAM, AND I
24	DESCRIBE THIS BECAUSE IT'S INTERESTING. SHE
25	COMMENTED TO ME HOW AND SOME OF YOU MAY RECALL
	8

1	WHEN THESE PROGRAMS WERE UP FOR CONSIDERATION AND
2	APPROVAL BY THE BOARD, BERKELEY CITY COLLEGE'S
3	PROGRAM WAS NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING. THEY WERE
4	JUST BELOW THE FUNDING LINE PRINCIPALLY BECAUSE,
5	AMONG OTHER THINGS, THEY HADN'T BEEN IN THE MOST
6	RECENT LOOP OF AWARDS.

7 IN PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW WE COMMENTED THAT, GIVEN THAT THERE'S SO MANY FINE STUDENTS AT THAT 8 9 FACILITY AND THAT THEY WOULD BENEFIT GREATLY FROM HAVING THE PROGRAM, WE DECIDED TO ELEVATE BERKELEY 10 CITY COLLEGE TO THE PROGRAMS THAT WERE RECOMMENDED 11 FOR FUNDING. AND SHE WAS PROFUSE IN HER THANKS TO 12 THE BOARD ABOUT THAT AND JUST SAID THAT IT MEANT THE 13 14 WORLD TO THESE KIDS WHO WERE IN THE PROGRAM, THAT THEY'VE DONE FANTASTIC WORK. AND SO I JUST BRING 15 THIS TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION BECAUSE IT SPEAKS TO 16 17 THE VALUE OF PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW IN THINGS THAT WE CONSIDER IN OUR DISCUSSIONS FROM TIME TO TIME. 18

19 THE POSTERS AT THIS -- IF YOU'VE NEVER HAD 20 A CHANCE TO BE AT ONE OF THESE SESSIONS OR THE SPARK 21 PROGRAM, OUR HIGH SCHOOL END-OF-YEAR EVENT, WHICH IS 22 NEXT WEEK, THE POSTERS THAT THESE KIDS PUT TOGETHER 23 TO DESCRIBE THEIR WORK ARE JUST FANTASTIC IN THEIR 24 LEVEL OF COMPLEXITY AND DETAIL AND SOPHISTICATION. 25 AND THE TREMENDOUS LEVEL OF ENTHUSIASM THAT THEY

9

1	HAVE FOR HAVING HAD THE EXPERIENCE OVER THE PAST
2	YEAR WOULD STRONGLY RECOMMEND IN FUTURE YEARS, IF
3	YOU GET A CHANCE, TO GO TO ONE OF THESE END-OF-YEAR
4	MEETINGS BECAUSE THEY'RE SO WORTH SEEING WHAT THE
5	RESULT IS OF THIS PROGRAM.
6	SO THE OTHER THING JUST WANTED TO, AS I
7	SAID, ON THIS ADVOCACY PANEL THAT FEATURED YSABEL,
8	WANTED TO JUST GIVE HER A COUPLE MINUTES HERE TO
9	MAKE A FEW COMMENTS BECAUSE I THOUGHT THAT THAT
10	PANEL WAS TERRIFICALLY RECEIVED BY THE AUDIENCE AND
11	VERY VALUABLE. YSABEL, IF YOU COULD JUST GIVE A FEW
12	WORDS PLEASE.
13	MS. DURON: THANKS, J.T. I WANT TO ADD I
14	ALSO HEARD FROM THE DIRECTOR AT BERKELEY COLLEGE.
15	AND ONE OF THE THINGS I LEARNED WAS THAT THAT IS A
16	HISPANIC-SERVING INSTITUTION. AND SO SHE WAS
17	REMINDING ME THAT MANY OF THOSE ARE PEOPLE WHO ARE
18	30 YEARS OLD AND ABOVE WHO LEFT SCHOOL AND ARE
19	COMING BACK IN AN ATTEMPT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THEIR
20	ABILITY TO BE EMPLOYED AND TO, IN THIS CASE, FOLLOW
21	SCIENCE. SO I WAS REALLY THRILLED THAT SHE WAS
22	THRILLED, AND I'M SO GLAD THAT WE, THE BOARD, WERE
23	ABLE TO SEE ABOVE AND BEYOND JUST SCORES AND TO
24	RECOGNIZE THAT THE HUMANS BEHIND THIS ARE GOING TO
25	BE ABLE TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE GOING FORWARD AND WHO

10

1	COME FROM THESE COMMUNITIES THAT WE TALK ABOUT WHEN
2	WE TALK ABOUT INCLUSIVITY. SO I WAS THRILLED TO
3	HEAR FROM HER.
4	ABOUT THE PANEL ITSELF, THERE WERE ABOUT
5	125 STUDENTS IN THE ROOM, BOTH UNDERGRADUATE AND
6	MASTER'S, AND SOME WITH TERRIFIC SKILL SETS AND
7	TRAINING. AS J.T. MENTIONED, THE POSTERS, I WENT
8	AROUND LOOKING AT ALL OF THEM. AND I SAID TO A FEW
9	OF THEM, I CAN'T EVEN PRONOUNCE THE NAMES OF SOME OF
10	THE HEADINGS, SO THE SCIENCE IS WAY ABOVE MY PAY
11	GRADE, BUT CONGRATULATIONS FOR SUCH WONDERFUL WORK.
12	ONE OF THE THINGS I DID DO AS I KIND OF
13	VEER AROUND WHAT I WANTED TO SAY WAS THAT I ASKED
14	THEM, "HOW DO I KNOW TO GO BACK TO MY COMMUNITY AND
15	EXPLAIN TO THEM THAT THEY'RE INCLUDED IN THIS
16	RESEARCH AND THAT THIS MIGHT HELP THEM OR A LOVED
17	ONE DOWN THE ROAD? SO DID YOU, IN YOUR STEM CELL
18	LINE, KNOW THE VARIETY AND THE INCLUSION OF
19	DIFFERENT COMMUNITIES WHOSE STEM CELLS WERE PULLED
20	OUT?" AND IT WAS, AS I SAID, BEING SCIENTIFICALLY
21	IGNORANT AT SOME LEVEL, I WASN'T SURE THAT, IN FACT,
22	THAT SHOULD AND COULD APPLY. BUT THEY REALLY GOT
23	VERY THOUGHTFUL ABOUT IT, AND SOME OF THEM EVEN
24	EXPRESSED THAT THEY DID HAVE SOME QUESTIONING ABOUT
25	THAT. I SAID, "WELL, PUSH. WHEN YOU'RE IN THE LAB,

11

1	PUSH, ASK, DEMAND TO KNOW, DON'T JUST ACCEPT WHAT
2	IS." THAT WAS ONE OF MY SIDE CONVERSATIONS.
3	THE ADVOCACY PANEL ITSELF WAS THREE OF US,
4	AND IT GAVE THE STUDENTS A REAL DIFFERENT PERCEPTION
5	ABOUT WHAT PATIENT ADVOCACY LOOKS LIKE. TWO OF US,
6	OF COURSE, ADVOCATE SOMEWHAT WITH THE EXPERIENCE OF
7	BOTH CANCER AND PARKINSON'S. NANCY RENE FROM SICKLE
8	CELL FOUNDATION BECAUSE HER GRANDSON WAS BORN WITH
9	SICKLE CELL, AND SHE BECAME A VERY STRONG ADVOCATE
10	AND HAS BEEN ENGAGED FOR 19 YEARS. THE EXCITING
11	PART FOR JENNIFER ROBB IS THAT SHE'S ENGAGED IN
12	DEVELOPING LAB AND CLINICAL TRIALS, SOMETHING THAT'S
13	SOMEWHAT NEW TO THE WORK SHE'S DOING, BUT VERY
14	EXCITING, AND ALSO DEVELOPING A WELLNESS CENTER FOR
15	PARKINSON'S BECAUSE OF THE NEED TO CENTER RESOURCES
16	FOR THE COMMUNITY WHO HAVE TO DEAL WITH PARKINSON'S
17	AT ONE LEVEL OR ANOTHER. THESE ARE WONDERFUL THINGS
18	FOR BUDDING SCIENTISTS TO HEAR. OF COURSE, I TALK
19	MY ENGAGEMENT ACROSS THE CANCER SPACE, FROM BOTH
20	ENGAGEMENT OF LATINO CANCER SERVICE AGENCIES TO
21	ENGAGEMENT IN RESEARCH THROUGH POLICY DEVELOPMENT.
22	THE THING THAT STRUCK ME, AND ONE OF THE
23	STUDENTS GOT UP AND SAID IT WAS ONE OF THE BETTER
24	PANELS IN THE WHOLE SCIENCE MEETING, WHICH WAS
25	INTERESTING TO ME, BUT I THINK IT'S BECAUSE IT

1	SPEAKS TO THEIR HEART AND GIVES THEM THE REASON
2	BEHIND WHY THEY DO THE SCIENCE AND WHY IT'S REALLY
3	ABOUT THE PEOPLE BEHIND THE SCIENCE.
4	AND SO ONE OF THE THINGS, J.T., THAT
5	STRUCK ME WAS THAT A LOT OF LATINO STUDENTS CAME TO
6	ME. SOME OF THEM GOT UP DURING THE EVENT, BUT A LOT
7	OF THEM CAME TO ME INDIVIDUALLY. AND WHAT I HEARD
8	WAS NOT JUST THEIR ENTHUSIASM FOR THEIR WORK, BUT I
9	ALSO HEARD THE CULTURAL CONFLICT BECAUSE THEY ALSO
10	HAD TO DEAL WITH FAMILY ON MULTIPLE LEVELS,
11	SOMETIMES ACTUALLY IN CONFLICT WITH FAMILY WHO
12	SOMETIMES DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THE DEDICATION IT TOOK
13	TO BECOME THE SCIENTIST OR EVEN THE BURDEN OF
14	BECOMING THE SCIENTIST AND THE COSTS AND THE OTHER
15	THINGS THAT THEY HAVE TO GIVE UP IN ORDER TO DO IT.
16	AND I HOPE THAT I'VE GIVEN THEM PEP TALKS
17	AND SOME GUIDANCE. AND IT WAS SAID TO ME, J.T., I
18	SAID I SHOULD DO THIS KIND OF REGULARLY WITH THESE
19	STUDENTS, JUST HAVE THEM COME UP IN A WEBINAR AND
20	SIT AROUND AND HAVE THESE CONVERSATIONS. AND I
21	THOUGHT MAYBE ALL OF US SHOULD DO THIS AT ONE WAY OR
22	THE OTHER, THAT WE PARTICIPATE OR OFFER TO
23	PARTICIPATE. I WOULD ENCOURAGE EVERY ONE OF YOU, IF
24	YOU HAVEN'T HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO GO TO ONE OF THESE
25	SESSIONS WITH THESE STUDENTS, I'M SURE EACH OF YOU

13

1	COULD BE A WONDERFUL MODEL BECAUSE SOMETIMES THE LAB
2	IS THE LAB, BUT IT'S THAT EXTERNAL RELATIONSHIP THAT
3	CAN HELP THEM GO BACK INTO THE LAB AND CONTINUE TO
4	SUCCEED AND TO FEEL HEARD AND TO FEEL NURTURED AND
5	TO FEEL THAT SOMEONE REALLY GETS WHO THEY ARE AND
6	WHERE THEY'RE COMING FROM.
7	THIS, I THINK, IS WHAT'S GOING TO KEEP
8	THEM FIGHTING, WHATEVER THEY HAVE TO DEAL WITH IN
9	THE LONG RUN, AND KEEP THEM CENTERED ON BECOMING
10	THOSE SCIENTISTS OF THE FUTURE. SO I REALLY
11	ENCOURAGE YOU ALL TO BE ABLE TO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY
12	TO PARTICIPATE. AND, J.T., I'M SORT OF OFFERING
13	MYSELF TO HAVE, CALL IT, THE CIRCLE OF CIRM TO BRING
14	MAYBE LATINO STUDENTS TOGETHER IN A WEBINAR, NOT TO
15	BE EXCLUSIVE, BUT TO GIVE THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO
16	SHARE WHAT IT IS THAT MAKES THEM CULTURALLY WHO THEY
17	ARE AND WHY SOMETIMES IT NEEDS TO BE HEARD BY
18	SOMEONE THAT THEY THINK HEARS THEM. THAT WAS MY
19	IMPRESSION, BUT I WAS TOTALLY MOVED BY ALL OF THEM
20	AND BY THEIR COMMITMENTS.
21	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANKS SO MUCH, YSABEL.
22	AND I THINK THAT'S A WONDERFUL IDEA. AND WE CAN
23	TAKE THIS UP FURTHER AMONGST OUR COMMUNICATIONS TEAM
24	ON HOW WE MIGHT IMPLEMENT SOME OF THOSE GREAT IDEAS.
25	SO THANKS TO ALL THE MEMBERS OF CIRM WHO
	14

1	WERE AT THIS MEETING, AND IT WAS WONDERFUL TO SEE
2	EVERYBODY. SOME OF YOU FOR THE FIRST TIME IN PERSON
3	AND MANY, MANY MONTHS FOR THOSE OF US IN SOUTHERN
4	CALIFORNIA.
5	SO THE SECOND THING FROM THE CHAIR'S
6	REPORT, I WANT TO TURN IT OVER TO JUDY GASSON TO
7	GIVE A BIT OF AN UPDATE ON THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR
8	SEARCH. JUDY.
9	DR. GASSON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, CHAIRMAN
10	THOMAS.
11	SO TO REMIND EVERYONE WHERE WE ARE IN THE
12	CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR SEARCH, IN MARCH AND APRIL WE
13	CONDUCTED A SURVEY OF THE BOARD MEMBERS TO GATHER
14	THE INPUT WITH RESPECT TO THE CRITERIA FOR THE CHAIR
15	AND THE VICE CHAIR AND THE SCOPE OF THE POSITIONS.
16	AND THANKS TO ALL OF YOU WHO PARTICIPATED IN THAT
17	SURVEY.
18	IN APRIL AND MAY THE GOVERNANCE
19	SUBCOMMITTEE CONSIDERED THE RESULTS OF THE SURVEY,
20	AND WE PREPARED RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE BOARD
21	REGARDING THE CRITERIA, THE SCOPE, AND THE PERCENT
22	EFFORT REQUIRED.
23	IN MAY THE BOARD CONSIDERED THE GOVERNANCE
24	SUBCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS, AND THEY WERE
25	APPROVED.
	15
	L)

1	AND WE ARE NOW IN JULY, AND I'M HAPPY TO
2	REPORT THAT THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE, ON BEHALF
3	OF THE BOARD, HAS REQUESTED THAT THE CONSTITUTIONAL
4	OFFICERS NOMINATE CANDIDATES FOR CHAIR AND VICE
5	CHAIR NO LATER THAN SEPTEMBER 15. THEY'RE NOT
6	REQUIRED TO MEET THIS DEADLINE, BUT WE ARE HOPING TO
7	ENCOURAGE THEM TO MEET THIS DEADLINE TO GIVE US THE
8	OPPORTUNITY IN FUTURE BOARD MEETINGS TO CONSIDER THE
9	NOMINEES.
10	SO IF WE REMAIN ON SCHEDULE, IN SEPTEMBER
11	THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE WILL VET THE NOMINEES
12	AND REQUEST ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AS NECESSARY AND
13	CONDUCT INITIAL INTERVIEWS.
14	AND THEN IN SEPTEMBER, OCTOBER THE FULL
15	BOARD WILL MEET TO CONSIDER THE NOMINEES FOR CHAIR
16	AND VICE CHAIR. AND AT THAT TIME IT WILL INCLUDE
17	PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS BY THE CANDIDATES, CLOSED
18	SESSION INTERVIEWS, AND A PUBLIC VOTE.
19	AND IF EVERYTHING REMAINS ON SCHEDULE, IN
20	JANUARY, ASSUMING WE'VE ELECTED A NEW CHAIR AND A
21	NEW VICE CHAIR IN DECEMBER, THE INDIVIDUALS WILL
22	TAKE THEIR OATH AT THE FIRST BOARD MEETING IN
23	JANUARY OF 2023, PROVIDING AN ORDERLY TRANSITION
24	FROM THE CURRENT CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR TO THE NEW
25	CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR. THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN THOMAS.

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, JUDY. THANK
2	YOU TO YOU AND CO-CHAIR KRISTINA VUORI AND ALL
3	MEMBERS OF THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE FOR ALL THE
4	WORK YOU'VE PUT IN ON THIS. IT'S BEEN A
5	CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OVER THE COURSE OF THIS YEAR AND
6	WILL CONTINUE TO BE.
7	ONE COMMENT I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE BOARD,
8	ALL OF YOU, IF YOU HAVE ANY CANDIDATES FOR EITHER
9	POSITION THAT YOU THINK MIGHT CONSIDER THROWING
10	THEIR HATS INTO THE RING, I WOULD STRONGLY ENCOURAGE
11	YOU TO TALK TO THOSE PEOPLE BECAUSE, BETWEEN ALL THE
12	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, WE HAVE VERY EXTENSIVE REACH.
13	AND IT'S PERHAPS THE BEST WAY TO GET TO POTENTIAL
14	CANDIDATES. SO PLEASE THINK ABOUT THAT AS WE MOVE
15	FORWARD HERE, NOTING THAT JUDY SAID THAT WE ARE
16	TRYING TO GET THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS TO MAKE
17	THEIR NOMINATIONS BY SEPTEMBER 15TH. SO TIME IS
18	BEGINNING TO GET OF THE ESSENCE HERE, PARTICULARLY
19	AS WE APPROACH AUGUST AND ANY VACATION TIME AND ALL
20	THAT SORT OF THING. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH IN
21	ADVANCE FOR THAT.
22	OKAY. SO THAT CONCLUDES THE CHAIR'S
23	REPORT.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: J.T., I JUST WANTED TO
25	ADD ONE THING. WE POSTED THE PRESENTATION WHERE IT
	17

1	OUTLINES CRITERIA AND QUALIFICATIONS AND WHAT WE ARE
2	LOOKING FOR TO OUR WEBSITE UNDER THE JOB POSTING.
3	SO IF ANY OF YOU NEED TO REFERENCE THAT, YOU CAN
4	SEND THAT LINK OUT. I'M HAPPY TO SEND IT TO YOU IF
5	YOU'D LIKE ME TO.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES. MARIA, COULD JUST
7	PREEMPTIVELY DO THAT TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE BOARD
8	PLEASE?
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO PROBLEM.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. OKAY. NEXT
11	WE WILL MOVE ON TO THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT. DR.
12	MILLAN.
13	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN THOMAS.
14	DR. CREASEY, WOULD YOU KINDLY SHARE THE SLIDES?
15	IT'S A WONDERFUL OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO GIVE YOU SOME
16	UPDATES TODAY, BUT ALSO YOU'LL BE GETTING PRESENTED
17	TO YOU SOME ACTION ITEMS AND REQUESTS FROM THE CIRM
18	TEAM. SO THE PRESENTATION IS ABOUT TO COME UP. I
19	THINK, ABLA, MAYBE AT THE BARGAINING OF THAT. ARE
20	YOU ABLE TO SHARE? IF NOT, DOUG GUILLEN, IF YOU
21	WOULDN'T MIND JUST POSTING THE PDF, THAT WOULD BE
22	GREAT.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: ABLA IS ON MUTE, BUT I
24	THINK SHE WOULD LIKE TO SHARE THE SLIDES.
25	DR. MILLAN: SHE'S JUST NOT ABLE TO GET TO
	18

1	MINE. SHE JUST HAS HERS AT THIS POINT.
2	I WANTED TO OPEN THE PRESENTATION BY KIND
3	OF ALSO REINFORCING THE MAGIC THAT OCCURRED DURING
4	THE BRIDGES MEETING THAT YSABEL AND J.T. HAD COVERED
5	SO NICELY. I WANT TO THANK KELLY SHEPARD, WHO'S OUR
6	SCIENTIFIC OFFICER WHO REALLY HEADED THIS PROGRAM.
7	AND IT WAS VERY SPECIAL IN THAT WE HAD AN
8	OPPORTUNITY TO MEET STUDENTS. AND BASICALLY A
9	RUNNING THEME WAS THEY DIDN'T KNOW THAT IT WAS
10	POSSIBLE FOR THEM GIVEN WHERE THEY CAME FROM, WHAT
11	THEIR BACKGROUND WAS OR INTERESTS, AND THAT WAS VERY
12	TOUCHING.
13	SO HERE I AM WITH THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT.
14	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. MY PRESIDENT'S REPORT WILL BE
15	VERY SHORT BECAUSE, IN THE LAST MEETING JUST LAST
16	MONTH, I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE A VERY
17	EXTENSIVE UPDATE ON WHERE WE ARE. BUT SUFFICE IT TO
18	SAY THAT OUR MISSION IS BEATING STRONG IN TERMS OF
19	THE PROGRESS WE ARE MAKING, OUR MISSION TO
20	ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE TO DELIVER
21	TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN
22	AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND
23	WORLD. WE ARE LIVING AND EXECUTING ON EVERY SINGLE
24	WORD IN THIS MISSION, AND YOU KNOW THAT BECAUSE YOU
25	HAVE BEEN THERE ALONGSIDE US, OUR BOARD MEMBERS, AND

19

1	KEY ADVISORS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
2	SO AS YOU RECALL, OUR STRATEGIC PLAN IS
3	ARRANGED IN THESE THREE PILLARS OR THREE THEMATIC
4	THEMES OR THREE STRATEGIC THEMES. IN THE LAST
5	MEETING WE WERE ABLE TO UPDATE YOU ON SOME AMAZING
6	KINDS OF COLLABORATIONS WITH OTHER GROUPS SUCH AS
7	THE FOUNDATION FOR NIH, NIH, INDUSTRY, AND FDA
8	THROUGH THE BESPOKE GENE THERAPY CONSORTIUM. OUR
9	PARTNERSHIP WITH THE HEART, LUNG, BLOOD INSTITUTE
10	FOR OUR SICKLE CELL PROGRAM IS ALSO BEATING STRONG.
11	WE HAVE CONCEPTS UNDER DEVELOPMENT THAT
12	WILL BE BROUGHT TO THE BOARD IN THE UPCOMING
13	MEETINGS REGARDING INFRASTRUCTURE AND PROGRAMS THAT
14	WILL CONNECT THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY AND PROMOTE
15	TEAM SCIENCE. ALL OF THESE THEMES, BY THE WAY,
16	EMBED INTO THEM DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION
17	PRINCIPLES, AND WE DON'T JUST USE THE TERMINOLOGY.
18	IT ALMOST SEEMS TOO SUCCINCT TO CALL IT DEI FOR
19	SHORTHAND, BUT WE ARE TRULY EXECUTING ON THAT. YOU
20	WILL SEE THAT AND YOU WILL HAVE AN UPDATE ON THAT IN
21	UPCOMING MEETINGS IN TERMS OF PROVIDING OPPORTUNITY
22	FOR ALL.
23	YOU HAVE HEARD ABOUT THE BRIDGES MEETING,
24	WHICH IS REALLY A SNAPSHOT OF HOW WE HAVE ALREADY
25	BEEN IMPACTING ACCESS TO THE COMMUNITY, TO
	20

20

1	UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES, UNDERREPRESENTED GROUPS FOR
2	EDUCATION PROGRAMS. AND IN THE UPCOMING MEETING IN
3	AUGUST OR SEPTEMBER, I BELIEVE, YOU WILL HEAR
4	RECOMMENDATIONS TO FUND ADDITIONAL EDUCATION
5	PROGRAMS WHERE A KEY FEATURE IS MENTORSHIP OF THESE
6	UNDERREPRESENTED STUDENT GROUPS WITHIN THE
7	UNIVERSITY SYSTEM. SO THAT'S EXTREMELY EXCITING.
8	ALL THE EDUCATION PROGRAMS TOGETHER, ALONG
9	WITH OUR SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMS, WE ARE PROVIDING WAYS
10	THAT WE CAN INTERCONNECT THESE PROGRAMS SO THAT
11	THERE IS THE OPPORTUNITY TO EMPOWER ALL THE PROGRAMS
12	TOWARD ACCOMPLISHING THEIR MISSION, AND YOU WILL
13	CONTINUE TO SEE THAT IN UPCOMING MEETINGS AS WE
14	REPORT ON THESE PROGRAMS.
15	NOW, JUST A CENTERPIECE HERE IN TERMS OF
16	DELIVERING REAL-WORLD SOLUTIONS, THAT IS BASICALLY
17	THE MAJOR THEME THAT WE WILL REPORT ON TODAY. NEXT
18	SLIDE PLEASE.
19	SO THE STRATEGIC PLAN AND ACTION IN THIS
20	PARTICULAR THEMATIC PILLAR IN DELIVERING REAL-WORLD
21	SOLUTIONS, WE'RE GOING TO START OFF WITH AN AMAZING
22	PORTFOLIO UPDATE FROM DR. ABLA CREASEY, OUR HEAD OF
23	THERAPEUTICS DEVELOPMENT. SHE WILL GIVE YOU AN
24	UPDATE ON HOW WE ARE ACCELERATING AND ADVANCING
25	SCIENCE TOWARD THE CLINICS, EMBEDDING WITH IT THE

1	PRINCIPLES OF DEI, EMBEDDING THE IDEA OF TEAM
2	SCIENCE, BUT ALSO ADVANCING THE REGULATORY PARADIGM,
3	AS WELL AS TEEING IT UP SO YOU WILL HEAR HOW THIS
4	PLAYS INTO I'M SORRY. OKAY. SOMEBODY ELSE'S
5	SLIDE IS SHOWING. APPROVED AND PAID ARE NICE WORDS,
6	SO THEY'RE VERY INSPIRING, BUT THEY'RE ON THE SLIDE
7	NOT RELATED TO THIS PRESENTATION, AND BY NO MEANS A
8	HINT.
9	FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION, BY THE WAY, YOU
10	WILL HEAR TODAY FROM DR. SAMBRANO A RECOMMENDATION
11	FOR AN ADDITIONAL CLINICAL TRIAL PROGRAM WHICH WOULD
12	BRING US UP TO 81 CLINICAL TRIALS DIRECTLY FUNDED BY
13	CIRM. THIS IS INCREDIBLE CELL/GENE THERAPY, OFTEN
14	FIRST-IN-HUMAN CLINICAL TRIALS. AND, AGAIN, YOU
15	WILL HEAR ABOUT THAT PORTFOLIO FROM DR. CREASEY.
16	AND THEN THE THIRD THING FOR BOARD
17	CONSIDERATION, DR. SHYAM PATEL WILL PRESENT A
18	CONCEPT FOR APPROVAL FOR MANUFACTURING PARTNERSHIP
19	THAT'S INTENDED TO OVERCOME THE HURDLES TO
20	COMMERCIALIZATION AND BRINGING THE SCIENCE OUT TO
21	THE COMMUNITIES. MANY OF YOU HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN
22	THE SHAPING OF THIS PROPOSAL. MANY OF YOU HAVE BEEN
23	INVOLVED IN THE MULTIPLE MEETINGS AND WORKSHOPS
24	ALONG WITH THE VARIOUS ACADEMIC AND INDUSTRY
25	STAKEHOLDERS TO SHAPE THIS PROPOSAL OVER THE YEARS.

1	AND WE ARE VERY EXCITED TO PRESENT THAT TODAY.
2	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. AND SO I GET TO
3	INTRODUCE DR. ABLA CREASEY, WHO'S OUR VP OF
4	THERAPEUTICS DEVELOPMENT, WHO WILL BE GIVING AN
5	UPDATE ON OUR PORTFOLIO, REALLY DEMONSTRATING HOW
6	THE STRATEGIC PLAN IS JUST WHERE IT NEEDS TO BE IN
7	ORDER TO PUSH THE MISSION OF BRINGING MORE OF THESE
8	PROGRAMS FROM THE SCIENCE TO THE BEDSIDE, FROM THE
9	BENCH TO THE BEDSIDE. DR. CREASEY.
10	DR. CREASEY: THANK YOU, DR. MILLAN, MR.
11	CHAIRMAN, BOARD MEMBERS, CIRM COLLEAGUES, AND THE
12	PUBLIC. THE GOAL OF MY TALK TODAY IS TO FAMILIARIZE
13	YOU WITH THE CIRM CLINICAL PORTFOLIO WITH EMPHASIS
14	ON RARE DISEASE DEVELOPMENT.
15	SO OVERVIEW OF OUR CLINICAL PORTFOLIO
16	METRICS IS SHOWN HERE. CAN YOU SEE MY SLIDES, BY
17	THE WAY? SO ADVANCING THERAPIES TO MARKETING
18	APPROVAL, THIS WILL BE TODAY'S FOCUS. 106
19	PROGRESSION EVENTS TO DATE THAT INCLUDE PROJECTS
20	THAT ADVANCE FROM ONE GRANT TO ANOTHER, SUCH AS FROM
21	DISCOVERY TO TRANSLATION OR TRANSLATION TO CLINICAL.
22	THAT'S WHAT WE CALL PROGRESSION EVENTS. 14 CLINICAL
23	AWARDS THAT INCLUDE CLIN1 AND CLIN2 SINCE THE
24	PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION 14 WITH 35 PERCENT OF THEM IN
25	THE AREA OF NEUROLOGY. 15 DRUG CANDIDATES WITH

1 ACCELERATED FDA DESIGNATIONS. THE ADVANTAGE OF TH	AT
2 IS IT ALLOWS THE GRANTEES TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY	то
3 HAVE MORE FREQUENT INTERACTIONS WITH THE FDA, AND	
4 THAT OFTEN IS HELPFUL TO ADVANCE THE PROGRAMS. 81	
5 CLINICAL TRIALS INCLUDING TODAY'S PROPOSED AWARD.	
6 THE CLINICAL PORTFOLIO TODAY IS RICH WIT	Ή
7 SEVERAL DISEASE AREAS AS YOU SEE ON THE Y AXIS. W	Έ
8 HAVE DIABETES, WE HAVE HEART DISEASE, WE HAVE	
9 HIV/AIDS, AND NEURO. AND THE PIE CHART DISPLAYS	
10 THAT NEUROLOGICAL AND BLOOD DISEASES PLAY A	
11 PROMINENT ROLE IN THE PORTFOLIO. REMEMBER, WE	
12 ACCEPT GRANTS. WE DO NOT NECESSARILY PICK THE	
13 GRANTS WHEN WE RECRUIT THEM. SO 26 PERCENT OF OUR	
14 R & D PORTFOLIO AS OF TODAY IS IN THE AREA OF NEUR	0.
15 CIRM HAS THUS FAR INVESTED \$2.68 BILLION	
16 IN R & D WITH 1.1 BILLION IN CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT.	
17 AS SHOWN ON THIS SLIDE, HERE WE HAVE 53 PERCENT OF	
18 THE CLINICAL GRANTS ARE IN THE AREA OF RARE	
19 DISEASES.	
20 HERE ARE BRIEF FACTS ABOUT RARE DISEASES	-
21 I'M SURE YOU KNOW A LOT OF THEM, BUT I THOUGHT I'L	L
22 JUST REMIND YOU. THERE ARE OVER 7,000 RARE DISEAS	ES
23 OR CONDITIONS THAT EACH AFFECT FEWER THAN 200,000	
24 PEOPLE IN THE UNITED STATES. RARE DISEASES ARE	
25 OFTEN SERIOUS AND LIFE THREATENING. CURRENTLY 25	то
24	

1	30 MILLION AMERICANS LIVE WITH A RARE DISEASE. THE
2	ECONOMIC BURDEN TO THE U.S. CAN BE AS HIGH AS ONE
3	TRILLION. AS YOU SEE HERE, IN 2019 THAT WAS THE
4	CASE.
5	THE PAST PROCESS FOR APPROVING DRUGS FOR
6	RARE DISEASES BY THE FDA WAS VERY SLOW. BUT THIS
7	HAS SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVED IN THE LAST FEW YEARS
8	WITH THE ADVENT OF ACCELERATED APPROVAL PATHWAY.
9	AND I'LL TOUCH ON THAT A LITTLE BIT MORE WHEN I
10	DISCUSS THE PROJECTS.
11	EXAMPLES OF CURRENT ACTIVE RARE DISEASE
12	PORTFOLIO IS REPRESENTED LARGELY BY BLOOD DISEASES,
13	NEUROLOGIC CONDITIONS, AND BLOOD CANCERS, AS I
14	MENTIONED EARLIER. SOME WERE FUNDED IN THE
15	DISCOVERY STAGE AND MANY DURING TRANSLATION, AND
16	SOME PROGRESSED TO THE CLINICAL STAGE. WE ALSO GET
17	RARE DISEASE APPLICATIONS FOR THE CLINICAL STAGE
18	ONLY. SO THE PROGRESSION EVENTS HAVE BEEN HELPFUL
19	FOR US TO KEEP TRACK OF THE PROJECT'S PROGRESS.
20	OUR PORTFOLIO OF RARE DISEASES COVERS
21	CONDITIONS THAT AFFECT ALL AGES, INCLUDING BABIES,
22	TODDLERS, ADOLESCENTS, AND ADULTS. AND LUCKILY, WE
23	LIVE IN AN ERA WHERE STATE-OF-THE-ART TECHNOLOGIES
24	ARE BEING INVESTED INTO MANY OF THESE PROGRAMS, AND
25	THAT'S AN ADVANTAGE FOR THE WORLD, GRANTEES, AND THE

1	PATIENTS. SOME ARE ADVANCING TOWARDS REGISTRATION
2	AND POTENTIAL APPROVAL. AGAIN, THE REMAINDER OF MY
3	PRESENTATION WILL COVER A STATUS REPORT OF SPECIFIC
4	RARE DISEASE PROJECTS, SOME OF WHICH YOU MAY BE
5	FAMILIAR WITH, AND DESCRIBE BRIEFLY WHAT THEY'VE
6	ACHIEVED.
7	I'M GOING TO START BY SHARING THE GRANTS
8	WHERE TREATMENT OF THE BABIES TAKES PLACE WHEN THE
9	BABY IS IN THE WOMB. CELL THERAPY FOR SPINA BIFIDA
10	HAS BEEN PIONEERED DR. DIANA FARMER OF UC DAVIS.
11	SPINA BIFIDA IS A CONDITION THAT HAPPENS WHEN THE
12	NEURAL TUBE DOES NOT CLOSE ALL THE WAY IN THE WOMB,
13	OFTEN RESULTING IN DAMAGE TO THE SPINAL CORD AND
14	NERVES AND RESULTING IN THE BABY'S PARALYSIS,
15	ESPECIALLY THE LEGS.
16	THE TEAM IS USING BANKED PLACENTAL
17	MESENCHYMAL CELLS ON AN FDA-APPROVED AMNIOTIC
18	MEMBRANE PATCH AS A TREATMENT. THIS HAS BEEN REALLY
19	INNOVATIVE AND VERY ENCOURAGING. THE RESULTS SO FAR
20	ALSO SUGGEST THAT. THIS POSITIVE TRIAL IS IN
21	PROGRESS AND IS ENROLLING WELL A TOTAL OF SIX
22	PATIENTS.
23	I THOUGHT WE ALSO WOULD ENJOY SEEING BABY
24	TOBY AT HIS THREE-MONTH EXAM WITH MOM MICHELLE AND
25	DAD JEFF. OBVIOUSLY THE THREE OF THEM LOOK HAPPY.
	26

1	THE SECOND IN-UTERO PROGRAM INCLUDES
2	EVALUATION OF CELL THERAPY FOR ALPHA THALASSEMIA
3	MAJOR, WHICH IS A GENETIC DISORDER OF HEMOGLOBIN
4	SINCE THAT IS FATAL WITHOUT INTERVENTION. MOST
5	PREVALENT INDIVIDUALS FROM SOUTHEAST ASIA, CHINA,
6	AND THE MIDDLE EAST. THE APPROACH IS TO REPLACE THE
7	BABY'S CELLS WITH THE UNDESIRED GENE WITH THE MAMA'S
8	HEALTHY CELLS. THE PROJECT DOES INCLUDE
9	TRANSPLANTING THE MOTHER'S BLOOD STEM CELLS INTO THE
10	FETUS.
11	THE INTERIM RESULTS INDICATE THE APPROACH
12	IS SAFE. AS YOU KNOW, MANY ARE ALWAYS CONCERNED
13	ABOUT IN-UTERO IMMUNOTHERAPIES OR TREATMENTS. FOR
14	THIS APPROACH DR. TIPPI MACKENZIE HAS DONE A GREAT
15	JOB IN MANAGING THE SAFETY OF THAT EFFORT. AND IT'S
16	FEASIBLE WITH PRELIMINARY EARLY EVIDENCE OF
17	TOLERANCE. BY THE WAY, DR. TIPPI MACKENZIE IS FROM
18	UCSF.
19	ADVANCES IN TREATMENT IN THE SEVERE
20	COMBINED IMMUNODEFICIENCY SYNDROME, ALSO CALLED THE
21	BUBBLE BABY, HAVE PROGRESSED VERY WELL. AND REALLY
22	THIS IS AN AREA THAT HAS FLOURISHED VERY NICELY WITH
23	THE ADVENT OF ALL THE MOLECULAR NEW TOOLS.
24	FOUR DIFFERENT SKIN DISEASES ARE SHOWN ON
25	THIS SLIDE. THE THERAPIES ARE USING GENE CORRECTION
	27
	۷.

1	AND AUTOLOGOUS THERAPY. ALL RECEIVED ACCELERATED
2	DESIGNATIONS BY THE FDA. AGAIN, THAT WAS REALLY TO
3	THE ADVANTAGE OF THOSE FOUR PROGRAMS AND THE
4	CHILDREN.
5	SO THE ONE YOU HEAR MOST ABOUT IS THE
6	ADA-SCID, WHICH IS ADENOSINE DEAMINASE SCID. THE
7	INVESTIGATOR AND THE HERO OF THAT PROGRAM IS DR. DON
8	KOHN OF UCLA. HE WAS ABLE TO GET DURABLE
9	RESTORATION OF THE IMMUNE SYSTEM FOR OVER TWO YEARS,
10	AND HE TREATED 50 PATIENTS. EVIE, THE ONE YOU SEE
11	FLYING IN THAT PICTURE, IS ONE OF THE FIRST INFANTS
12	TO GET THE TREATMENT. SHE IS NOW 11 YEARS OLD AND
13	LIVING A NORMAL LIFE.
14	THE NEXT IS X-SCID, WHICH IS A GRANT THAT
15	WAS AWARDED TO DR. GOTTSCHALK FROM ST. JUDE IN
16	COLLABORATION WITH DR. COWAN FROM UCSF. THE INTERIM
17	RESULTS FROM THE CLINICAL DATA SHOW THAT THOSE
18	CHILDREN ARE NOW HAVING NORMAL T-CELLS AND NK-CELLS.
19	AND THOSE CELLS ARE IMPORTANT FOR FIGHTING OFF
20	INFECTIONS. EIGHT PATIENTS HAVE BEEN FOLLOWED, 16
21	MONTHS MEDIAN FOLLOW-UP. RONNIE AND JA'CEON IS THE
22	NAME OF THE YOUNG BABY THERE, BABY BOY, LOOKS VERY
23	HAPPY AND HEALTHY. THEY ARE ACTUALLY LEADING A
24	NORMAL LIFE.
25	THE THIRD PROGRAM IS THE ART-SCID. THE
	28

1	INVESTIGATORS ARE DR. MORT COWAN AGAIN AND JENNIFER
2	PUCK OF UCSF WITH PROMISING RESULTS WITH 13
3	PATIENTS. THE ART-SCID IS PREVALENT IN THE NAVAJO
4	CHILDREN. AND DRS. PUCK AND MORT ACTUALLY VISIT THE
5	NAVAJO CHILDREN ONCE A YEAR GIVING PHYSICALS TO THE
6	ONES TREATED AND POTENTIALLY TO RECRUIT NEW ONES.
7	SO YOU SEE HERE THE PATIENT HATAALI, AND SHE, AGAIN,
8	LOOKS HAPPY AND GROWING AS A NORMAL CHILD.
9	THE LAST PROGRAM IS LEUCOCYTE ADHESION
10	DEFICIENCY WHICH IS BEING DEVELOPED BY ROCKET PHARMA
11	IN COLLABORATION, AGAIN, WITH DR. DON KOHN OF UCLA.
12	THAT PROGRAM COMPLETED ENROLLMENT OF NINE PATIENTS
13	AND IS ACTUALLY PREPARING FOR A BIOLOGICS LICENSE
14	APPLICATION FILING. AND THAT'S THE STEP THAT THE
15	FDA AND THE GRANTEE WORK TOGETHER SO AS TO ALLOW THE
16	PROGRAM TO GO TO POTENTIAL REGISTRATION AND TO THE
17	MARKET.
18	MARLEY IS ONE OF THOSE PATIENTS WHO
19	RECEIVED THIS TREATMENT AND IS ALSO DOING WELL. YOU
20	SEE, AGAIN, SHE'S HAPPY AND WAVING IN THIS PICTURE.
21	NOW WE MOVE TO CAR-T IMMUNOTHERAPY FOR
22	TREATMENT OF GLIOMAS. THE WORK IS BEING DONE BY DR.
23	CRYSTAL MACKALL AND HER STANFORD COLLEAGUES. THE
24	PROGRAM DEALS WITH TWO TYPES OF GLIOMAS. THESE ARE
25	TUMORS OF THE BRAIN OR CANCER OF THE BRAIN THAT
	20

29

1	AFFECT CHILDREN AND YOUNG ADULTS AND CAN BE ALMOST
2	UNIVERSALLY FATAL. THE STUDY IS INVESTIGATING THE
3	SAFETY, FEASIBILITY, ROUTE OF DELIVERY OF THIS CAR-T
4	TREATMENT. AND ESPECIALLY IN THIS CASE THE NEURAL
5	CELLS, THE CAR-T.
6	THREE OF THE FOUR PATIENTS SHOWED CLINICAL
7	AND RADIOGRAPHIC IMPROVEMENTS. TOXICITIES ARE
8	MANAGEABLE AND REVERSIBLE WITH SUPPORTIVE CARE. THE
9	RESPONDING YOUNG ONES FOR DR. CRYSTAL MACKALL
10	ACTUALLY ARE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN DAILY LIFE.
11	SOME ARE JOINING THEIR FAMILIES ON OUTINGS LIKE
12	GOING TO THE PARK OR TO A FAMILY GATHERING.
13	THE NEXT INDICATION IS GENE THERAPY FOR
14	CYSTINOSIS WHERE THE AMINOACID CYSTINE ACCUMULATES
15	IN THE TISSUES AND FORMS CRYSTALS THAT CAUSE HARM TO
16	THE TISSUE, AND THE PATIENTS ARE IN PAIN. DR.
17	STEPHANIE CHERQUI OF UC SAN DIEGO IS THE PI. THE
18	GRANT IS FOR CONDUCTING A PHASE 1-2 CLINICAL TRIAL
19	WHERE THE GENE CYSTINOSIN IS PROVIDED SO THE CYSTINE
20	DOES NOT ACCUMULATE. THE PROJECT PROGRESSED FROM
21	DISCOVERY TO CLIN1 AND THEN TO CLIN2.
22	INTERIM RESULTS ON THE FIRST THREE
23	PATIENTS ARE SHOWN ON THE SLIDE. THERE'S SUSTAINED
24	ENGRAFTMENT FOR OVER ONE YEAR AND MORE. ORAL
25	MEDICATION IS NO LONGER REQUIRED. AND REDUCED
	30

1	CYSTINE CRYSTALS IN THE SKIN AND IN THE INTESTINES.
2	NO ADVERSE EVENTS WERE RELATED TO THIS DRUG PRODUCT.
3	THE CHILD IS ACTIVE AND GROWING.
4	I WANTED TO MENTION TO YOU THE PATIENT,
5	JORDAN, AS YOU SEE HIM HERE, SMILING WITH DR.
6	CHERQUI. WHAT IS CURIOUS ABOUT JORDAN IS THAT IT
7	APPEARED AN UNEXPECTED SIDE EFFECT WITH THE TRIAL.
8	THEY OBSERVED THAT HIS BLONDE HAIR CHANGED TO BECOME
9	A BRUNETTE. HE NOW HAS DARK HAIR. AND WE'RE KIND
10	OF CURIOUS WHAT'S THE MECHANISM OF THAT SIDE EFFECT.
11	AND DR. CHERQUI IS MOVING FORWARD TO UNDERSTAND THAT
12	AS WELL.
13	AS YOU KNOW, WE'VE BEEN FUNDING SICKLE
14	CELL PROJECTS. FOUR OF THEM ARE PRESENTED ON THIS
15	SLIDE. EACH OF THE CLINICAL TRIALS USES A DIFFERENT
16	APPROACH, AND I JUST WANTED TO REMIND ALL OF US THAT
17	CIRM WELCOMES MULTIPLE SHOTS ON GOAL BECAUSE THE
18	PATIENTS DESERVE TO GET WHATEVER WORKS FOR THEM.
19	THE INVESTIGATORS ARE DR. PORTEUS OF
20	STANFORD. HE WAS FUNDED BY CIRM AT THE PRECLINICAL
21	STAGE. THE CLINICAL TRIAL IS FUNDED BY GRAPHITE
22	BIO. HE'S DOING GENE CORRECTION WITH VIRAL VECTOR
23	AND USING CRISPR. THERE ARE THREE CLINICAL TRIALS
24	CURRENTLY IN OUR PORTFOLIO IN THE AREA OF SICKLE
25	CELL.

-	
1	DR. DON KOHN OF UCLA, AGAIN, PIONEERED
2	THAT WORK, AND HE'S USING GENETIC MODIFICATION WITH
3	A DIFFERENT VIRAL VECTOR. AND HERE YOU SEE EVIE
4	JAMES JR. AGAIN DOING WELL. HE'S AGE 29. THE PHOTO
5	WAS TAKEN TWO YEARS POST-TRANSPLANT, AND HE IS
6	HAPPY.
7	FOR THE CIRM AND NHLBI PARTNERSHIP, WE
8	HAVE THREE CLINICAL GRANTS THAT HAVE BEEN FUNDED BY
9	THIS PARTNERSHIP. DR. MARK WALTERS OF UCSF BENIOFF
10	CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, HE RECEIVED A CLIN1 GRANT TO
11	CONDUCT IND-ENABLING STUDIES SO HE CAN GO ON TO
12	START A CLINICAL TRIAL. AND THEN THE CLIN2 GRANT
13	FOR HIS PHASE 1 TRIAL. HE'S FOLLOWING A NONVIRAL
14	DELIVERY USING CRISPR.
15	DR. DAVID WILLIAMS FROM BOSTON CHILDREN'S
16	HOSPITAL CONDUCTING A PHASE 2 TRIAL, AGAIN WITH DR.
17	WALTERS BEING HIS PARTNER ON THIS WORK. THE PROJECT
18	APPROACH IS CENTERED ON MAINTAINING FETAL HEMOGLOBIN
19	IN THE ADULT. INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH, FETAL
20	HEMOGLOBIN PREVENTS SICKLING. SO HE'S USING RNA TO
21	SUPPRESS OR SILENCE THE GENE THAT REPRESSES FETAL
22	HEMOGLOBIN EXPRESSION. BOTH DR. WILLIAMS' AND DR.
23	WALTERS' GRANTS ARE RELATIVELY EARLY IN THE CONDUCT
24	OF THEIR TRIALS.
25	NOW WE MOVE TO ALSO ADULTS WHO SUFFER FROM
	32
-	

1	EYE DISEASES. SO CELL THERAPY FOR RETINITIS
2	PIGMENTOSA WAS PIONEERED BY DR. HENRY KLASSEN OF UC
3	IRVINE FOR ADULTS AFFLICTED WITH THIS DISEASE. DR.
4	KLASSEN IS A CLASSIC MODEL FOR US. HE RECEIVED
5	SEVERAL GRANTS FROM DISCOVERY, DISEASE TEAM AWARDS,
6	TWO CLINICAL GRANTS WITH THE LAST ONE FOR REPEAT
7	ADMINISTRATION AFTER ONE YEAR FOLLOW-UP. THE PHASE
8	1-2 CLINICAL TRIAL OF 35 PATIENTS WITH REPEAT
9	ADMINISTRATION IS COMPLETED, AND THERE HAVE BEEN NO
10	SAFETY ISSUES WITH THAT TRIAL. SO IF THE PATIENT
11	NEEDS MORE THAN ONE TREATMENT, IT APPEARS, BASED ON
12	THE 35 PATIENTS, THAT IT'S NOT GOING TO BE A
13	PROBLEM.
14	YOU SEE A PHOTO HERE OF ROSIE BARRERO IS
15	ONE OF THE FIRST PATIENTS WHO RECEIVED ONLY ONE
16	INJECTION OF THIS THERAPY. ROSIE NOW CAN SEE HER
17	KIDS. RETINITIS PIGMENTOSA PROGRESSES SLOWLY IN THE
18	YOUNG ADULT, AND BY LIKE MID-20S YOU NO LONGER CAN
19	HAVE GOOD EYESIGHT. AT AGE 26 I THINK SHE WAS GIVEN
20	THE TREATMENT, AND SHE WAS ABLE TO SEE HER KIDS
21	AFTER THAT FOR THE FIRST TIME AND ABLE TO DRIVE A
22	CAR.
23	JCYTE RECEIVED ACCELERATED DESIGNATION,
24	RMAT, FROM THE FDA. REMEMBER WHAT I SAID,
25	ACCELERATED DESIGNATIONS HELP THE GRANTEE AND THE
	33

1	PROJECT TO CONTINUE CONSULTATION WITH THE FDA ON A
2	REGULAR BASIS REGARDING THE PROGRESSION.
3	THE PHASE 2 STUDY IDENTIFIED A BIOMARKER
4	FOR POTENTIAL RESPONDERS THAT IS GOING TO BE HELPFUL
5	FOR THE DESIGN OF THE NEXT TRIAL, WHICH IS LIKELY TO
6	BE THE REGISTRATIONAL TRIAL FOR THIS PROJECT. AND
7	THE DESIGN OF THAT NEXT TRIAL IS ACTUALLY IN
8	PROGRESS.
9	GENE THERAPY FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE IS
10	CLOSE TO MY HEART AND SOME OF THE BOARD MEMBER'S
11	HEART. THE PHASE 1B TRIAL THAT'S USING GUIDED MRI
12	FOR DELIVERING A NEUROTROPHIC FACTOR GENE FOR
13	STIMULATING THE REGENERATION OF DOPAMINE-PRODUCING
14	NEURONS IN THE APPROPRIATE SITE IN THE BRAIN CALLED
15	THE PUTAMEN. THE TRIAL IS DESIGNED THE STUDY
16	INVOLVED TWO COHORTS, MILD AND MODERATE DISEASE.
17	PRELIMINARY RESULTS WITH 11 OF THE 12 PATIENTS SHOW
18	THAT THE TREATMENT IS WELL TOLERATED. AND THE TWO
19	COHORTS THAT WERE TREATED, ONE OF THEM WITH A MILD
20	COHORT, THEY HAVE STABILITY OF THE MOTOR CORES. AND
21	THEN FOR THE MODERATE COHORT, THE BENEFIT IS VERY
22	OBVIOUS IN DAILY LIVING AND IN THE MOTOR OUTCOMES.
23	SO ADVANCING THIS PORTFOLIO IS A DYNAMIC
24	PROCESS THAT ENGAGES ALL OF US, CIRM, THE GRANTEES,
25	PATIENT REPRESENTATIVES, AS WELL AS CIRM EXPERTS

34

1	THAT WE RECRUIT THAT SPECIALIZE IN THE DIFFERENT
2	AREAS THAT WE ARE STUDYING. WE DO THAT THROUGH
3	CLINICAL ADVISORY PANELS WHICH WE CALL CAPS. WE'VE
4	CONDUCTED 356 CAPS SINCE 2016. WE UTILIZED, ENGAGED
5	91 EXTERNAL ADVISORS AND 68 PATIENT REPRESENTATIVES.
6	THE PATIENT REPRESENTATIVES ARE HARD TO FIND, AND
7	SOME OF THEM ACTUALLY THEN OVERLAP FOR A COUPLE OF
8	PROJECTS. AND WE ARE VERY GRATEFUL FOR THAT.
9	THE QUESTION YOU MIGHT ASK US: DO CAPS
10	REALLY MAKE A DIFFERENCE? AND LET ME TELL YOU THE
11	SIMPLE ANSWER IS YES. HOW DO WE MEASURE THAT? WE
12	MEASURE THAT BY DOCUMENTING THE CHALLENGES AND THEN
13	LOOKING AT THE OUTCOMES OVER TIME. SO SOME OF THE
14	OUTCOMES THAT WE LOOK AT INDICATED THAT, FOR
15	EXAMPLE, MANUFACTURING CHALLENGES HAVE BEEN
16	OVERCOME, CLINICAL TRIAL DESIGN WAS OPTIMIZED,
17	ENROLLMENT ENHANCED, AND REGULATORY ADVICE THAT WAS
18	PROVIDED WAS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT THEY HEAR WITH THE
19	FDA AND EVEN SOMETIMES ADVANCING THEM FURTHER, AND
20	THEN PARTNERING IS FACILITATED, AND THE DEVELOPMENT
21	PATH OVERALL, ESPECIALLY FOR THOSE WHO HAD CAPS,
22	BECAUSE WE DO CAPS FOR CLIN1 AND CLIN2, AND NOW WE
23	DO TAPS, WHICH IS TRANSLATION ADVISORY PANELS, FOR
24	PROGRAMS THAT ARE IN THE TRANSLATION STAGE. SO FAR
25	THE CAP ADVICE IMPACTED 75 PERCENT OF OUR CLINICAL

1	AWARDS.
2	I'M GOING TO STOP NOW AND LEAVE YOU WITH A
3	PHOTO OF HEALTHY EVIE ENJOYING SURFING. SHE'S
4	REALLY A POSTER CHILD FOR THE ADA-SCID AND HAS DONE
5	VERY WELL. THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION, AND I'M
6	HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE HAS HER HAND
8	RAISED, J.T.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANNE-MARIE.
10	DR. DULIEGE: ABLA, CONGRATULATIONS. IT'S
11	A REMARKABLE PRESENTATION, AND IT'S GREAT FOR US TO
12	TRULY HEAR MORE SPECIFIC UPDATES THAN WHAT WE GET
13	GENERALLY. THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO PUT
14	TOGETHER THIS PRESENTATION, BUT, MORE IMPORTANTLY,
15	THANK YOU TO YOU AND THE ENTIRE CIRM AND BEYOND FOR
16	ALL THE WORK THAT THIS REPRESENTS.
17	SO I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY ONE THING.
18	WHAT YOU PRESENTED IS THE RESULT OF THE COLLECTIVE
19	EFFORT OF PROP 71 AND PROP 14; IS THAT RIGHT? IT'S
20	OBVIOUSLY THE ENTIRE ERA OF CIRM CLEARLY.
21	MY QUESTION, HOW THE ALPHA CLINICS HAVE
22	HELPED OR WHAT HAVE BEEN THE ROLE OF ALPHA CLINICS?
23	THAT'S QUESTION NO. 1.
24	AND NO. 2, WE SEE, PARTICULARLY WITH THE
25	RETINITIS PIGMENTOSA PROJECT, THAT WE'RE REALLY
	36

1	QUITE CLOSE TO FINALLY A REGISTRATIONAL OR PHASE 3
2	TRIAL, WHICH IS ABSOLUTELY REMARKABLE. ARE THERE
3	OTHER PROJECTS THAT ARE GETTING CLOSE TO THIS
4	CRITICAL STAGE? THANK YOU.
5	DR. CREASEY: THANK YOU, ANNE-MARIE. SO
6	THE QUESTION REGARDING THE ALPHA CLINICS, ALPHA
7	CLINICS ARE OUR PARTNERS. MANY OF THE PROGRAMS THAT
8	I'VE MENTIONED ARE RUN AT THE ALPHA CLINICS AS WELL.
9	SO THE WAY IT WORKS, THE ALPHA CLINICS MANAGER,
10	GEOFF LOMAX, WORKS WITH US IN HAVING THE PROGRAMS
11	PRESENTED TO THE ALPHA CLINICS DIRECTORS. AND THEY
12	AGREE ON HOW ARE THEY GOING TO COLLABORATE IN
13	ADVANCING THESE PROGRAMS TOGETHER WITH CIRM.
14	AND SO IT'S UP TO, AGAIN, THE PI'S WHO
15	ACTUALLY ARE AWARDED THE GRANTS TO WORK CLOSELY WITH
16	THE ALPHA CLINICS, BUT THE RELATIONSHIP IS VERY
17	SYNERGISTIC AND HAS PRODUCED GREAT OUTCOME FOR BOTH
18	SIDES.
19	AND AS FAR AS THE PROPOSITION 71 AND 14,
20	YOU ARE CORRECT. THIS IS ALL AN OUTCOME OF ALL
21	THAT.
22	FOR THE RETINITIS PIGMENTOSA PROGRAM, IT
23	IS THE CLOSEST TO POTENTIAL REGISTRATION FOR ADULTS
24	LIKE THAT. ACTUALLY WE HAVE A COUPLE OF OTHERS, BUT
25	THEY'RE AT A STAGE NOT READY YET TO SHARE BECAUSE OF
	37

1	THE FACT THAT THEY'RE STILL IN DISCUSSIONS EITHER
2	WITH THE FDA, ET CETERA. AND SO WE OPTED TO GO WITH
3	WHAT WAS PUBLICLY SHARED WITH US THAT INFORMATION.
4	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
5	CONGRATS AGAIN.
6	DR. CREASEY: THANK YOU.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: FRED.
8	DR. FISHER: I WANT TO ECHO EVERYTHING
9	ANNE-MARIE SAID AND ADD THAT BEING PART OF AN
10	ORGANIZATION THAT'S DOING SUCH GREAT WORK IS REALLY
11	FULFILLING. I'M GRATEFUL FOR THE OPPORTUNITY.
12	A QUESTION AT THIS WEEK'S REVIEW
13	SUBCOMMITTEE WAS RELATED TO THE BENCHMARK THAT'S IN
14	THE PROP 71 LEGISLATION REGARDING INVESTMENT IN
15	NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES. I NOTED ON THE SLIDE
16	THAT YOU REFERENCED 22 PERCENT, I THINK, OF THE
17	PROJECTS WERE NEURO PROJECTS, BUT WE DIDN'T SEE THE
18	MEASUREMENT IN TERMS OF THE PERCENTAGE OF FUNDING SO
19	THAT AS A BOARD WE CAN BE TRACKING OUR COMMITMENT TO
20	INVEST A MINIMUM OF, I THINK IT WAS, 20 OR 25
21	PERCENT. I THINK PERIODICALLY AND I APOLOGIZE IF
22	I MISSED THAT. BUT I THINK PERIODICALLY I AGREE
23	WITH THE QUESTIONER YESTERDAY I DON'T WANT TO
24	ATTRIBUTE TO ANYONE BECAUSE I MIGHT NOT BE CLEAR.
25	MAYBE YOU ARE GOING TO SHOW IT TO ME RIGHT NOW IN

38

1	TERMS OF THE DOLLARS AND HOW WE ARE MEASURING UP TO
2	THE COMMITMENT FOR THAT SPENDING BENCHMARK IF THAT'S
3	CLEAR.
4	DR. CREASEY: AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR THE
5	QUESTION, MR. FISHER. SO I MAY HAVE NOT SAID THIS,
6	BUT OUT OF THE 14 GRANTS, CLINICAL GRANTS, THAT HAVE
7	BEEN AWARDED SINCE PROPOSITION 14 PASSED, 35 PERCENT
8	OF THEM, FIVE OF THE 14, ARE IN NEURO. AND SO WE
9	ARE ADVANCING THE NEURO AT A FASTER PACE OR MANY
10	MORE GRANTS ARE COMING IN IN THE NEURO AREA FOR US,
11	ESPECIALLY SINCE THE PROPOSITION PASSED.
12	AS FAR AS THE AMOUNT OF DOLLARS THAT WE
13	SPENT ON THAT, I ACTUALLY DON'T HAVE THE NUMBER
14	RIGHT NOW, BUT HAPPY TO BRING IT OR GET IT FOR YOU.
15	DR. FISHER: THANKS. I THINK THAT SINCE
16	IT'S SPECIFICALLY SPELLED OUT IN THE PROP 14
17	LANGUAGE, THE DOLLARS THAT WOULD BE SPENT ON NEURO,
18	I THINK PERIODICALLY THE BOARD GETTING AN UPDATE ON
19	WHERE WE ARE IN TERMS OF MEETING THAT BENCHMARK OR
20	EXCEEDING IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT TO KNOW. AND CREDIT
21	TO, I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS DAVID OR WHO IT WAS THE
22	OTHER DAY THAT RAISED THIS ISSUE, BUT THANK YOU.
23	DR. CREASEY: AGAIN, AS DR. MILLAN
24	MENTIONED TO YOU AGAIN IS THAT OUR HIGHEST FOCUS IS
25	REALLY NEURO. WITH THE FACT THAT NOW WE HAVE THE
	20

39

1	FUNDS AGAIN WITH YOUR APPROVAL, WE WILL CONTINUE
2	TO BRINGING IN NEW GRANTS TO CIRM IS ACTUALLY A
3	COLLABORATIVE EFFORT WITH US TALKING TO
4	INVESTIGATORS OUTSIDE CIRM AND UNIVERSITIES AND
5	COMPANIES TO ENCOURAGE THEM TO APPLY. AND THAT
6	RELATIONSHIP IS BEING FOSTERED WITH ALL THE FOLKS IN
7	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS OUTSIDE THE STATE
8	OF CALIFORNIA. SO WE ARE RELATIVELY CONFIDENT THAT
9	WE WILL BE BRINGING IN MORE CLINICAL GRANTS IN THE
10	AREA OF NEURO.
11	BY THE WAY, WHEN WE THINK OF NEURO, WE
12	DON'T THINK OF CLINICAL. WE THINK OF DISCOVERY,
13	TRANSLATION, AND CLINICAL. WHEN YOU PUT ALL THOSE
14	NUMBERS TOGETHER, I THINK MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT I
15	THINK WE MAY HAVE SPENT \$720,000 \$720 MILLION ON.
16	I DON'T COUNT IN MILLIONS AS A NORMAL PERSON. SO
17	\$720 MILLION HAS BEEN SPENT ON NEURO THUS FAR.
18	ANYTHING ELSE REGARDING THAT, MR. FISHER?
19	DR. MILLAN: ABLA, IF I MAY. CHAIRMAN
20	THOMAS, MAY I?
21	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, PLEASE.
22	DR. MILLAN: SO I THINK THAT'S AN
23	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT QUESTION. AND WE HAVE BEEN
24	TAKING THAT PARTICULAR TOPIC TO A VARIETY OF
25	INTERNAL STRATEGY MEETINGS. ONE OF THEM IS TO
	40

1	DETERMINE HOW THEY'RE GOING TO BE HOW WE ARE
2	GOING TO NOT JUST PROMOTE THE NUMBERS OF NEURO
3	PROGRAMS COMING IN, BUT HOW THESE CAN BE ORGANIZED
4	TO EMPOWER AND ESSENTIALLY CREATE KIND OF A
5	MULTIPLIER EFFECT OF THE PROGRAMS WE FUNDED TOWARD
6	FUTURE EFFORTS. SO YOU WILL BE HEARING MORE ABOUT
7	THAT AT UPCOMING MEETINGS AS THIS, WE CALL IT, NEURO
8	SUBSTRATEGIES ARE BEING DEVELOPED INTERNALLY BY THE
9	TEAM.
10	SECONDLY, JUST MORE CONCRETELY, WE WILL BE
11	REPORTING ON THE DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN OUR ANNUAL
12	REPORT. WE DO TRACK IT. WE TRACK IT ACCORDING TO
13	OUR BUDGETARY PLANNING AND EXPENDITURES. AND THAT
14	ALSO GUIDES HOW WE ORGANIZE OUR PROGRAMS AND HOW WE
15	WORK WITH OUR REVIEW TEAM AND OTHERS IN TERMS OF
16	WHAT CAN WE DO WITH OUR STANDARD PROGRAM
17	OPPORTUNITIES TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE ATTRACTING
18	PROGRAMS IN NEURO, NOT ONLY CNS, BUT ALSO PSYCHIATRY
19	AND MENTAL HEALTH. WE'RE TRYING TO INCORPORATE THAT
20	KIND OF HOLISTICALLY ACROSS OUR PROGRAMS TO MAKE
21	SURE THAT WE BRING IN THOSE TYPES OF PROGRAMS.
22	BUT IN THE UPCOMING YEAR OR TWO, WE SHOULD
23	HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION TO DO A MORE FULSOME REPORT
24	BACK TO THE BOARD IN TERMS OF WHERE WE ARE, WHAT WE
25	ARE PLANNING TO DO. AND JUST TO LET YOU KNOW, IT'S

41

1	DEFINITELY IN THE MIDDLE OF OUR DASHBOARD. IT'S
2	VERY PROMINENT IN HOW WE ARE PLANNING OUR SCIENTIFIC
3	PROGRAMS.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. JUDY AND
5	THEN DAVID.
6	DR. GASSON: DAVID WAS FIRST. I'M SORRY.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DAVID THEN JUDY.
8	DR. HIGGINS: IT DOESN'T MATTER. EITHER
9	WAY. I THINK THIS DISCUSSION PROVES THAT, IF YOU
10	WAIT LONG ENOUGH, YOUR QUESTION WILL BE ANSWERED BY
11	ALL THE QUESTIONS THAT COME BEFORE YOU. EVERYBODY
12	HAS DONE A PHENOMENAL JOB OF GIVING ABLA, I THINK,
13	THE RIGHT QUESTIONS, AND SHE'S GIVING US THE RIGHT
14	ANSWERS. SO I'M NOT GOING TALK TOO MUCH.
15	THE QUESTION I HAVE, I'LL ASK ONE QUESTION
16	AND MAKE ONE COMMENT. THE QUESTION I HAD IS
17	HISTORICALLY NEURO PROGRAMS, WHETHER THEY BE
18	CLINICAL OR DISCOVERY OR WHATEVER, HAVE ALWAYS BEEN
19	UNDERREPRESENTED AS TO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE
20	AND WHAT SORT OF REPRESENTS THE DISEASES OUT IN THE
21	COMMUNITY. THAT'S TRUE ACROSS THE BOARD. IT'S NOT
22	A CIRM THING. BUT WHAT I'M WONDERING IS, AND YOU
23	CAN PASS ON THIS QUESTION, ABLA, IF YOU LIKE, WHAT
24	ARE WE DOING DIFFERENTLY THAN WE WOULD HAVE DONE
25	FIVE YEARS AGO TO TRY TO ENRICH OUR PORTFOLIO IN

42

_	
1	NEURO PROGRAMS ACROSS THE BOARD? I IMAGINE YOU HAVE
2	AN ANSWER TO THAT, SO I'M NOT TOO WORRIED ABOUT IT.
3	BUT BEFORE YOU ANSWER THAT, I'M JUST GOING
4	TO DO A PUBLIC SERVICE FOR EVERYBODY BECAUSE WHAT WE
5	DO AT CIRM IS SO IMPORTANT, BUT LET ME PUT IT INTO
6	CONTEXT.
7	CHULA VISTA IS THE LAST COMMUNITY ON THE
8	CALIFORNIA COAST BEFORE YOU GO INTO MEXICO. IT'S A
9	LARGE CITY. IT'S 175, 200,000 PEOPLE. THEY ARE
10	BUILDING A PHENOMENAL COMPLEX OF A NEW 1400-ROOM
11	HOTEL, 5,000 NEW EMPLOYEES, PARKS, MARINAS. IT'S
12	GOING TO BE THE LAST AND SORT OF THE CROWN IN THE
13	DEVELOPMENT OF THE COASTLINE. AND IT WAS A VERY BIG
14	DEAL BECAUSE THIS THE LAST THING WE'RE EVER GOING TO
15	DO IN HUMANITY AND WE'RE GOING TO SPEND IT. THEIR
16	BUDGET FROM SOUP TO NUTS IS \$1.3 BILLION. SO JUST
17	PUT THAT IN PERSPECTIVE. THAT'S LESS THAN WE WILL
18	SPEND ON NEURO PROGRAMS THROUGH THE LIFE OF CIRM.
19	SO I JUST WANTED TO EMPHASIZE HOW
20	IMPORTANT WHAT WE DO IS, AND HOW, COMPARED TO WHAT
21	OTHER THINGS COST AND OTHER THINGS DELIVER, WE ARE A
22	GOOD VALUE FOR YOUR MONEY FOR TAXPAYERS. ENOUGH
23	SAID.
24	DR. CREASEY: DAVID, I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU
25	ARE ADVOCATING FOR, AND I UNDERSTAND THE IMPORTANCE
	43
I	

1	OF NEURO. I THINK DR. MILLAN MENTIONED A COUPLE OF
2	STRATEGIES THAT WE'RE TAKING. WE ARE DEVELOPING
3	CONSORTIA OR WOULD LIKE TO DEVELOP CONSORTIA THAT
4	DEAL WITH NEUROLOGICAL DISEASES THAT ARE, NOT JUST
5	BY OURSELVES, WITH A LOT OF OTHER POTENTIAL
6	OPPORTUNITIES. AND THE OTHER WOULD BE ALSO THE
7	DESIGN OF THE TRIALS. WHERE I SIT, WE'VE BEEN
8	DISCUSSING THE POTENTIAL OF DOING BASKET TRIALS IN
9	NEURO, UMBRELLA TRIALS IN NEURO WHICH AWARD US THE
10	BENEFIT OF TESTING MODALITIES IN A MORE RAPID MANNER
11	FOR VARIOUS NEUROLOGICAL DISEASES.
12	AND SO WE ARE ACTUALLY WE HEARD YOU, WE
13	ARE THINKING, AND WOULD LIKE TO IMPLEMENT AS SOON AS
14	POSSIBLE, BUT WE THINK COLLECTIVELY, THROUGH DR.
15	MILLAN'S LEADERSHIP, IS THAT CIRM CANNOT BE AN
16	ISLAND. IT HAS TO CONNECT WITH ALL THE FOLKS WHO
17	ARE WORKING IN THIS AREA IN ALL OF OUR PILLARS, AND
18	WE CAN FIGURE OUT TOGETHER WHAT IT'S GOING TO TAKE
19	TO MOVE NEURO TO THE NEXT STAGE AND TO TREAT AS MANY
20	OF THOSE, AND YOU SEE NEURO PATIENTS AS HAPPY AS THE
21	ONES FOR THE SCID BABIES. SO WE ARE DETERMINED TO
22	ACTUALLY FIGURE OUT HOW TO GET THERE.
23	DR. HIGGINS: THANK YOU.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: JUDY.
25	DR. GASSON: I JUST WANTED TO ENDORSE WHAT
	44

1	MARIA MILLAN JUST SAID. UNDER PROP 71 WE WERE ABLE
2	TO FUND NEURODEGENERATION DISORDERS. AND MANY OF
3	THE STUDIES THAT WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT TODAY, I
4	BELIEVE, ARE IN AREAS LIKE PARKINSON'S AND
5	ALZHEIMER'S. WHAT IS NEW ABOUT PROP 14 IS THE \$1.5
6	BILLION THAT IS REALLY EARMARKED FOR CNS AND
7	PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS, INCLUDING ADDICTION,
8	DEPRESSION, BIPOLAR, SCHIZOPHRENIA, AND SOME OF
9	THOSE DISORDERS. SO I'M VERY EXCITED TO HEAR THAT
10	WE CAN ALL WORK TOGETHER TO COME UP WITH A WAY TO
11	HAVE A REAL IMPACT IN THESE DISEASES WHICH ARE VERY
12	DEVASTATING TO FAMILIES AND ARE ALSO VERY MUCH
13	UNEQUALLY REPRESENTED IN UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES FOR
14	A VARIETY OF REASONS. SO I THINK THIS IS REALLY
15	IMPORTANT. THANK YOU, MARIA, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. ANY OTHER HANDS
17	RAISED HERE?
18	DR. CREASEY: WE HAVE
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: AL.
20	MR. ROWLETT: I WOULD BE REMISS AS THE
21	PATIENT ADVOCATE REPRESENTING MENTAL HEALTH NOT TO
22	ENTHUSIASTICALLY SAY THANK YOU TO JUDY FOR HER
23	LEADERSHIP AS A MEMBER OF THIS BOARD, ALWAYS IN THE
24	AREA OF CNS AND OF PEOPLE FOR PEOPLE BEING
25	AFFECTED BY PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS SUCH AS

1	SCHIZOPHRENIA AND OTHER AFFECTIVE DISORDERS THAT SHE
2	INDICATED. AND TO SAY TO STAFF THAT I HAVE THE
3	UNIQUE PRIVILEGE OF WORKING WITH PEOPLE FROM
4	UNDERREPRESENTED, UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES AND
5	UNDERSTAND THEIR HOPE FOR A CURE. AND I KNOW THAT
6	SOMETIMES THAT THOSE PHRASES SEEM ALMOST
7	INCONCEIVABLY UNREACHABLE, BUT THEY ARE REACHABLE
8	BECAUSE AS, AGAIN, DAVID SAID, THIS IS A WONDERFUL
9	ORGANIZATION WITH VERY TALENTED PEOPLE THAT ARE VERY
10	COMMITTED TO THIS PURPOSE. I ENTHUSIASTICALLY
11	ENDORSE YOU BRINGING BACK REGULAR REPORTS ON NOT
12	ONLY HOW THE FUNDS ARE BEING ALLOCATED, BUT THE
13	EFFECTIVENESS OF SOME OF THE GRANTS ASSOCIATED WITH
14	CNS AND PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, AL. LET'S
16	SEE. MARV.
17	DR. SOUTHARD: AND I JUST WANTED TO
18	ENTHUSIASTICALLY SUPPORT WHAT JUDY AND AL SAID. I
19	THINK THIS IS REALLY A CRUCIAL AREA, AND WE NEED TO
20	KEEP OUR FOCUS THERE. THANK YOU.
21	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. ANY OTHER
22	COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? ABLA, I DON'T
23	SEE ANY UP THERE. MARIA, DO YOU SEE ANY OTHERS?
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. ABLA, THANK YOU
	46

1	VERY MUCH FOR THAT PRESENTATION. I THINK IT'S
2	INDICATIVE OF THE BEST-IN-CLASS WORK THAT THE ENTIRE
3	CIRM FAMILY HAS ENABLED AND IS A REAL BEACON OF HOPE
4	TO PATIENTS FOR ALL THOSE CONDITIONS AS WELL AS THE
5	MANY OTHERS FOR WHICH WE HAVE FUNDED RESEARCH. SO
6	CONGRATULATIONS TO THE TEAM.
7	I JUST WANTED TO MAKE ONE COMMENT, WHICH
8	IS I DIDN'T WANT THE DISCUSSION OF CAPS TO PASS
9	WITHOUT COMMENT. ONE OF THE THINGS, THE MANY THINGS
10	THAT CIRM DOES THAT SEPARATES IT FROM OTHER MAJOR
11	GRANT-MAKING ENTITIES IN THE SPACE IS THE
12	INVOLVEMENT THAT CIRM HAS THROUGHOUT THE, IN THIS
13	CASE, CLINICAL TRIAL PROCESSES OF THE VARIOUS
14	PROJECTS. MOST GRANT-MAKING AGENCIES GIVE AWARDEES
15	THEIR FUNDS AND SAY CONGRATULATIONS, BEST OF LUCK,
16	AND THERE'S LITTLE TO NO FOLLOW UP.
17	THE CAPS ARE A MAJOR CHANGE FROM THE NORM
18	AND IS SOMETHING, AS ABLA DISCUSSED, THAT ALLOWS FOR
19	PROJECTS TO BE ENHANCED MIDSTREAM AND TO GIVE THEM A
20	BETTER SHOT AT SUCCESS. AND THAT IS A MAJOR
21	DIFFERENTIATOR AMONG SEVERAL FOR WHAT WE DO IN THE
22	PROCESS THAT WE HAVE IN PLACE. SO, ABLA AND MARIA,
23	CONGRATULATIONS ON ALL OF THAT. 356 CAPS IS A LOT
24	OF CAPS OVER THE LAST SIX YEARS, AND THE RESULTS ARE
25	CLEAR. SO I JUST WANTED TO ELABORATE A BIT FOR THE

47

1	BOARD ON THAT.
2	MR. TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, SENATOR TORRES.
4	MR. TORRES: I JUST WANTED TO ADD MY
5	THANKS TO MARIA AND TO ABLA. I'VE WORKED VERY
6	CLOSELY WITH ABLA ON A NUMBER OF ISSUES, ESPECIALLY
7	IN THE NEUROLOGICAL FIELD, BUT ALSO WE OWE A DEBT OF
8	GRATITUDE TO BOB KLEIN. THIS WAS INCLUDED BECAUSE
9	OF HIS INSISTENCE THAT NEUROLOGICAL DISEASES NEEDED
10	TO BE HIGHLIGHTED WITHIN THE INITIATIVE. SO WHEN
11	PROP 14 WAS BEING DRAFTED, HE REALLY TOOK A
12	COURAGEOUS STAND BECAUSE IT WAS NOT A UNANIMOUS
13	DECISION AMONG THE SCIENTISTS THAT WE SHOULD CARVE
14	OUT A SPECIFIC AREA FOR NEUROLOGICAL DISEASES. AND
15	1.5 BILLION, AS DAVID HAS JUST SAID, IS FANTASTIC;
16	BUT, AGAIN, A THANK YOU TO BOB KLEIN.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ART. PAT.
18	DR. LEVITT: PROBABLY WHAT I'M GOING TO
19	SAY IS UNPOPULAR. I DON'T KNOW. BUT I'VE BEEN A
20	WORKING I'M AN ACTIVE NEUROSCIENTIST DOING
21	RESEARCH ON SCHIZOPHRENIA AND AUTISM AND OTHER
22	DISORDERS OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM FOR A VERY LONG
23	PERIOD OF TIME BECAUSE I'M OLD. SOME OF YOU MAY OR
24	MAY NOT REMEMBER, BUT BACK IN 1995 DURING THE DECADE
25	OF THE BRAIN, JERRY FISCHBACH WAS HEAD OF NINDS AT

1	THAT TIME, WENT TO CONGRESS MAKING PROMISES ABOUT
2	CURING PARKINSON'S DISEASE IN FIVE OR SIX YEARS AS
3	LONG AS THERE WAS AN INCREASE IN FUNDING. SO I GIVE
4	THAT AS AN EXAMPLE.
5	I LOVE THE ENTHUSIASM. I THINK THIS IS A
6	REALLY IMPORTANT AREA, AND I THINK THAT THE PROGRAM
7	FOLKS, I THINK, HAVE SOME REALLY GREAT PLANS ABOUT
8	ENCOURAGING MORE APPLICATIONS, HIGHER QUALITY THAT
9	ARE REALLY GOING TO TRY TO ADDRESS WHAT HAS BEEN
10	PROBABLY THE BIGGEST BIOMEDICAL CHALLENGE WE FACE.
11	THE BRAIN IS COMPLICATED, AND THE DISEASES OF THE
12	BRAIN AND THE NERVOUS SYSTEM IN GENERAL ARE QUITE
13	COMPLICATED, WHICH IS WHY WE DON'T HAVE CURES.
14	WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON THIS FOR SIXTY PLUS YEARS OR
15	MORE WITH MODERN SCIENCE.
16	SO I JUST SAY THIS, THAT WE BE
17	ENTHUSIASTIC, BUT REMEMBER HOW WE COMMUNICATE THIS,
18	THAT WE DON'T WANT TO BUILD A BRIDGE TOO FAR AND
19	SUGGEST THAT WE ARE ON THE VERGE OF BEING ABLE TO
20	COME UP WITH GREAT APPROACHES TO CURING X, Y, AND Z.
21	I SAY THAT BECAUSE AND I KNOW JERRY FISCHBACH
22	WELL. I KNEW HIM FOR A LONG TIME. BUT WHAT HE
23	SAID, AND THERE ARE OTHERS WHO DO THE SAME THING,
24	DID DAMAGE TO THE FUNDING OF AREAS LIKE THIS AT THE
25	NIH. I JUST WANT US TO BE CONFIDENTLY CAUTIOUS

49

1	ABOUT HOW WE COMMUNICATE WHAT WE ARE DOING IN THIS
2	AREA. MEETING THE GOALS OF THE PROPOSITION, WHICH
3	I'M ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT, WHAT I HEARD TODAY WAS
4	FANTASTIC. SO I'M A BIG SUPPORTER, BUT WE HAVE TO
5	COMMUNICATE THIS IN A TEMPERED WAY BECAUSE THIS IS
6	NOT CANCER. THIS IS REALLY CANCER IS
7	COMPLICATED. THIS IS A DIFFERENT DEGREE OF
8	COMPLICATION.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. YES. PLEASE
10	GO AHEAD, MIKE.
11	DR. BOTCHAN: I'D JUST LIKE TO SECOND
12	THAT, AND I WON'T REITERATE EVERYTHING HE SAID, BUT
13	I'D LIKE TO CALL PEOPLE'S ATTENTION TO THE
14	ORGANIZATION THAT'S VERY WELL FUNDED. RANDY
15	SCHEKMAN AT UC BERKELEY HAS PARKINSON'S NOW. AND
16	THE PREMISE THERE IS THAT WE NEED MORE BASIC
17	RESEARCH. AND THAT WITHOUT REAL BASIC RESEARCH, WE
18	ARE STILL SORT OF FUMBLING AROUND. IT REMINDS ME
19	PERSONALLY OF WHAT HAPPENED WITH THE WAR ON CANCER
20	THAT NIXON LAUNCHED. IT TOOK ALMOST A DECADE AND A
21	HALF BEFORE WE ACTUALLY UNDERSTOOD WHAT ONCOGENES
22	AND TUMOR SUPPRESSION WERE. AND ASIDE FROM THE
23	COMPLEXITIES OF THE BRAIN THAT WE JUST HEARD ABOUT
24	FROM AN EXPERT, I THINK THAT THERE ARE INDICATIONS
25	THAT SOMATIC MUTATIONS MAY OCCUR IN STEM CELLS IN

1	THE BRAIN IN PROCESSES THAT SORT OF MIMIC WHAT
2	HAPPENS IN CANCER. AND UNTIL WE UNDERSTAND THE
3	GENETIC COMPONENT, WE MAY BE SORT OF GRAPPLING WITH
4	A COMPLEXITY THAT WE JUST CAN'T FATHOM NOW.
5	SO I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR US TO FUND
6	THIS KIND OF STUFF IN BASIC RESEARCH AS WELL AS THE
7	CLINICAL APPLICATIONS.
8	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MIKE. AND,
9	PAT, FOR YOUR CAUTIONARY REMARKS, I THINK WE GO TO
10	GREAT LENGTHS TO NOT OVERSTATE ANYTHING THAT WE
11	THINK WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO. WE ARE VERY
12	CAREFUL ABOUT THAT. AND WE REPORT THE PROGRESS ON
13	PROJECTS AS IT COMES WITHOUT HYPERBOLE. I DON'T
14	THINK ANYBODY FOR A SECOND DOUBTS THE COMPLEXITY IN
15	THE NEURO SPACE OR IN MANY OF THE OTHER SPACES WE'RE
16	DEALING WITH. THIS IS REALLY TOUGH STUFF, WHICH IS
17	WHY WE ARE INVOLVED IN IT. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH
18	FOR THOSE REMARKS.
19	ANY OTHER COMMENTS? OKAY. MARIA, DOES
20	THAT CONCLUDE THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT?
21	DR. MILLAN: YES, IT DOES.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY
23	MUCH. AND, AGAIN, CONGRATULATIONS TO THE TEAM.
24	THANK YOU, ABLA, FOR AN EXCELLENT PRESENTATION.
25	WE'RE GOING GO NOW INTO I'M GOING TO
	51

1	SKIP THE CONSENT ITEMS, PUT THAT TOWARDS THE END.
2	WE'RE GOING TO GO INTO THE ACTION ITEMS. I WANT TO
3	NOTE IN ADVANCE, WE HAVE A BIT OF ANOMALY. WE HAVE
4	THE GOOD FORTUNE AT 11 O'CLOCK ON THE NOSE TO HAVE
5	SENATOR ANTHONY PORTANTINO, WHO'S GOING TO BE
6	ADDRESSING ITEM NO. 10, SB 987, CALLING IN AT THAT
7	MOMENT. SO WHATEVER WE ARE DOING AT 11 O'CLOCK, WE
8	WILL CUT TO SENATOR TORRES AND SENATOR PORTANTINO.
9	SO I JUST WANTED TO ALERT EVERYBODY TO THAT.
10	SO NOW WE'RE GOING INTO THE APPLICATION
11	REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE FOR ITEM NO. 6 ON THE ACTION
12	AGENDA, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS
13	SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE
14	PROJECTS PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT CLIN1 OR 2. WE'RE
15	GOING TO HAVE A PRESENTATION ON THIS ITEM BY DR.
16	SAMBRANO.
17	DR. SAMBRANO: OKAY. THANK YOU, MR.
18	CHAIRMAN. LET ME PUT UP THIS PRESENTATION. GOOD
19	MORNING, EVERYONE. SO AS MENTIONED, I'M GOING TO
20	PRESENT THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM LAST MONTH'S GRANTS
21	WORKING GROUP REVIEW OF CLINICAL APPLICATIONS.
22	JUST A QUICK REMINDER OF OUR MISSION. I
23	KNOW YOU ALREADY SAW THIS, BUT JUST TO NOTE THAT WE
24	ALSO PRESENT THIS DURING OUR GRANTS WORKING GROUP
25	MEETINGS JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYBODY GOING INTO
	50

1	THE REVIEW IS ON THE SAME PAGE AS TO WHAT WE ARE
2	STRIVING TO DO AT CIRM.
3	THIS IS A REMINDER OF THE CLINICAL BUDGET
4	STATUS TO NOTE THAT WE HAVE STARTED A NEW FISCAL
5	YEAR. SO WE ARE KIND OF HAVING A FRESH START HERE
6	WITH 169 MILLION ALLOCATED TO THE CLINICAL PROGRAM.
7	SO THE AMOUNT REQUESTED TODAY WITH THE TWO
8	APPLICATIONS WOULD BE 7.8 MILLION IF APPROVED, WHICH
9	WOULD LEAVE US ABOUT 154 MILLION IN THAT BUDGET.
10	THE SCIENTIFIC SCORING SYSTEM THAT IS USED
11	TO ASSESS THESE APPLICATIONS IS BASED ON A SCALE OF
12	1, 2, OR 3. A SCORE OF 1 MEANS THAT AN APPLICATION
13	HAS EXCEPTIONAL MERIT AND WARRANTS FUNDING. A SCORE
14	OF 2 NEEDS IMPROVEMENT, AND TYPICALLY THOSE GO BACK
15	TO THE APPLICANT FOR REVISIONS, COME BACK TO THE
16	GRANTS WORKING GROUP OVER A COUPLE OF MONTHS PERIOD
17	TO REASSESS. THOSE THAT GET A SCORE OF 3 ARE
18	SUFFICIENTLY FLAWED THAT THEY KIND OF NEED TO GO
19	BACK TO THE DRAWING BOARD, AND WE WON'T ACCEPT THOSE
20	BACK FOR AT LEAST SIX MONTHS.
21	THE REVIEW CRITERIA THAT ARE UTILIZED TO
22	COME UP WITH THAT SCORE OF 1, 2, OR 3 ARE BASED ON
23	THESE FIVE QUESTIONS. FIRST, DOES THE PROJECT HOLD
24	THE NECESSARY SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT?
25	MEANING WHAT VALUE IT IS OFFERING. AND IS THE

1	RATIONALE SOUND? IS THE PROJECT WELL-PLANNED AND
2	DESIGNED? AND IS IT FEASIBLE, INCLUDING WHETHER
3	THEY HAVE AN APPROPRIATE, QUALIFIED TEAM AND ALL THE
4	RESOURCES TO CARRY OUT THE PROJECT? AND THEN THE
5	FIFTH QUESTION IS DOES THE PROJECT ADDRESS THE NEEDS
6	OF UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES?
7	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP ITSELF HAS A
8	COMPOSITION THAT INCLUDES DIFFERENT ROLES. THOUGH
9	WE DO HAVE THE SCIENTIFIC GRANTS WORKING GROUP
10	MEMBERS THAT ARE APPOINTED BY THE BOARD, ALL
11	EX-CALIFORNIA INDIVIDUALS, MEANING OUTSIDE OF
12	CALIFORNIA. THEY CONDUCT THE SCIENTIFIC EVALUATION,
13	THEY BRING IN EXPERTISE FROM A VARIETY OF AREAS FROM
14	DISEASE, CLINICAL, REGULATORY, CMC, AND SO ON, AND
15	THEY PROVIDE THE SCIENTIFIC SCORE THAT YOU SEE ON
16	THESE APPLICATIONS.
17	BUT AS PART OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP,
18	WE ALSO HAVE OUR PATIENT ADVOCATE AND NURSE MEMBERS
19	WHO ARE ALSO MEMBERS OF THE ICOC. AND THEIR ROLE IS
20	TO CONDUCT THE DEI EVALUATION, PROVIDE A PATIENT
21	PERSPECTIVE ON THE IMPACTS, SIGNIFICANCE OF THE
22	PROJECTS, AND ALSO JUST PROVIDE OVERSIGHT ON THE
23	REVIEW PROCESS ITSELF. SO THESE MEMBERS PROVIDE A
24	DEI SCORE ON ALL OF THE APPLICATIONS THAT YOU WILL
25	SEE IN THE SUMMARIES THAT WERE PROVIDED. AND DURING

1	THE REVIEW, THEY ALSO PROVIDE A SUGGESTED SCIENTIFIC
2	SCORE.
3	WE ALSO HAVE SCIENTIFIC SPECIALISTS WHO
4	PARTICIPATE. THESE ARE NONVOTING MEMBERS WITH
5	SCIENTIFIC AREAS OF EXPERTISE THAT HELP US FILL IN
6	GAPS WHEN WE NEED. THEY PROVIDE AN INITIAL, BUT NOT
7	FINAL SCIENTIFIC SCORE DURING THE COURSE OF THE
8	REVIEW. SO THAT SERVES AS BACKGROUND.
9	THIS IS A SLIDE JUST TO REMIND ALL BOARD
10	MEMBERS WHO HAVE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST WITH THE TWO
11	APPLICATIONS THAT WE ARE CONSIDERING TODAY AS SHOWN
12	HERE. THE CLIN2, WHICH IS THE CLINICAL TRIAL GRANT,
13	AND THEN THE CLIN1, WHICH IS A PROPOSAL FOR
14	IND-ENABLING STUDIES. SO JUST TAKE A NOTE OF THAT
15	AND REMEMBER THAT YOU ARE RECUSED FROM SPEAKING ON
16	THESE APPLICATIONS.
17	SO THE FIRST APPLICATION TO BE CONSIDERED
18	IS CLIN2-13267. THE TITLE OF THIS APPLICATION IS
19	"PHASE 1 TREATMENT OF URETHRAL STRICTURES IN
20	HUMANS." SO THIS THERAPY IS AN ENGINEERED SCAFFOLD
21	WITH CELLS MADE FROM AUTOLOGOUS PROGENITOR CELLS.
22	THAT INCLUDES SMOOTH MUSCLE AND UROTHELIAL CELLS.
23	AND THE INDICATION IS FOR PATIENTS THAT HAVE LONG
24	URETHRAL STRICTURES THAT CAN'T BE TREATED WITH
25	CURRENT METHODS.

1	THE GOAL IS TO COMPLETE A PHASE 1 CLINICAL
2	TRIAL TO ASSESS SAFETY AND FEASIBILITY OF THIS
3	APPROACH. THE FUNDS REQUESTED IS 3.8 MILLION FOR
4	THIS PROGRAM.
5	LITTLE BACKGROUND ON STRICTURE DISEASE OR
6	THE OCCURRENCE OF STRICTURES. THESE OCCUR FOR A
7	VARIETY OF REASONS. SOME ARE CONGENITAL, SOME MAY
8	BE DUE TO DISEASE OR TRAUMATIC INJURY SO THEY CAN
9	HAVE A PROFOUND EFFECT ON THE QUALITY OF LIFE OF
10	INDIVIDUALS RESULTING IN INFECTION, BLADDER STONES,
11	FISTULAS, SEPSIS, AND EVENTUALLY RENAL FAILURE.
12	THE VALUE PROPOSITION FOR THIS PROPOSED
13	THERAPY, THE CURRENT STANDARD OF CARE FOR THE LONG
14	SEGMENT STRICTURES INCLUDES AN INTERNAL URETHROTOMY,
15	URETHROPLASTY, AND RECONSTRUCTION USING GRAFTS OR
16	VASCULARIZED FLAPS. AND THE STRICTURE RECURRENCE,
17	ALONG WITH INFECTIONS AND OTHER COMPLICATIONS, ARE
18	QUITE COMMON WITH THESE TECHNIQUES, PARTICULARLY FOR
19	THOSE LONG STRICTURES. AND SO THIS PROPOSED THERAPY
20	OFFERS THE POTENTIAL FOR A LIFELONG CURE FOR THOSE
21	STRICTURES AND ELIMINATES THE COMPLICATIONS THAT ARE
22	ASSOCIATED WITH THESE THERAPIES.
23	WHY IS THIS A STEM CELL OR GENE THERAPY
24	PROJECT? THIS UTILIZES UROTHELIAL AND MUSCLE
25	PROGENITOR CELLS AS PART OF THE MANUFACTURING
	56

1	PROCESS TO CREATE THESE GRAFTS.
2	OUR PORTFOLIO HAS VERY LITTLE IN TERMS OF
3	PROJECTS THAT ARE SIMILAR TO THIS. SO THIS IS TO
4	REPRESENT OUR ACTIVE PORTFOLIO PROJECTS. THE
5	CLOSEST THING WE HAVE IS A TRANSLATIONAL PROJECT
6	THAT IS FOCUSED ON URINARY INCONTINENCE THAT USES
7	IPSC-DERIVED SMOOTH MUSCLE CELLS TO TRANSPLANT INTO
8	THE URETHRA MUSCLE TO REGENERATE THE WEAK URETHRA.
9	SO THAT'S THE CLOSEST THING WE HAVE. OTHERWISE
10	THERE'S NOTHING SPECIFICALLY FOR URETHRAL STRICTURES
11	IN OUR PORTFOLIO. AND THIS PARTICULAR APPLICANT HAS
12	NOT PREVIOUSLY RECEIVED A CIRM AWARD.
13	SO THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GRANTS
14	WORKING GROUP FOR THIS APPLICATION IS A SCORE OF 1
15	WITH ALL MEMBERS GIVING IT A SCORE OF 1 AND NO ONE
16	GIVING IT A SCORE OF 2 OR 3. THE DEI SCORE HAS A
17	MEDIAN SCORE OF 7. AND THE CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION
18	IS TO FUND IN CONCURRENCE WITH THE GRANTS WORKING
19	GROUP RECOMMENDATION FOR 3.8 MILLION. SO I WILL
20	PAUSE HERE FOR ANY QUESTIONS AND APPROVAL. MR.
21	CHAIRMAN.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
23	GIL. DO WE HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE?
24	DR. DULIEGE: I MOVE.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ANNE-MARIE.
	57

1	IS THERE A SECOND?
2	MR. ROWLETT: I SECOND.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, AL.
4	QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE
5	BOARD? HEARING NONE, ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM
6	THE PUBLIC, OR COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC I SHOULD
7	SAY.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE ARE NO HANDS
9	RAISED, J.T.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY
11	MUCH. MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL. LEONDRA
13	CLARK-HARVEY.
14	MS. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
16	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
18	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: THAT'S AN AYE FROM
19	MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
21	DR. FISHER: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
23	DR. HIGGINS: (THUMBS UP.)
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
25	MR. LAHARA: YES.
	58
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
2	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
4	DR. PADILLA: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA. AL ROWLETT.
6	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
8	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON.
12	THE MOTION CARRIES.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
14	MARIA. GIL, ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
15	DR. SAMBRANO: NO, JUST THE NEXT
16	APPLICATION.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU.
18	PLEASE PROCEED.
19	DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU. SO THE NEXT
20	APPLICATION IS CLIN1-13315. AND SO THIS
21	APPLICATION, JUST TO NOTE, WAS PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED
22	TO THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE A COUPLE OF
23	MONTHS AGO IN APRIL. AND SO, ALTHOUGH IT DID
24	RECEIVE A SCORE OF 1 AND WHY IT WAS BEING PRESENTED,
25	THE VOTES WERE PRETTY CLOSE AND SPLIT WITH EIGHT
	59

1	VOTING FOR A SCORE OF 1 AND SEVEN MEMBERS SCORING
2	FOR A SCORE OF 2 OUT OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.
3	IT ALSO HAD A RELATIVELY LOW DEI SCORE. AND SO THE
4	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE REQUESTED THAT THE
5	APPLICANTS REVISE THEIR APPLICATION AND THAT THE
6	GRANTS WORKING GROUP REEVALUATE THOSE REVISIONS
7	BEFORE IT COMES BACK TO THE APPLICATION REVIEW
8	SUBCOMMITTEE.
9	SO WE HAVE TAKEN IT THROUGH THAT PROCESS.
10	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP HAS LOOKED AT IT AGAIN. SO
11	I WILL REVIEW THE SUMMARY OF WHAT OCCURRED WITH
12	THOSE REVISIONS.
13	SO THE TITLE OF THIS APPLICATION THEN IS
14	"HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELL GENE THERAPY FOR X-LINKED
15	CHRONIC GRANULOMATOUS DISEASE (XCGD)." IT IS AN
16	AUTOLOGOUS THERAPY THAT IS BEING PROPOSED TO CORRECT
17	THE GENE DEFECT IN HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELLS. AND,
18	OF COURSE, THE INDICATION IS FOR XCGD PATIENTS,
19	WHICH IS A RARE DISEASE THAT PROBABLY OCCURS ONLY IN
20	ABOUT TEN PATIENTS PER YEAR OR AT LEAST THAT'S THE
21	ESTIMATE.
22	THE GOAL IS TO COMPLETE IND-ENABLING
23	STUDIES AND SUBMIT THEIR IND. THE FUNDS REQUESTED
24	IS JUST UNDER FOUR MILLION WITH CO-FUNDING PROVIDED
25	BY THE APPLICANT OF JUST UNDER A MILLION.
	60

60

1	SOME BACKGROUND ON XCGD. THIS IS A RARE,
2	AS MENTIONED, IMMUNE DISORDER THAT PREVENTS WHITE
3	BLOOD CELLS FROM ESSENTIALLY KILLING FOREIGN
4	INVADERS. AND SO THIS RESULTS IN VERY SEVERE,
5	RECURRENT INFECTIONS THAT IMPACT QUALITY OF LIFE AND
6	THE LENGTH OF THE PATIENT'S LIFE. THIS CONDITION IS
7	USUALLY DIAGNOSED BEFORE AGE 5; BUT WITHOUT
8	TREATMENT, CHILDREN MAY DIE BEFORE THE AGE OF 10.
9	SO THE VALUE PROPOSITION FOR THE PROPOSED
10	THERAPY, CURRENT STANDARD OF CARE INVOLVES ONGOING
11	ANTIBACTERIAL, ANTIFUNGAL PROPHYLAXIS, AND
12	ALLOGENEIC HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELL TRANSPLANT. SO
13	THE ALLOGENEIC TRANSPLANT CAN BE CURATIVE, BUT IT
14	ALSO COMES WITH MANY SIDE EFFECTS, INCLUDING GRAFT
15	VERSUS HOST DISEASE AND OTHER COMPLICATIONS. SO, IF
16	SUCCESSFUL, THE PROPOSED THERAPY DOES OFFER PATIENTS
17	THE POTENTIAL FOR IMMUNE RESTORATION AND A CURE.
18	THE PROJECT IS A STEM CELL OR GENE THERAPY
19	BECAUSE THE THERAPY ITSELF IS COMPOSED OF
20	GENE-MODIFIED HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELLS.
21	OTHER PROJECTS IN OUR ACTIVE CIRM
22	PORTFOLIO THAT ARE SIMILAR, WE DO HAVE A CLINICAL
23	TRIAL PROJECT SUPPORTING A PHASE 1-2 TRIAL FOR XCGD
24	THAT USES AUTOLOGOUS GENE CORRECTED CD34 POSITIVE
25	CELLS. AND THE MECHANISM IS SIMILAR, LENTIVIRAL
	61

1	VECTOR CORRECTION OF THE GENE DEFECT. THE TEAM THAT
2	IS FUNDED TO DO THIS WORK OVERLAPS WITH THE TEAM OF
3	THE CURRENT PROPOSAL. SO THIS NEW PROPOSAL IS SORT
4	OF A NEXT GENERATION THERAPY THAT USES THE
5	LENTIVECTOR APPROACH FOR THIS.
6	THIS PARTICULAR APPLICANT, BECAUSE THEY
7	ARE COMING IN AS A NEW ENTITY, HAS NOT PREVIOUSLY
8	RECEIVED A CIRM AWARD, BUT, AS MENTIONED, SOME OF
9	THE MEMBERS THAT ARE INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT
10	CERTAINLY HAVE.
11	THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GRANTS WORKING
12	GROUP, AND I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU HERE A COMPARISON
13	BASICALLY BETWEEN THE CURRENT GRANTS WORKING GROUP
14	VOTE WHERE IT RECEIVED A UNANIMOUS SCORE OF 1 BY 15
15	MEMBERS COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS GRANTS WORKING
16	GROUP WHERE IT HAD RECEIVED EIGHT VERSUS SEVEN
17	VOTES. SO A UNANIMOUS SCORE OF 1. IT ALSO RECEIVED
18	A DEI SCORE OF 7. THE PREVIOUS DEI SCORE HAD BEEN A
19	5.5. SO IMPROVEMENT ON BOTH ACCOUNTS. AND SO THE
20	CIRM RECOMMENDATION IS FUND THIS PROPOSAL WITH THE
21	RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP AND FOR
22	THE AWARD AMOUNT OF 3.99 MILLION. MR. CHAIRMAN.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, GIL. DO WE
24	HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE?
25	MR. ROWLETT: SO MOVED.
	62

	DETTI C. DIATIN, CIT CON NO. 7 192
1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, AL.
2	SECOND?
3	DR. LO: SECOND.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DAVID GOT IN THERE
5	FIRST. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
6	COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE
7	BOARD? ANNE-MARIE.
8	DR. DULIEGE: CAN YOU GIVE US A BIT MORE
9	OF YOUR PERSPECTIVE AND THAT OF YOUR TEAM ABOUT AN
10	APPLICATION THAT WAS SUBOPTIMAL TO BEGIN WITH, IS
11	COMING SLIGHTLY BETTER, BUT STILL SORT OF AVERAGE?
12	WHAT'S GOING ON THERE? THANK YOU.
13	DR. SAMBRANO: SO THE APPLICATION, AT
14	LEAST FROM THE SCIENTIFIC PERSPECTIVE, I THINK CAME
15	BACK MUCH STRONGER. I MEAN THERE WAS A UNANIMOUS
16	SCORE OF 1 FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS.
17	THE DEI SCORE MOVED UP TO A 7. SO IT'S CERTAINLY
18	NOT A 10. I THINK I WOULD MAYBE REQUEST SOME OF OUR
19	BOARD MEMBERS WHO WERE THERE IF THEY WANT TO SPEAK
20	TO SOME OF THE RATIONALE BEHIND NOT ACHIEVING A
21	PERFECT SCORE IN TERMS OF THE DEI.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: AL, WERE YOU GOING TO
23	SPEAK TO THAT ISSUE BY ANY CHANCE?
24	MR. ROWLETT: I WILL CERTAINLY ATTEMPT TO.
25	FIRST, I WILL ASSERT THAT THE DEI SCORE, INITIAL DEI
	63

1	SCORE, DID INFLUENCE THE OUTCOME OF THIS
2	APPLICATION. AND SO I'LL PAUSE AND ANECDOTALLY, AND
3	I'LL TAKE THE CRITICISM LATER, SAY, YSABEL, THAT'S
4	JUST FOR YOU. BUT WE GOT THE MESSAGE, AND THE GWG
5	REALLY IS BEGINNING TO APPRECIATE THE SIGNIFICANCE
6	AND IMPORTANCE OF DEI AS IT PERTAINS TO
7	UNDERREPRESENTED, UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES.
8	MORE IMPORTANTLY, I THINK STAFF HAS DONE A
9	VERY GOOD JOB AT PROVIDING US WITH A RUBRIC THAT
10	ELIMINATES SUBJECTIVE SCORING, AND IT AFFORDS US
11	WITH A WAY TO OBJECTIVELY SCORE THE APPLICATIONS.
12	AND WHEN YOU SAY THAT TO THE SCIENTIFIC EVALUATORS,
13	FROM THE OPINION OF ONE, THEY GET IT. AND SO FROM
14	THE DEI PERSPECTIVE, MOVING FROM A 5.5 TO A 7 MAY
15	NOT BE MONUMENTAL; HOWEVER, A 5.5 INITIALLY IS NOT
16	FUNDABLE. AND THAT, AGAIN, IS HOW I APPRECIATED
17	THIS PART OF THE PROCESS.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, AL. YSABEL.
19	MS. DURON: THANKS, AL, FOR YOUR
20	PERSPECTIVE. IT IS SO CRITICAL TO HEAR WHEN YOU'RE
21	SITTING ON THOSE REVIEW BOARDS AND COMMITTEES.
22	I THINK TO ME OVER TIME, J.T., IS THE
23	OBSERVATION THAT WE DON'T HAVE THAT MANY PATIENT
24	ADVOCATES ON THE COMMITTEE SO THAT WHEN THERE'S TWO
25	PEOPLE SCORING THIS, IT REALLY DOES WEIGH ONE WAY OR
	64

1	THE OTHER FOR SOMETHING, WHICH IS WHY I RAISED THE
2	ISSUE WHY DOES ONE SAY THIS IS GREAT AND THE OTHER
3	SAYS IT AIN'T. SO IT'S ALMOST AS THOUGH YOU
4	ACTUALLY NEED A FEW MORE VOTES VIS-A-VIS THE DEI
5	PLAN. THAT'S JUST AN OBSERVATION. OF COURSE, IT'S
6	NOT BECAUSE I WANT MORE WORK AS A PATIENT ADVOCATE,
7	BUT I DO BELIEVE THAT THAT POSSIBLY WEIGHS ON THOSE
8	VOTES AS WELL. AND I'M GLAD TO KNOW THAT TWO
9	PATIENT ADVOCATES CAN HAVE SUCH A GREAT DEAL OF
10	WEIGHT IN THIS AND THAT THE RESEARCHERS ARE
11	LISTENING.
12	IT IS SO CRITICAL FOR INCLUSION AND FOR
13	MOVING THIS RESEARCH INTO OUR COMMUNITIES TO ALLOW
14	OUR COMMUNITIES ALSO TO PARTICIPATE. SO I REALLY
15	APPRECIATE IT, AND I APPRECIATE THE BOARD AS A WHOLE
16	TAKING THIS VERY SERIOUSLY ABOUT THE DEI, ABOUT US
17	MAKING SHIFTS AND CHANGES. AND I'D LIKE YOU TO KNOW
18	FROM MY VANTAGE POINT, WORKING NATIONALLY AND ACROSS
19	OTHER ORGANIZATIONS, BOARDS, CONVERSATIONS WHERE
20	EVERYBODY IS TALKING ABOUT DEI, I LIKE TO POINT TO
21	CIRM AS ONE OF THOSE WHO IS PUTTING THEIR MONEY
22	WHERE OUR INTENTION IS. AND I REALLY, REALLY DO
23	APPRECIATE THAT WE ARE SHOWING AND LEADING, I THINK,
24	THE WAY ON WHAT DEI INCLUSION LOOKS LIKE. SO THANK
25	YOU VERY MUCH.

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, YSABEL. JUST
2	AS AN ASIDE, THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP HAS SPOTS FOR
3	UP TO SEVEN PATIENT ADVOCATES. AND WE, GENERALLY
4	SPEAKING, HAVE WELL MORE THAN TWO, JUST SO YOU KNOW.
5	BUT, OF COURSE, IF YOU'D LIKE TO BE INVOLVED, FEEL
6	FREE BY ALL MEANS.
7	SO THANK YOU, AL, AND THANK YOU, YSABEL.
8	I THINK IT DOES UNDERSCORE THE SERIOUSNESS WITH
9	WHICH WE COLLECTIVELY APPROACH THE DEI ISSUE AND
10	MARKS A FUNDAMENTAL SHIFT. THIS WAS A BIT OF A
11	WATERSHED APPLICATION ACTUALLY WHERE THIS WAS SUCH
12	AN IMPORTANT FACTOR IN THE DECISION-MAKING. AND SO
13	I THINK THE JUMP FROM A 5.5 TO A 7 IS DEFINITELY AN
14	IMPROVEMENT, WHICH IS EXACTLY WHAT WE WANTED TO SEE.
15	I DON'T WANT TO GET LOST IN THE WASH THAT
16	IT WENT FROM AN 8 TO 7 ON THE SCIENCE TO A 1579,
17	WHICH MEANS THAT THE PROCESS, AGAIN, IS WORKING IN
18	THAT THE GWG, WHEN IT DELIBERATES, GIVES FEEDBACK TO
19	THE APPLICANTS AS TO HOW TO IMPROVE THEIR
20	APPLICATION. AND VERY CLEARLY HERE THAT WAS THE
21	CASE, AND SO WE ENDED UP WITH FOR THE BOARD'S
22	CONSIDERATION A BETTER PROJECT ALL THE WAY AROUND,
23	BOTH SCIENTIFICALLY AND FROM A DEI PERSPECTIVE,
24	WHICH IS EXACTLY WHAT WE WANT.
25	YES, FRED.
	66

1	DR. FISHER: JUST FOR CONTEXT, I'LL ADD
2	THAT I DON'T THINK I'VE SEEN A PROPOSAL THAT HAS
3	RECEIVED A SCORE OF 10. AND SO I THINK MOST OFTEN
4	THE DEI SCORES FOR PROPOSALS THAT ARE STRONG END UP
5	BEING IN THE 7 TO 8. I DON'T THINK I'VE SEEN
6	CONSENSUS AROUND A 9. THE CURRENT STATE-OF-THE-ART
7	IS 7 IS PROBABLY IN THE 90TH PERCENTILE. THE STAFF
8	CAN CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG. BUT MY RECOLLECTION,
9	BASED ON THE PROPOSALS THAT I'VE REVIEWED, AND SO
10	GOING FROM A 5 AND A HALF TO A 7 IS A STRONG STEP
11	FORWARD.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, FRED. YES,
13	CHRIS.
14	DR. MIASKOWSKI: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN
15	THOMAS. THE OTHER POINT I'D LIKE TO ADD TO THIS
16	CONSIDERATION TO THANK THE STAFF IS THAT IN THEIR
17	APPLICATIONS NOW THE DEI PORTION HAS BEEN
18	CONSOLIDATED. AND BEFORE IT WAS SPRINKLED THROUGH
19	THE APPLICATION, SO WE HAD TO SEARCH FOR THE
20	INFORMATION. AND I THINK FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF
21	THE NURSE AND PATIENT ADVOCATES, AS WELL AS FROM THE
22	INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE WRITING THE APPLICATION, AS WELL
23	AS THE SCIENTISTS WHO ARE REVIEWING THEM, HAVING
24	THIS INFORMATION IN A CONSOLIDATED SPOT IS REALLY,
25	REALLY HELPFUL.

67

1	AND I'VE BEEN DOING A FAIR NUMBER OF THESE
2	REVIEWS, AND I'VE SEEN, I PERCEIVE, AND I'D BE
3	INTERESTED IN OTHER PATIENT ADVOCATES' COMMENTS, A
4	CHANGE IN TONE FROM THE SCIENTIST REVIEWING THE
5	APPLICATION BEING MUCH MORE APPRECIATIVE OF THE DEI
6	CONVERSATION THAT WE HAVE. AND I REALLY THINK THAT
7	MOVE BY THE STAFF TO PUT EVERYTHING IN ONE PLACE HAS
8	ENHANCED ALL OF OUR ABILITIES TO DO A BETTER JOB
9	WITH THIS TOPIC. SO I'D LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU FOR
10	THAT.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, CHRIS.
12	ANNE-MARIE.
13	DR. DULIEGE: I REALLY WELCOME SOME
14	GUIDANCE HERE. APPRECIATIVE OF WHAT HAS BEEN SAID.
15	I THINK IT'S GREAT TO IMPROVE, BUT WE REALLY SHOULD
16	FIRST JUDGE THE QUALITY THE SCIENCE, THE EXPERTISE
17	OF THE TEAM, AND THE DEI PRIMARILY.
18	SO FROM A QUALITY OF THE SCIENCE AND THE
19	EXPERTISE OF THE TEAM, IS 7 GOOD ENOUGH? I
20	APPRECIATE IT'S THE 90 PERCENTILE, BUT I KNOW THAT
21	WE'VE ALWAYS STRIVED FOR FUNDING ONLY THE VERY BEST
22	PROPOSAL, NOT THE GOOD PROPOSAL. SO IS IT IN THE
23	VERY BEST OR IN THE GOOD?
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY OF THE PATIENT
25	ADVOCATE MEMBERS OF THE GWG WISH TO RESPOND TO
	68

1	ANNE-MARIE'S QUESTION? FRED.
2	DR. FISHER: SO IN GOING THROUGH THE
3	REVIEW PROCESS, I WOULD SAY THAT 90 PLUS PERCENT OF
4	THE DISCUSSION OF THE PROPOSAL IS ON THE SCIENCE.
5	AND IT'S REALLY IMPRESSIVE AS A NONPHYSICIAN,
6	NONSCIENTIST TO LISTEN TO THAT DISCUSSION. AND
7	THOSE OF US PATIENT ADVOCATES THAT ARE ASSIGNED DO
8	OUR BEST TO PROVIDE A DEI AND PATIENT PERSPECTIVE
9	THAT LIVES UP TO THE QUALITY OF THE SCIENTIFIC
10	REVIEW. AND THAT'S NOT EASY.
11	AND PART OF WHAT MAKES IT HARD IS THAT THE
12	APPLICANTS HAVE NOT YET FIGURED OUT WHAT INCLUSION
13	AND ACCESS OF UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS IN RESEARCH
14	REALLY MEANS. AND SO THE WEAKEST PART OF THE
15	PROPOSAL, WHEN A PROPOSAL GETS ALL ONES, THE SCIENCE
16	IS SUPER STRONG. AND I CAN ATTEST TO THAT BASED ON
17	THE IMPRESSION I'M LEFT WITH. BUT THE WORK THAT THE
18	STAFF ARE DOING TO HELP THE APPLICANTS UNDERSTAND
19	WHAT IT MEANS TO INCLUDE UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS,
20	WHETHER IT'S CLINICAL TRIALS OR EARLY STAGE
21	DISCOVERY PROJECTS, IS SOMETHING THAT IT'S CLEAR THE
22	APPLICANTS DON'T REALLY PAY A LOT OF ATTENTION TO
23	BECAUSE THEY'RE SO FOCUSED ON THE SCIENCE. AND WE
24	ARE KIND OF IMPOSING THIS NEW CRITERIA THAT THEY
25	ARE, FRANKLY, REALLY UNFAMILIAR WITH.

1	AND SO WE CAN TELL THE CHALLENGE THEY HAVE
2	IN RESPONDING TO THE QUESTIONS. AND I THINK THE
3	REVIEWERS HAVE GOTTEN BETTER AT BEING LESS TOLERANT
4	OF THE MEDIOCRE LIP SERVICE KIND OF RESPONSES WE
5	OFTEN SEE. AND I THINK THE STAFF HAVE DONE A GREAT
6	JOB IN TRAINING THE SCIENTISTS WHO ARE DOING THE
7	APPLICATIONS AROUND WHAT IT MEANS, AND THAT PAYING
8	LIP SERVICE IS VERY DIFFERENT THAN HAVING A PLAN.
9	AND THAT'S A COMMON THEME OF OUR REVIEW PROCESS.
10	SO I THINK YOU CAN BE CONFIDENT THAT THE
11	SCIENCE IS GREAT. I THINK WE CAN BE LESS CONFIDENT
12	THAT THE INCLUSION OF UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES AND
13	HAVING A REAL ROBUST PLAN TO DO THAT THAT GOES
14	BEYOND, WELL, THE CATCHMENT AREA OF THE FACILITY IS
15	IN A VERY DIVERSE COMMUNITY AND, THEREFORE, WE'RE
16	JUST GOING TO ASSUME THAT THEY'RE GOING TO DO IT, OR
17	THEY'RE THIS VERY PRESTIGIOUS INSTITUTION THAT IS IN
18	A DIVERSE COMMUNITY. SO THEY MUST HAVE A REAL PLAN,
19	OR THEY MUST BE ABLE TO ATTRACT PEOPLE FROM
20	UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES. I THINK WE ARE NO LONGER
21	TAKING THOSE LEAPS OF FAITH AND REALLY HOLDING
22	PEOPLE MORE ACCOUNTABLE TO A REAL PLAN AROUND
23	INCLUSION.
24	SO I DON'T THINK YOU HAVE TO BE CONCERNED
25	THAT WE AREN'T FUNDING THE BEST SCIENCE BECAUSE THE
	70

1	SCIENTIFIC REVIEWERS THAT ARE COMING IN ARE AMAZING.
2	AND I THINK WE ARE GETTING BETTER AT HOLDING THE
3	APPLICANTS ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR DEI PLAN.
4	HOPEFULLY THAT MADE SENSE.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, FRED. YSABEL
6	AND THEN AL.
7	MS. DURON: THANK YOU, FRED FOR LAYING
8	THAT BASELINE. THAT IS SO CRITICAL, THAT WE DO HOLD
9	RESEARCHERS ACCOUNTABLE. TO SOME EXTENT IT IS NOT
10	THE BEST SCIENCE BECAUSE WITHOUT THE INCLUSION OF
11	COMMUNITIES OF COLOR WHO HAVE BEEN HISTORICALLY
12	UNDERRESEARCHED, IT CAN'T BE THE BEST SCIENCE
13	BECAUSE IT DOES NOT SERVE US ALL. SO I ALWAYS SAY
14	IS IT ETHICAL AND IS IT MORAL AND DOES IT SERVE ALL?
15	SO IS IT JUST, J-U-S-T, JUSTICE SCIENCE? THAT'S
16	WHERE WE ARE TRYING TO GET TO, AND I SO APPRECIATE
17	IT WHEN FOLKS LIKE FRED LAY THAT OUT.
18	AND THERE ARE THOSE OF US WHO HAVE BEEN
19	TALKING ABOUT THIS FOR QUITE A WHILE AND SO
20	APPRECIATE YOUR QUESTIONS, ANNE-MARIE, BECAUSE
21	THEY'RE VERY CRITICAL. AND I'M EXCITED BECAUSE I
22	HAVE BEEN FROM LATINO RESEARCH FRIENDS HEARING THIS
23	THING ABOUT CHECKING THE BOX AND NOT HOLDING
24	RESEARCHERS ACCOUNTABLE. AND THAT'S WHAT I SEE US
25	DOING HERE AT CIRM.

1	AND I'M SO EXCITED THAT WE HAVE EMBRACED
2	THIS AND THAT, AS FRED SAID AND I THINK AL SAID,
3	FINALLY THE RESEARCHERS ARE STARTING TO GET IT. WE
4	ARE BRINGING THEM TO THE CHURCH, AND I AM REALLY
5	EXCITED. SO, ANNE-MARIE, YES, WE KEEP BUGGING THEM
6	AND WE KEEP ASKING FOR THE PERFECT SCORE. ONCE WE
7	GET THE PERFECT SCORE, I GUESS I CAN GO TO HEAVEN
8	BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO BE AWHILE TILL WE GET THERE.
9	BUT THANK YOU. WE NEED TO KEEP THAT FINGER ON THE
10	WHATEVER WE KEEP THE FINGER ON. THANK YOU VERY
11	MUCH.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YSABEL. AL.
13	MR. ROWLETT: I'LL TALK MORE SPECIFICALLY
14	ABOUT THE EVOLUTION OF THE PATIENT ADVOCATE AS IT
15	PERTAINS TO MY OWN CONTRIBUTIONS ON THE GWG,
16	ANNE-MARIE. THAT MY SCORING HAS BECOME CERTAINLY
17	MORE CRITICAL AND REFINED.
18	I AGREE WITH FRED, THAT THE SCIENTIFIC
19	REVIEWERS, WHEN THEY SCORE SOMETHING A ONE, IT IS AN
20	AMAZING PROCESS TO BE A PART OF. AND OFTENTIMES, AS
21	A PATIENT ADVOCATE, I DON'T COMPREHEND ALL OF THE
22	INTRICACIES OF THE SCIENCE AND APPRECIATE IT WHEN
23	SCIENTIFIC REVIEWERS PAUSE AND GIVE A VERY DETAILED
24	EXPLANATION. IN THE SAME WAY, AS A PATIENT
25	ADVOCATE, I HAVE MORE EFFECTIVELY DESCRIBED THE
	70
	72

1	IMPACT ON UNDERSERVED AND UNSERVED COMMUNITIES AND
2	THAT THERE'S NO IMPACT WHEN THEY'RE NOT CONSIDERED
3	OR INCLUDED. AND THAT WHEN WE RECEIVE GRANTS, NO
4	DISRESPECT TO ANY GRANT-MAKING INSTITUTION, THAT ARE
5	PREDOMINANTLY PLATITUDES, I NOW HAVE AN AUDIENCE
6	THAT IS RECEPTIVE TO THAT. AND A WAY TO SAY THAT
7	SHIFTS THE AUDIENCE FROM THINKING, OKAY. IT'S NOT
8	JUST ABOUT THE SCIENCE, BUT IT'S ALSO ABOUT
9	IMPACTING THE SCIENTISTS WHO DEVELOP THE PROPOSALS.
10	AND THEREIN LIES WHY A 7 IS BETTER THAN WHAT WE HAD
11	INITIALLY, AND WE CONTINUE TO MOVE FORWARD IN
12	GETTING BETTER RESPONSES BECAUSE THAT IS ULTIMATELY
13	THE GOAL. WE WANT GRANT APPLICANTS TO GIVE US
14	BETTER RESPONSES.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, AL.
16	ANNE-MARIE, I WOULD ADD THAT THE WHOLE
17	PROCESS OF INCORPORATING DEI AS A CRUCIAL CRITERIA
18	IS EVOLVING. AND SOMEWHAT FURTHER TO FRED'S
19	COMMENTS, BECAUSE IT'S AN EVOLVING TASK THAT THE
20	RESEARCHERS WHEN THEY'RE PROPOSING ARE INCREASINGLY
21	FACTORING THAT INTO WHAT THEY SUBMIT, AND SO I THINK
22	THAT THE, NO, IT'S NOT A 10, BUT IT IS INDICATIVE OF
23	THE FACT THAT IT IS, AS WE'VE SAID HERE, TAKEN VERY
24	SERIOUSLY, WHICH IS WHY, BY THE WAY, IF YOU RECALL
25	THE DISCUSSION ON THIS THE LAST WHENEVER IT CAME UP,

1	THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE, GIVEN THE SPLIT
2	ON THE SCIENCE AND THE LOW DEI SCORE, THAT I FELT
3	THAT THIS WAS REALLY A GOOD TEST CASE TO DRIVE HOME
4	THE IMPORTANCE OF GOING BACK TO THE DRAWING BOARD TO
5	ELEVATE THE ATTENTION PAID BOTH IN THAT CASE TO THE
6	SCIENCE AND THE DEI. AND I THINK THAT THIS PROJECT
7	IS ABSOLUTELY THE BETTER FOR THE BOARD'S DECISION TO
8	SEND IT BACK, AND YOU'VE SEEN WHAT THE RESULTS ARE.
9	SO SHORT ANSWER, ANNE-MARIE, THE PROCESS
10	PROCEEDS APACE. IT'S GETTING REFINED AS WE GO
11	ALONG. THE SCORES ARE IMPROVING, AND IT IS NOW VERY
12	CLEARLY A CENTRAL PART OF EVERYBODY'S CONSIDERATION,
13	WHICH IS EXACTLY WHERE WE WANTED TO BE. SO THANK
14	YOU TO ALL.
15	DR. DULIEGE: I JUST WANT TO SAY I REALLY
16	APPRECIATE THAT THE PROCESS IS HELPING AND THAT CIRM
17	TEAM IS DOING SOMETHING GOOD AND IS INFLUENCING THE
18	MATTER.
19	I ALSO APPRECIATE THAT THE DEI TEAM HAS
20	RESULTED IN IMPROVEMENT, BUT ARE NOT YET THERE AND
21	IT WILL TAKE SOME TIME, YSABEL. WE STILL NEED YOU
22	FOR SOME TIME.
23	I WOULD JUST SAY ONE NUANCE HERE WHEN IT'S
24	A SUPER RARE DISEASE LIKE THIS ONE, IT'S OBVIOUSLY
25	MORE DIFFICULT TO COME WITH A PRACTICAL PROPOSAL ON
	74

1	DEI AS OPPOSED TO DIABETES, EVEN PARKINSON'S, FOR
2	THAT MATTER. SO I GIVE THEM A LITTLE BIT OF AN
3	EXCUSE IN SOME WAYS TO NOT BEING SO CREATIVE. I'VE
4	HEARD THAT THE SCIENCE IS GREAT. I'VE HEARD THAT
5	THE DEI IS, I WOULD SAY, GOOD ENOUGH FOR NOW. I
6	HAVEN'T HEARD IF THE TEAM IS THE BEST TEAM WITH
7	EXPERTISE HERE. AND, GIL, I THINK IF YOU HAD
8	ANYTHING OR ANYONE ON THE TEAM, EXPERTISE OF PEOPLE
9	DOING IT BESIDES THE SCIENCE, THEN I WILL FEEL FULLY
10	COMFORTABLE VOTING YES.
11	DR. SAMBRANO: CERTAINLY. SO THE TEAM IS
12	AND EVEN WHEN IT HAD THE SCORE OF EIGHT TO SEVEN,
13	THAT WAS NEVER A CONCERN. SO THESE ARE PROBABLY ONE
14	OF THE WORLD'S EXPERTS IN LEADING THIS KIND OF
15	EFFORT.
16	THE MAIN CONCERNS, JUST EVERYBODY'S
17	AWARENESS, IN TERMS OF WHAT CAUSED THE SPLIT
18	ORIGINALLY WAS IN THE AMOUNT OF INFORMATION THAT THE
19	APPLICANTS HAVE PROVIDED RELATED TO THE
20	MANUFACTURING PROCESS. SO THEY DIDN'T HAVE A CLEAR
21	UNDERSTANDING OF ALL THE DETAILS SO THAT THEY COULD
22	PROPERLY COMMENT. SO A LOT OF THOSE TWOS WERE ABOUT
23	WE REALLY WANT MORE INFORMATION FROM THE APPLICANTS
24	TO GIVE US MORE CONFIDENCE THAT THEIR MANUFACTURING
25	PROCESS IS GOING TO WORK OUT. SO I THINK THAT WAS

I	
1	PROBABLY THE OVERRIDING CONCERN WHICH WAS RESOLVED
2	WITH THE REVISION.
3	MR. TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN, IF I MAY ADD, I
4	FIRST STARTED RAISING THIS
5	MR. HUANG: ART, YOU'RE CONFLICTED ON THE
6	MOTION.
7	MR. TORRES: OH, MY GOD.
8	MR. HUANG: WE STILL HAVE A MOTION ON THE
9	TABLE.
10	MR. TORRES: I'M SORRY. I WANTED TO TALK
11	ABOUT DEI.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. LEONDRA, DO
13	YOU HAVE YOUR HAND UP? NO.
14	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: I DO. THANKS. I'VE
15	HAD IT UP FOR A BIT. I JUST WANTED TO CONTRIBUTE
16	AND SUPPORT THE COMMENTS THAT ARE MADE. I THINK WE
17	HAVE TO REMEMBER THAT DEI IS NOT ALWAYS EASY TO
18	MEASURE, RIGHT? SO YOU CAN MEASURE DIVERSITY, THE
19	PRESENCE OF OTHERS, RIGHT? HOW DIVERSE ARE THE
20	FOLKS IN THE ROOM COMES DOWN TO A MORE NUANCED
21	UNDERSTANDING AND APPRECIATION OF HOW CULTURAL
22	COMPETENCE AND INTEGRITY IS REALLY MEASURED. THAT'S
23	HARD.
24	SO FOR ME, IF FOLKS LANDING AROUND THE SIX
25	OR SEVEN, I THINK THAT'S GREAT BECAUSE, HONESTLY, IF
	70
	76

1	SOMEONE WAS SCORING A 10, IT'D BE A LITTLE SUSPECT.
2	I THINK IT'S REALLY DIFFICULT BECAUSE IT'S SUCH A
3	CONTINUUM OF PROGRESS AND THINKING AND EFFORT. SO I
4	WANT US TO KEEP THAT IN MIND AS WELL, DIFFERENT FROM
5	OTHER THINGS THAT ARE EASILY MEASURED, IS IT THERE
6	OR IS IT NOT, DEI, EQUITY, INCLUSION, I MEAN THE
7	FIELD IS STILL IN MANY WAYS EMERGING IN ITSELF. SO
8	I THINK WE SHOULD GIVE OURSELVES AND OUR APPLICANTS
9	A LITTLE GRACE ON THAT END.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. OTHER
11	QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? I
12	THINK THIS HAS BEEN A GREAT DISCUSSION ON A MOST
13	IMPORTANT TOPIC. SO THANK YOU, EVERYBODY, FOR YOUR
14	COMMENTS.
15	DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS
16	APPLICATION?
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE
19	CALL THE ROLL.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL. LEONDRA
21	CLARK-HARVEY.
22	MS. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
24	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
	77

	_ ,	
1	MS. DURON: YES.	
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCH	HER-COLBRIE.
3	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AYE.	
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISH	ER.
5	DR. FISHER: YES.	
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOW	VERS.
7	DR. FLOWERS: YES.	
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIG	GINS.
9	DR. HIGGINS: YES.	
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUE	SGAARD. RICH
11	LAJARA.	
12	MR. LAHARA: YES.	
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE	MIASKOWSKI.
14	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.	
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MI	LER-ROGEN.
16	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.	
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PA	ADILLA.
18	DR. PADILLA: YES.	
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANET	TA. AL ROWLETT.
20) MR. ROWLETT: AYE.	
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOU	JTHARD.
22	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.	
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN	THOMAS.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.	
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION	N CARRIES.
	78	
	10	

	· ·
1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO
2	WE ARE GOING TO WE HAVE BETH, YOU GET THREE
3	MINUTES OFF. WE HAVE THE NEXT ITEM IS GOING TO BE
4	NO. 10 WHEN SENATOR PORTANTINO CALLS IN AT 11
5	O'CLOCK SHARP. SO THERE'S NO POINT IN STARTING ONE
6	OF THE OTHER TOPICS AT THIS POINT. SO IF WE CAN
7	JUST HAVE EVERYBODY TAKE ABOUT A THREE-MINUTE BREAK,
8	AND WE'LL COME BACK HERE WITH SENATOR TORRES AND
9	SENATOR PORTANTINO.
10	AND, ART, PLEASE FEEL FREE WHEN THE
11	SENATOR IS ON THE LINE TO BEGIN THE DISCUSSION ON
12	THAT ITEM NO. 10. THANK YOU.
13	(A RECESS WAS TAKEN AFTER WHICH THE
14	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE CONCLUDED ITS
15	BUSINESS AND THE FOLLOWING WAS HEARD BEFORE THE FULL
16	BOARD IN OPEN SESSION.)
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA, ARE WE GOOD TO GO
18	HERE?
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: SURE. YEAH, I THINK SO.
20	MR. TORRES: GREAT. THANK YOU, MR.
21	CHAIRMAN. MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
22	I'M HONORED TO INTRODUCE TO YOU A VERY DEAR FRIEND
23	WHOSE CAREER I HAVE FOLLOWED THROUGHOUT HIS TENURE
24	IN THE ASSEMBLY AND IN THE STATE SENATE. ANTHONY
25	PORTANTINO REPRESENTS A LOT OF THE AREAS WHERE WE
	79

1	PROVIDE FUNDING, CALTECH, JPL, AS WELL AS WARNER
2	BROTHERS AND DISNEY. WE DON'T PROVIDE FUNDING
3	THERE, BUT WE CERTAINLY SUPPORT THEIR EFFORTS, AND
4	THE CLAREMONT COLLEGES, OF COURSE, AND CALTECH.
5	WHAT'S ALSO IMPORTANT ABOUT SENATOR
6	PORTANTINO IS HIS UNWAVERING LEADERSHIP ON GUN
7	CONTROL AND RAISING THE AGE OF WHEN YOU CAN BUY A
8	RIFLE OR A GUN, MAKING SURE THAT GUN VIOLENCE, WAS
9	SIGNED IN A BILL BY SENATOR PORTANTINO BY GAVIN
10	NEWSOM JUST A FEW DAYS AGO, JULY 22D, TO PROVIDE FOR
11	MUCH MORE PROTECTIONS FOR US LIVING IN A SOCIETY
12	FILLED WITH GUN VIOLENCE. BUT ALSO HIS TREMENDOUS
13	LEADERSHIP IN MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES, SOME OF WHICH I
14	WORKED WITH HIM ON YEARS AGO, BUT ALSO NOW EVEN MORE
15	THE LEADERSHIP HE'S SHOWN ON THAT, PLUS THE ABILITY
16	TO RAISE ISSUES RELATED TO CANCER AND CLEARLY WHICH
17	BRINGS US TO THIS POINT, WHICH IS I WANTED HIM TO BE
18	PRESENT TO PRESENT HIS BILL, SB 987, WHICH I
19	TRANSFERRED AND SENT TO EACH OF THE BOARD MEMBERS
20	PRIOR TO TODAY'S MEETING IN HOPES THAT WE CAN PASS A
21	MOTION I WILL MAKE AFTER SENATOR PORTANTINO'S
22	PRESENTATION TO HOPEFULLY ENDORSE THIS LEGISLATION
23	WHICH IS SO IMPORTANT ALSO TO THE WORKING GROUP OF
24	OUR AFFORDABILITY AND ACCESSIBILITY ISSUES AND,
25	QUITE FRANKLY, THE OFFICE OF AFFORDABILITY, WHICH

80

1	THE GOVERNOR IS PROPOSING AND PROPOSED IN THE BUDGET
2	AND WILL TAKE EFFECT JANUARY 1, 2023.
3	SO, SENATOR, THANK YOU FOR JOINING US.
4	IT'S A PLEASURE TO SEE YOU.
5	SENATOR PORTANTINO: IT'S A PLEASURE TO
6	SEE MY OLD FRIEND. BEFORE I GO TO BUSINESS, I HAD
7	DINNER WITH ONE OF YOUR OLD STAFFERS, DANA HOBART,
8	THE OTHER NIGHT, AND HE WANTED TO SAY HELLO AND SEND
9	HIS REGARDS TO YOU.
10	MR. TORRES: THANK YOU.
11	SENATOR PORTANTINO: ABSOLUTELY. AND I'M
12	A BIG FAN OF SENATOR TORRES. HE REPRESENTED A BIG
13	CHUNK OF WHERE I REPRESENT NOW AND BEEN A GOOD
14	FRIEND AND MENTOR AND HAPPY TO BE HERE AND SEE ALL
15	THE GOOD WORK THAT YOU'RE ALL DOING. AND LEONDRA, MY
16	BUDDY, IS HERE TOO. WE PARTNER ON A LOT OF MENTAL
17	HEALTH STUFF, AND SO IT'S GOOD TO SEE YOU. AND
18	OBVIOUSLY TARA MCGEE, MY LEGISLATIVE DIRECTOR, IS ON
19	THE CALL WITH US. AND I GET ALL THE CREDIT AND GET
20	THE MICROPHONE, SO IT'S A GOOD
21	MR. TORRES: ALSO, I FORGOT TO THANK YOU
22	FOR THE WORK YOU DID WITH THE GORDON MOORE
23	FOUNDATION AND I DID WITH OUR PREVIOUS VICE CHAIR TO
24	ESTABLISH AND BRING IN SCIENTISTS INTO THE
25	LEGISLATURE THROUGH THE GORDON MOORE FOUNDATION AND
	81
	* *

1	OTHER FUNDING SO THAT THESE SCIENCE FELLOWS ARE MUCH
2	A PART OF YOUR LEGACY AS WELL. SO WE ACTUALLY HAVE
3	SCIENTISTS IN THE ROOM BEING ABLE TO ANSWER THE
4	QUESTIONS OF LEGISLATORS AS THEY REVIEW LEGISLATION.
5	SENATOR PORTANTINO: ABSOLUTELY. I HAVE A
6	NEUROSCIENTIST IN MY OFFICE, ONE OF THE FELLOWS THIS
7	YEAR, PH.D. IN NEUROSCIENCE, AND SHE'S DOING GREAT
8	WORK AND LOVING BEING A POLICY PERSON. SO IT'S BEEN
9	A GREAT ADDITION TO THE DISCOURSE IN THE CAPITOL.
10	OBVIOUSLY YOU ALL KNOW WE'VE GOT MAJOR
11	CHALLENGES IN HEALTHCARE. THE PANDEMIC HIGHLIGHTED
12	THINGS WE ALL KNEW. THERE WERE MAJOR DISPARITIES IN
13	ACCESS TO CARE AND, IN PARTICULAR, ACCESS TO THE TOP
14	CANCER CLINICAL TRIALS, RESEARCH HOSPITALS, CENTERS
15	OF EXCELLENCE. BY THE WAY, I PUT MY CITY OF HOPE
16	BACKGROUND BEHIND ME. OBVIOUSLY GOT TO SHOW MY
17	COLORS FOR THE DISTRICT THAT I REPRESENT. I HAD THE
18	ROSE BOWL, AND AT THE LAST MINUTE I SAID LET ME
19	SWITCH IT TO CITY OF HOPE.
20	AND SO WHEN I WAS ASKED LAST YEAR WE
21	DID THE CANCER PATIENTS BILL OF RIGHTS. SENATOR
22	RUBIO CARRIED THAT RESOLUTION, WHICH SORT OF SET THE
23	FRAMEWORK FOR WANTING TO MAKE SURE THAT CANCER
24	PATIENTS FROM ACROSS CALIFORNIA, FROM ACROSS
25	SOCIOECONOMIC STRATA HAVE A BILL OF RIGHTS. AND SO
	82

1	THIS YEAR WE'RE GOING TO TAKE THAT CONCEPT AND PUT
2	IT INTO PRACTICE AND ALLOW MEDI-CAL PATIENTS ACCESS
3	TO THE BEST CARE IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. AND SO
4	THAT'S ESSENTIALLY WHAT 987 DOES.
5	THE HEALTHPLANS HAVE REMOVED THEIR
6	OPPOSITION. THE BIGGEST CHANGE, I THINK, IS THEY
7	CAN NO LONGER SELF-REFER. THERE HAS TO BE A
8	REFERRAL, BUT THE ACCESS PIECE IS THE HEART AND SOUL
9	OF THE BILL, AND WE WANT TO MAKE SURE AND, AGAIN,
10	IF ANY OF US HAVE A LOVED ONE THAT HAS CANCER, YOU
11	DON'T WANT SOMEBODY TO SAY, OH, NO. YOU CAN'T GO TO
12	THAT HOSPITAL, OR, NO, YOU CAN'T HAVE ACCESS TO THAT
13	TREATMENT BASED ON OTHER FACTORS. AND SO WE WANT
14	EVERYONE TO HAVE ACCESS TO THE BEST CARE AVAILABLE
15	IN THEIR REGION. AND AT THE HEART OF THE BILL,
16	THAT'S WHAT IT DOES.
17	AND SO OBVIOUSLY ALL OF THE WORK AND
18	FUNDING AND RESEARCH THAT YOU ALL MAKE HAPPEN TURNS
19	INTO THESE TRIALS AND TREATMENT. AND SO WE WANT TO
20	MAKE SURE THAT YOUR GOOD WORK GETS IN THE RIGHT
21	PATIENT'S AGENDA SO WE CAN CURE AND SAVE MORE LIVES
22	BECAUSE IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE TO BE FUNDING AND
23	SUPPORTING ALL OF THIS RESEARCH, BUT SAY ONLY A
24	SEGMENT OF CALIFORNIANS HAVE ACCESS TO IT. THAT'S
25	WHAT WE ARE DOING.

1	MR. TORRES: THAT'S VERY WELL PUT. IN
2	FACT, THAT WAS THE PREVIOUS DISCUSSION WE WERE
3	HAVING IN TERMS OF REACHING OUT TO UNDERSERVED
4	COMMUNITIES LED BY MANY OF OUR PATIENT ADVOCATES ON
5	THE BOARD.
6	ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF
7	THE BOARD? I WOULD LIKE IF NOT, I WOULD MOVE
8	THAT WE SUPPORT SB 987 AND ITS PASSAGE THROUGH THE
9	LEGISLATURE. I KNOW THAT ONCE THE GOVERNOR RECEIVES
10	IT, HE'LL SIGN IT.
11	DR. SOUTHARD: SO MOVED.
12	MR. ROWLETT: SECOND.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. ART, YOU WANT ME
14	TO TAKE THIS FROM HERE?
15	MR. TORRES: YES, PLEASE.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SENATOR, THANK YOU VERY
17	MUCH FOR YOUR HARD WORK AND DEDICATION AND FOR
18	JOINING US HERE TODAY ON THIS MOST IMPORTANT MATTER.
19	QUESTIONS, COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE
20	BOARD? YSABEL.
21	MS. DURON: SORRY I DIDN'T RAISE MY HAND
22	FAST ENOUGH, BUT I DID WANT TO SAY THAT THE LATINO
23	CANCER INSTITUTE HAS BEEN ONE OF THE SUPPORTERS OF
24	SB 987, AND WE'RE PARTICULARLY WE'RE COMPLETELY
25	GLAD THAT THE SENATOR LOOKED AT THE MEDI-CAL PATIENT
	84

1	POPULATION BECAUSE THESE ARE OFTENTIMES THE FOLKS
2	WITH THE LEAST ACCESS, THE LATE DETECTION AND,
3	THEREFORE, THE DISPROPORTIONATE MORTALITY RATE
4	BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO THESE QUALITY CARE
5	AND ADVANCED SCIENCE.
6	SO I'M REALLY EXCITED THAT WE ARE GIVING
7	THEM THROUGH SB 987 THE OPPORTUNITY TO ACCESS
8	COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTERS FOR SOME OF THE MORE
9	ADVANCED KINDS OF DIAGNOSTICS AND CARE. SO MY
10	AGENCY HAS SUPPORTED THIS. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT
11	CAUSES CONFLICT FOR ME WITH CIRM OR SUPPORTING CIRM
12	TO DO THIS, BUT I JUST WANTED TO PUT THAT OUT THERE
13	VERY CLEARLY.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: IT DOES NOT INVOLVE ANY
15	FUNDING, SO YOU'RE IN GOOD SHAPE, YSABEL. THANK
16	YOU.
17	LEONDRA.
18	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: I JUST ALSO CALL OUT
19	THAT THIS IS SO IMPORTANT, NOT JUST FOR PHYSICAL
20	HEALTH, BUT ALSO FOR BEHAVIORAL HEALTH TOO. THERE'S
21	OFTEN, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE RELATIONSHIP OF FOLKS
22	THAT ARE ON MEDI-CAL ENROLLEES FOR BEHAVIORAL HEALTH
23	ALSO ARE SUBJECT TO THESE PHYSICAL HEALTH DISORDERS.
24	I THINK THIS IS A NICE WAY TO REALLY ADDRESS THE
25	OVERLAP THERE. AND REALLY I THINK IT'S A GREAT
	95

1	EQUITY BILL AS WELL. SO THANK YOU.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. ANY OTHER
3	COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? ANY COMMENTS
4	FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC? MARIA?
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: I DO NOT SEE ANY.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. MARIA, WILL YOU
7	PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
9	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED ABOUSALEM.
11	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
13	DR. BARRETT: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
15	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL BOTCHAN.
17	DR. BOTCHAN: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
19	DR. BOXER: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
21	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
23	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
25	MS. DURON: YES.
	86
	50

	·
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
2	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER. FRED, I
4	THINK YOU JUST JOINED AGAIN. YOU MIGHT BE ON MUTE.
5	I WILL COME BACK TO YOU.
6	ELENA FLOWERS.
7	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
9	DR. GASSON: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
11	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
13	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD. RICH
15	LAJARA.
16	MR. LAJARA: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
18	DR. LEVITT: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
20	DR. LO: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS. SHLOMO
22	MELMED.
23	DR. MELMED: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
25	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
	87
	07

	· ·
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA.
2	DR. MALKAS: YES.
3	MR. TORRES: THANK GOD THE CITY OF HOPE
4	PERSON.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
6	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
8	DR. PADILLA: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
10	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: BARRY SELICK.
12	DR. SELICK: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
14	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
16	DR. STAMOS: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES. AND MY DOG SAID
19	YES AS WELL.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
21	MR. TORRES: AYE.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
23	DR. VUORI: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU BOTH SENATORS
	88
	00

1	VERY MUCH FOR THIS MATTER. AND BEST OF LUCK TO IT
2	AS IT CONTINUES ALONG IN THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS.
3	MR. TORRES: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR
4	LEADERSHIP, ANTHONY.
5	SENATOR PORTANTINO: IF I COULD HAVE 30
6	SECONDS MORE REAL QUICK, IS THAT OKAY?
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, SIR.
8	SENATOR PORTANTINO: I JUST WANT TO SAY I
9	SAW UC DAVIS ON THE LINE TOO. AND OBVIOUSLY WE ARE
10	EXTENDING THE UMBILICAL CORD BLOOD COLLECTION
11	PROGRAM THAT WAS IN THE BUDGET THIS YEAR. SO WE'RE
12	GOING TO CONTINUE TO COLLECT AND STORE UMBILICAL
13	CORD BLOOD. AND OBVIOUSLY THERE'S A BIG HEALTH
14	DISPARITY WHO HAS ACCESS. SO THAT'S ALSO IMPORTANT.
15	AND THEN WE ALSO HAVE A BILL ON FOLLOW-UP
16	MAMMOGRAMS AND IMAGING. YOU SHOULD LOOK AT THAT
17	BECAUSE THE INITIAL MAMMOGRAM IS COVERED BY
18	INSURANCE; BUT IF YOUR DOCTOR SEES AN ABNORMALITY,
19	THE FOLLOW-UP IMAGING IS NOT COVERED BY INSURANCE.
20	AND THERE'S A TREMENDOUS NUMBER OF WOMEN WHO CAN'T
21	AFFORD THE \$800 TO GET THE FOLLOW-UP IMAGING AND
22	FOREGO IT AND THEN END UP WITH BREAST CANCER AND
23	HAVE BAD THINGS HAPPEN. SO WE'RE ASKING INSURANCE
24	COMPANIES TO COVER THE FOLLOW-UP IMAGING COST, NOT
25	JUST THE INITIAL MAMMOGRAM. SO THAT'S VERY

89

1	IMPORTANT.
2	JUST WANTED TO RAISE THOSE TWO THINGS.
3	THAT'S SB 974. SO LOOK AT THAT AS WELL BECAUSE WE
4	SHOULDN'T HAVE WOMEN SAY I'M NOT GOING TO GET A
5	FOLLOW-UP IMAGE THAT THEIR DOCTOR WANTS THEM TO GET
6	BECAUSE THEY CAN'T AFFORD IT.
7	MR. TORRES: GOOD FOR YOU.
8	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
9	SENATOR PORTANTINO: THANK YOU VERY MUCH
10	FOR THE TIME. AND, ART, ALWAYS GOOD TO SEE YOU.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, SENATOR.
12	WE'RE GOING TO GO BACK NOW TO ITEM 7 ON
13	THE AGENDA, CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO CLIN2
14	FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE
15	PROJECTS CONCEPT PLAN. DR. CREASEY WILL BE
16	PRESENTING. ABLA.
17	DR. CREASEY: ONE SECOND PLEASE. THANK
18	YOU, CHAIRMAN THOMAS. SO I'M PRESENTING THE
19	PROPOSED REVISION TO CLIN2 CONCEPT. THERAPEUTIC
20	CANDIDATES ELIGIBLE FOR CLINICAL TRIAL AWARDS
21	CURRENTLY INCLUDE THE CLIN2 PROGRAM, ALLOWS CLINICAL
22	TRIAL STUDIES WITH A CANDIDATE THAT IS EITHER A STEM
23	CELL THERAPY OR A GENETIC THERAPY FOR PHASE 1, 2, OR
24	3 TRIALS. SMALL MOLECULE OR BIOLOGICS INVOLVING
25	STEM CELLS, ONLY PHASE 1 TRIALS.

1	THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATES ELIGIBLE FOR
2	CLINICAL TRIAL AWARDS, WE PROPOSE UNIFYING
3	ELIGIBILITY TO ALLOW ALL THREE CATEGORIES TO QUALIFY
4	FOR A PHASE 1, 2, OR 3 CLINICAL TRIAL. THAT IS,
5	STEM CELL THERAPY, GENETIC THERAPY, AND SMALL
6	MOLECULE OR BIOLOGIC INVOLVING STEM CELLS MAY APPLY
7	FOR PHASE 1, 2, OR 3 TRIALS. THAT ALLOWS FOR
8	CONSISTENT ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENT ACROSS ALL
9	CLINICAL APPLICATIONS AND PROVIDES THE POSSIBILITY
10	OF ONGOING CIRM SUPPORT FOR SMALL MOLECULE/BIOLOGIC
11	PROJECTS THAT ARE READY TO ADVANCE TO LATE STAGE
12	CLINICAL TRIALS.
13	EXISTING ELIGIBILITY LANGUAGE WOULD BE
14	EXTENDED TO PHASE 2 AND PHASE 3 CLINICAL TRIALS.
15	THE WORDS ARE A SMALL MOLECULE OR BIOLOGIC THAT ACTS
16	ON OR IS DEPENDENT ON ENDOGENOUS HUMAN STEM CELLS
17	FOR ITS THERAPEUTIC EFFECT, THAT IS DEPENDENT ON
18	TARGETING HUMAN CANCER STEM CELLS FOR ITS
19	THERAPEUTIC EFFECT, THAT MODIFIES A STEM CELL
20	THERAPY, OR WHERE A HUMAN STEM CELL IS NECESSARY TO
21	MANUFACTURE THE THERAPY, SUCH AS EXTRACELLULAR
22	VESICLES.
23	ADDITIONAL MINOR REVISIONS. A FEW
24	ADDITIONAL EDITS HAVE BEEN INCLUDED IN THE CONCEPT
25	DOCUMENT THAT REPRESENT A CLARIFICATION IN THE TEXT.
	91

1	SO GENE THERAPY TO GENETIC THERAPY. THERE ARE A FEW			
2	INSTANCES WHERE THIS UPDATE WAS MISSED IN THE LAST			
3	ROUND OF CHANGES TO ALIGN WITH THE ADOPTED			
4	DEFINITION OF GENETIC THERAPY. CLARIFICATION, THAT			
5	FEASIBILITY TRIALS FOR MEDICAL DEVICES ARE INCLUDED			
6	WITHIN THE REQUIREMENTS FOR AWARD AMOUNT LIMITS AND			
7	CO-FUNDING AMOUNTS.			
8	CIRM REQUESTS APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED			
9	AMENDMENTS TO THE CLIN2 CONCEPT PLAN. THANK YOU.			
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ABLA. DO WE			
11	HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE?			
12	DR. DULIEGE: I MOVE.			
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY ANNE-MARIE.			
14	SECOND?			
15	DR. ABDULHAQ: SECOND.			
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND BY HAIFAA. THANK			
17	YOU. QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE			
18	BOARD? LOOKS LIKE WHO'S FIRST HERE?			
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM HAS HER HAND RAISED			
20	AS DOES GEORGE.			
21	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: KIM AND THEN GEORGE.			
22	DR. BARRETT: ABLA, THANK YOU FOR THIS			
23	PROPOSAL, AND I AGREE THAT IT WILL MAKE THINGS MORE			
24	STRAIGHTFORWARD AND UNIFIED. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO			
25	HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHY THE SMALL MOLECULES AND			
	92			
	92			

1	BIOLOGICS WERE RESTRICTED TO ONLY PHASE 1 IN THE
2	ORIGINAL CONCEPT PLAN. WHAT WAS THE RATIONALE FOR
3	THAT?
4	DR. CREASEY: WE HAD A COUPLE OF REASONS.
5	ONE, AT LEAST SINCE THE START OF CIRM, IS THAT IN
6	GENERAL WHEN A SUCCESSFUL SMALL MOLECULE OR BIOLOGIC
7	FINISHES A SUCCESSFUL PHASE 1, MOST OF THE TIME
8	INDUSTRY LIKES TO PARTNER WITH THEM, AND THEY HAVE
9	OTHER OPPORTUNITIES FOR FUNDING.
10	BUT THE SECOND REASON IS DURING THE TENURE
11	OF PROPOSITION 71, WE HAD LIMITED RESOURCES TO EVEN
12	THINK ABOUT THAT. AND WE BASICALLY FOCUSED ON CELL
13	AND GENE THERAPY FOR PHASE 1 AND PHASE 2 AND 3 FOR
14	STEM CELLS, BIOLOGICS, AND GENETIC THERAPY. I'M
15	SORRY. GENETIC THERAPY AND CELL THERAPY.
16	DR. BARRETT: SO NOW IT'S FELT THAT
17	RESOURCES ARE SUCH THAT THAT RESTRICTION IS NO
18	LONGER NEEDED?
19	DR. CREASEY: CORRECT FROM A RESOURCES
20	POINT, BUT THE ENVIRONMENT ALSO CHANGES. THERE IS
21	NOW A CONVERGENCE ABOUT SUCH MOLECULES, ESPECIALLY,
22	REMEMBER, WE ARE KEEPING THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE
23	SMALL MOLECULE AND THE BIOLOGIC HAVE TO BE TARGETING
24	A STEM CELL OR INVOLVED WITH A STEM CELL MECHANISM
25	OF ACTION. SO IT'S STILL CONSISTENT WITH OUR
	00

1	MISSION, AND THAT ALLOWS US TO ALSO THERE'S A
2	CONVERGENCE OF THAT EFFORT REGARDING CONDITIONING.
3	AND SOME OF THOSE PROGRAMS LIKELY ARE MOVING AT A
4	PACE WHERE THEY NEED ASSISTANCE ESPECIALLY WITH THE
5	SITUATION WITH ADVANCING THE WHOLE AREA OF
6	BIOTECHNOLOGY HAVING A DOWNTURN. SO WE THOUGHT THAT
7	THIS WOULD BE THE RIGHT PLACE FOR CIRM TO DERISK
8	THESE PROGRAMS AND BRING THEM IN AND ADVANCE THEM
9	THE SAME AS THE CELL AND GENETIC THERAPY.
10	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU. I WANT TO
11	CLARIFY THAT I'M NOT IN ANY WAY OPPOSED TO THE IDEA.
12	I WAS JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE BASIS.
13	DR. CREASEY: NO PROBLEM. THANK YOU.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, KIM.
15	GEORGE.
16	DR. BLUMENTHAL: ACTUALLY KIM JUST ASKED
17	MY QUESTION, SO I'M LOWERING MY HAND.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU. OTHER
19	QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?
20	ANY COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE ARE NO HANDS
22	RAISED.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. MARIA, WILL
24	YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
	94
	ЛТ

			,
1		DR.	ABDULHAQ: YES.
2		MS.	BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED ABOUSALEM.
3		DR.	ABOUSALEM: YES.
4		MS.	BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
5		DR.	BARRETT: AYE.
6		MS.	BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
7		DR.	BLUMENTHAL: YES.
8		MS.	BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL BOTCHAN.
9		DR.	BOTCHAN: YES.
10		MS.	BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
11		DR.	BOXER: YES.
12		MS.	BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
13		DR.	CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
14		MS.	BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
15		DR.	DULIEGE: YES.
16		MS.	BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
17		MS.	DURON: YES.
18		MS.	BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
19		DR.	FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
20		MS.	BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER. ELENA
21	FLOWERS.		
22		DR.	FLOWERS: YES.
23		MS.	BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
24		DR.	GASSON: YES.
25		MS.	BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
			95
			55

1	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
3	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
5	MR. LAJARA: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
7	DR. LEVITT: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
9	DR. LO: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
11	DR. MALKAS: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
13	DR. MELMED: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
15	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
17	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
19	DR. PADILLA: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
21	MR. ROWLETT: AYE.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: BARRY SELICK.
23	DR. SELICK: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
25	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
	96
	30

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
2	DR. STAMOS: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
6	MR. TORRES: AYE.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
8	DR. VUORI: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA.
11	ON TO ITEM NO. 8. AND THANK YOU, ABLA,
12	FOR THAT PRESENTATION. ITEM NO. 8 IS CONSIDERATION
13	OF CALIFORNIA CELL AND GENE THERAPY MANUFACTURING
14	NETWORK CONCEPT PLAN. WE WILL HEAR FROM DR. PATEL.
15	SHYAM.
16	DR. PATEL: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN THOMAS. I
17	HOPE YOU CAN HEAR ME OKAY. I'M GOING TO SHARE MY
18	SLIDES.
19	SO THANK YOU TO THE BOARD FOR GIVING ME
20	THIS OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT THIS MANUFACTURING
21	NETWORK CONCEPT PLAN. I ALSO WANT TO THANK APPLE
22	AND ZOOM FOR LETTING MY SCREEN SHARE WORK TODAY. SO
23	WE'RE OFF TO A GOOD START ALREADY.
24	SO MY PRESENTATION IS GOING TO WALK US
25	THROUGH CONCEPT PLAN PROPOSAL. THERE ARE A LARGE
	97

1	NUMBER OF SLIDES SO BEAR WITH ME AS WELL AS THERE'S
2	SOME ANIMATION INVOLVED. SO HOPEFULLY IT ALL WORKS.
3	OKAY. STARTING WITH THE MISSION
4	STATEMENT, OUR MISSION IS TO ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS
5	SCIENCE TO DELIVER TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE
6	MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A
7	DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND WORLD. SO THIS WAS APPROVED
8	BY THE BOARD LATE LAST YEAR. IN ADDITION TO
9	APPROVING THE NEW MISSION STATEMENT, THE BOARD ALSO
10	APPROVED THE NEW STRATEGIC PLAN THAT MARIA
11	HIGHLIGHTED EARLIER THIS AFTERNOON. AND IN THERE
12	THERE WERE THREE THEMES. AND THIS PARTICULAR
13	CONCEPT PLAN DIRECTLY ADDRESSES ONE OBJECTIVE WITHIN
14	REAL-WORLD SOLUTIONS THEME, WHICH IS CREATING A
15	MANUFACTURING PARTNERSHIP NETWORK. IT ALSO TOUCHES
16	ON A COUPLE OF OBJECTIVES OF THE OTHER TWO THEMES.
17	SO SPECIFICALLY THE DEVELOPING COMPETENCY HUBS OF
18	THE WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE THEME, AS WELL AS TO BUILD A
19	DIVERSE WORKFORCE IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE
20	PROVIDE OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL THEME. AND I'LL WALK
21	THROUGH HOW IT DOES THAT IN THE NEXT FEW SLIDES.
22	AS YOU KNOW, CELL AND GENE THERAPIES HAVE
23	BEEN RAPIDLY ADVANCING TO THE CLINIC, AND MANY OF
24	THEM HAVE ACCELERATED APPROVAL PATHWAYS TO GET TO
25	APPROVAL. AND THAT CREATES PRESSURE ON THE

1	MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT, WHICH OFTEN IN SOME
2	INSTANCES LAG BEHIND THE CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT OF
3	THESE THERAPIES. IN FACT, THERE HAVE BEEN VARIOUS
4	PROMINENT EXAMPLES OF CELL AND GENE THERAPY
5	APPROVALS THAT HAVE BEEN STALLED DUE TO CMC ISSUES.
6	SO I'M GOING TO HIGHLIGHT A COUPLE OF INFRASTRUCTURE
7	AND RESOURCE BOTTLENECKS AND BRIEFLY MENTION THE
8	TECHNICAL BOTTLENECKS THAT WE THINK WE CAN ADDRESS
9	IN CALIFORNIA WITH THIS PARTICULAR PROPOSAL.
10	SO FIRST OF ALL, AS MANY OF YOU KNOW,
11	ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS ARE THE CENTER OF TECHNOLOGY
12	INNOVATION AND THE INITIAL PROCESS DEVELOPMENT IN
13	GMP MANUFACTURING FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPIES, BUT
14	THEY DON'T HAVE SUFFICIENT CAPACITY, RESOURCES, OR
15	PROCESSES FOR LATE STAGE MANUFACTURING, AND THIS IS
16	OFTEN BY DESIGN. THEY FOCUS ON THE EARLY PROCESS
17	DEVELOPMENT AS WELL AS THE EARLY PHASE 1 CLINICAL
18	TRIAL MANUFACTURING.
19	ON THE FLIP SIDE, THERE'S THE INDUSTRY
20	MANUFACTURING RESOURCES. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE'S
21	CONTRACT DEVELOPMENT AND MANUFACTURING ORGANIZATIONS
22	THAT SUPPORT CELL AND GENE THERAPY MANUFACTURING OR
23	IN-HOUSE OPERATIONS OF COMPANIES OF ALL SIZES FROM
24	SMALL BIOTECHS TO LARGE BIOPHARMA. THESE ARE BEST
25	POSITIONED TO INDUSTRIALIZE MANUFACTURING PROCESSES

1	FOR LATE STAGE CLINICAL TRIALS AND
2	COMMERCIALIZATION, BUT DON'T ALWAYS HAVE THE
3	EXPERTISE IN EMERGING TECHNOLOGY PLATFORMS THAT ARE
4	ARISING FROM ACADEMIA; FOR EXAMPLE, THE CRISPR,
5	LENTI, IMAGING THERAPY, NEW TECHNOLOGIES THAT ARE
6	EMERGING THAT ACADEMIA HAS INNOVATED ON.
7	IN ADDITION TO THESE TWO INFRASTRUCTURE
8	BOTTLENECKS, WE'RE ALL AWARE OF THE COMPLEXITIES OF
9	PRODUCTS AND THE PROCESSES INVOLVED FOR CELL AND
10	GENE THERAPIES, AND THESE CREATE VARIOUS TECHNICAL
11	BOTTLENECKS THAT ARE BEING ADDRESSED IN ALL SORTS OF
12	DIFFERENT WAYS BY BOTH INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS AND
13	PROGRAMS AS WELL AS ON A NATIONAL LEVEL.
14	AND LASTLY, THERE'S AN EVER GROWING DEMAND
15	FOR TRAINED MANUFACTURING AND QUALITY WORKFORCE
16	PARTICULARLY IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AS MORE AND
17	MORE COMPANIES ARE LAUNCHING CELL AND GENE
18	THERAPIES, AND THERE'S A MASSIVE DEMAND FOR MORE
19	WORKERS IN THIS INDUSTRY ACROSS THE ENTIRE SPECTRUM.
20	SO IN ORDER TO ADDRESS THIS, FIRST, WE'RE
21	GOING TO WALK THROUGH THE CALIFORNIA LANDSCAPE FOR
22	MANUFACTURING FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. ON THE
23	ACADEMIC SIDE, THERE ARE ACADEMIC CELL AND GENE
24	THERAPY MANUFACTURING FACILITIES. AS STATED, MANY
25	OF THE RESEARCH ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE RECEIVED

1	CIRM FUNDING IN THE PAST, AND A MAJORITY OF OUR
2	FUNDED PROGRAMS, FROM TRANSLATIONAL TO CLIN2, ARE
3	UTILIZING THESE FACILITIES. SO THESE INCLUDE
4	WELL-ESTABLISHED FACILITIES THAT HAVE BEEN AROUND
5	FOR A LONG TIME, SUCH AS UC DAVIS AND CITY OF HOPE,
6	AS WELL AS NEW ONES THAT ARE EMERGING AT PLACES SUCH
7	AS CEDARS-SINAI, USC, AND UC IRVINE.
8	ON THE INDUSTRY SIDE THERE ARE CELL AND
9	GENE THERAPY MANUFACTURING CONTRACT ORGANIZATIONS
10	THAT DO FEE FOR SERVICE OR PARTNERSHIP MODELS AS
11	WELL AS SOME BIOPHARMA COMPANIES IN CALIFORNIA THAT
12	ALSO HAVE PARTNERSHIP MODELS TO SUPPORT PROJECTS
13	THAT THEY'RE PARTNERING ON. SO ON THE CDMO SIDE,
14	THESE TEND TO RANGE FROM SMALL OPERATIONS ALL THE
15	WAY TO LARGE OPERATIONS OF MULTINATIONAL COMPANIES
16	ON THE CDMO SIDE. AND ON THE BIOPHARMA SIDE, BOTH
17	BAYER AND NOVO NORDISK, TWO OF OUR INDUSTRY ALLIANCE
18	PARTNERS HAVE FACILITIES IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
19	BUT THEY'RE LOOKING TO SUPPORT THEIR PARTNERED
20	PROJECTS.
21	SO THE INTENT WITH THIS MANUFACTURING
22	CONCEPT PLAN IS TO CREATE A UNIQUE MODEL WHERE THIS
23	PARTNERSHIP AND COLLABORATION BETWEEN THE ACADEMIC
24	FACILITIES AS WELL THE INDUSTRY FACILITIES TO
25	ADDRESS CERTAIN GOALS THAT WE THINK ARE IMPORTANT TO
	101

1	ADVANCE CELL AND GENE THERAPIES FOR CALIFORNIANS AND
2	THE WORLD. SO AS WE DESCRIBED IN THE STRATEGIC
3	PLAN, OUR VISION IS TO BUILD A NETWORK WHERE CIRM
4	FUNDING TO ACADEMIC GMP FACILITIES IS COORDINATED IN
5	ADDITION TO INDUSTRY PARTNERS BY CIRM TO ADDRESS THE
6	THREE MAIN GOALS THAT ARE LISTED ON THE RIGHT SIDE
7	OF THIS SLIDE.
8	FIRST AND FOREMOST IS TO ACCELERATE AND
9	DERISK THE PATHWAY TO COMMERCIALIZATION FOR CELL AND
10	GENE THERAPIES, PARTICULARLY THE ONES SUPPORTED BY
11	CIRM. SECOND IS TO ADVANCE INDUSTRY STANDARDS AND
12	QUALITY BY DESIGN FOR A GROWING AND EVOLVING
13	INDUSTRY SUCH AS CELL AND GENE THERAPIES TO GET TO
14	THE INDUSTRIALIZATION THAT WE SEE ON THE BIOLOGICS
15	AS WELL AS SMALL MOLECULE SIDE. AND, LASTLY, AS WE
16	WE'VE BEEN NOTING, IS TO BUILD THE MANUFACTURING
17	LEADERSHIP AND WORKFORCE IN CALIFORNIA, INCLUDING
18	OPPORTUNITIES FOR UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS IN
19	PARTICULAR IN THAT RESPECT.
20	SO WITH THAT IN MIND, THERE ARE A FEW
21	FUNCTIONS THAT WE THINK THIS NETWORK CAN ADDRESS,
22	AND I'LL WALK THROUGH SOME OF THOSE HERE. THESE ARE
23	NOT MEANT TO BE LIMITING, BUT MEANT TO BE
24	ILLUSTRATIVE OF WHAT THE CONCEPT PLAN CAN ACHIEVE.
25	SO FIRST AND FOREMOST, THERE ARE A WIDE
	102

-	
1	RANGE OF MANUFACTURING AND ANALYTICAL TECHNOLOGY
2	PLATFORMS AT PLAY FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. AND
3	WE THINK ANY NETWORK, ESPECIALLY ONE FOR STATES SUCH
4	AS CALIFORNIA, SHOULD REPRESENT THAT WORLD-CLASS
5	EXPERTISE ACROSS THAT WHOLE RANGE.
6	SECONDLY IS TO SUPPORT THE MANUFACTURING
7	OF THERAPIES FOR RARE AND ULTRA RARE DISEASES.
8	THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY HERE TO TAKE PLATFORM-BASED
9	APPROACHES TO NOT ONLY DEVELOP, BUT MANUFACTURE A
10	LARGE NUMBER OF CANDIDATES TO MEET THE VARIOUS RARE
11	DISEASES THAT ABLA HAS HIGHLIGHTED THAT AFFECT A
12	LARGE POPULATION. SO THESE COULD BE THINGS SUCH AS
13	CRISPR OR LENTI APPROACHES OR AAV APPROACHES WHERE A
14	COMMON TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM CAN ADDRESS A LARGE
15	NUMBER OF DISEASES.
16	WE ALSO WANT TO ACCELERATE AND DERISK LATE
17	STAGE AND COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING OF THERAPIES.
18	THIS IS A KEY COMPONENT TO HAVING APPROVAL AND
19	COMMERCIALIZATION OF THESE TYPES OF THERAPIES. AND
20	THIS IS GOING TO BECOME A MORE AND MORE RELEVANT
21	FOCUS AREA FOR CIRM AS ITS PORTFOLIO EVOLVES AND
22	CONTINUES TO GROW AS WELL AS THE FIELD AS A WHOLE AS
23	THEY MATURE TO WHERE THERE ARE MORE THERAPIES GOING
24	TO THE CLINIC AND TO COMMERCIALIZATION.
25	AS I MENTIONED PREVIOUSLY, AS THIS NASCENT
	103

1	INDUSTRY CONTINUES TO MATURE, THERE'S A NEED TO
2	ESTABLISH STANDARDS FOR QUALITY AS WELL AS
3	ACCREDITATION OF MANUFACTURING FACILITIES,
4	PARTICULARLY IN THE ACADEMIC SETTING.
5	AND, LASTLY, IS TO BUILD AN INCLUSIVE
6	WORKFORCE THAT ADDRESSES BOTH ENTRY AND ADVANCEMENT
7	OPPORTUNITIES IN TECHNICAL AND LEADERSHIP CAREER
8	PATHWAYS IN PARTNERSHIP WITH EDUC PROGRAMS AND
9	INDUSTRY STAKEHOLDERS. THESE COULD RANGE FROM
10	COMMUNITY COLLEGES TO BIOTECH COMPANIES TO BIG
11	PHARMA THAT IS PRESENT IN CALIFORNIA.
12	IN FACT, WE WERE TALKING ABOUT EDUC. AT
13	THE CONFERENCE THE LAST FEW DAYS, MANY OF US MET
14	SEVERAL STUDENTS WHO WERE NOT AWARE OF MANUFACTURING
15	CAREER PATHWAYS UNTIL THEY HAD DONE THEIR INTERNSHIP
16	AT COMPANIES OR GMP FACILITIES. AND OUT OF THAT
17	THEY GREW TO REALLY APPRECIATE AND ADMIRE THE
18	WORKFORCE AND THE OPPORTUNITIES PRESENT IN PROCESS
19	DEVELOPMENT AND MANUFACTURING, AND MANY HAVE GONE TO
20	HAVE CAREERS IN THAT SPACE WITH COMPANIES IN
21	CALIFORNIA. SO THERE IS A GROWING AWARENESS IN THE
22	STUDENT POPULATION FOR OPPORTUNITIES FOR CAREER
23	PATHWAYS IN MANUFACTURING.
24	SO WITH THAT, THE NEXT FEW SLIDES ARE
25	REALLY GOING TO FOCUS ON THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY
	104

1	ITSELF WITH THE TWO PHASES THAT WE ARE BUILDING OUT
2	HERE THAT WE'RE PROPOSING TO YOU AS WELL AS
3	ACTIVITIES THAT COULD BE INCORPORATED INTO THOSE TWO
4	PHASES.
5	SO THIS PARTICULAR CONCEPT PLAN DESCRIBES
6	A BIPHASIC FUNDING OPPORTUNITY COMPOSED OF TWO
7	PHASES. THE FIRST PHASE IS A MORE PREPARATIVE
8	PHASE, AND THE SECOND PHASE MORE OF A SCALE-UP
9	PHASE.
10	SO BEFORE I JUMP INTO THE DETAILS OF
11	THOSE, I WANT TO ENSURE AND NOTE THAT THE FUNDING
12	THAT'S GOING TO BE PROVIDED FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY IS
13	GOING TO BE SIMILAR TO ALPHA CLINICS WHERE THEY ARE
14	FUNDING OPERATIONAL COSTS AND ENHANCEMENTS AT THE
15	FACILITIES, BUT THE ACTUAL CELL AND GENE THERAPY
16	MANUFACTURING COSTS WOULD STILL COME FROM THE CIRM
17	PIPELINE PROGRAMS LIKE TRAN AND CLIN AWARDS.
18	IN ADDITION, WHILE THESE FUNDING
19	OPPORTUNITIES WILL FOCUS ON FUNDING ACADEMIC GMP
20	FACILITIES, THEY ARE EXPECTED TO HAVE PARTNERSHIPS
21	WITH OTHER ACADEMIC FACILITIES AS WELL AS INDUSTRY
22	STAKEHOLDERS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. AND I'LL
23	MAP HOW THAT MIGHT PLAY OUT FOR THESE TWO PHASES.
24	SO THE FIRST PHASE IS THE PREPARATIVE
25	PHASE. THIS IS A PROGRAM BUDGET OF \$20 MILLION WITH
	105

1	A MAX DURATION OF TWO YEARS AND A MAX AWARD AMOUNT
2	OF 2 MILLION FOR EACH AWARD. THE APPLICANT IS AN
3	ACADEMIC CELL AND GENE THERAPY MANUFACTURING
4	FACILITY. AND THEY COULD HAVE OPTIONAL
5	COLLABORATORS THAT THEY NEED FOR THE ACTIVITIES.
6	AND THE PREDOMINANT GOAL IS GOING TO BE INDIVIDUAL
7	FACILITY ENHANCEMENTS AT THOSE ACADEMIC FACILITIES.
8	AND I'LL DESCRIBE WHAT THOSE ACTIVITIES MIGHT ENTAIL
9	AND THE OUTCOME METRICS THAT MIGHT BE LINKED TO THAT
10	IN THE NEXT FEW SLIDES.
11	THE SECOND PHASE WOULD HAVE A PROGRAM
12	BUDGET OF 60 MILLION WITH A MAX AWARD AMOUNT OF 5
13	MILLION AND A MAX DURATION OF FIVE YEARS. HERE THE
14	APPLICANT WILL BE THE ACADEMIC CELL AND GENE THERAPY
15	MANUFACTURING FACILITY, BUT IT WILL BE REQUIRED TO
16	HAVE COLLABORATORS AS A PART OF THIS APPROACH
17	BECAUSE THIS IS ALL FOCUSED ON SCALING OUT THE
18	ENHANCEMENTS THAT THEY HAD MADE IN THAT FIRST PHASE.
19	AND THE GOAL HERE WOULD BE TO CREATE NETWORKWIDE
20	SCALING OF ENHANCEMENTS, SPECIALIZATIONS, AND
21	TRAINING PROGRAMS, ALL OF WHICH I'LL DESCRIBE IN THE
22	NEXT FEW SLIDES.
23	SO THE PHASE 2 WILL BE INFORMED IN LARGE
24	PART BY WHAT HAPPENS IN PHASE 1. AND THAT WILL BE
25	COORDINATED BY A STEERING COMMITTEE OF AWARDEES AND
	106

1	EXTERNAL PARTICIPANTS THAT'S GOING TO ACT AS THE
2	GLUE BETWEEN INDIVIDUAL AWARDEES AS WELL AS THE
3	LINKAGE BETWEEN PHASE 1 AND PHASE 2 AWARD
4	ACTIVITIES. I'LL DESCRIBE WHAT WE ENVISION THE
5	STEERING COMMITTEE TO DO AT THE END OF THIS
6	PRESENTATION.
7	SO I'M GOING TO WALK THROUGH SOME
8	POTENTIAL AWARD ACTIVITIES ACROSS THREE MAJOR
9	CATEGORIES IN THIS SLIDE, AND THE NEXT SLIDE I'LL
10	PRESENT HOW THOSE ACTIVITIES MIGHT BE PHASED FOR
11	PHASE 1 AND PHASE 2. THESE ACTIVITIES ARE NOT MEANT
12	TO BE LIMITING. THEY'RE MEANT TO BE ILLUSTRATIVE TO
13	PROVIDE OVERALL WHAT WE BELIEVE IS THE INTENT OF
14	THIS MANUFACTURING NETWORK CONCEPT PLAN.
15	SO FIRST OF ALL, IT'S DERISKING AND
16	ACCELERATING MANUFACTURING AS WE MENTIONED. SO HERE
17	WE WOULD ANTICIPATE THAT THE ACADEMIC GMP FACILITY
18	APPLICANTS WOULD BE FOCUSING ON MAKING
19	QUALITY-DRIVEN IMPROVEMENTS TO THEIR OPERATIONS THAT
20	DERISK EARLY PROCESS DEVELOPMENT OF THE GMP
21	MANUFACTURING OCCURRING AT THEIR FACILITIES AS WELL
22	AS THE TECH TRANSFER FOR BRINGING PROJECTS INTO
23	THEIR FACILITIES AS WELL AS FOR PROJECTS
24	TRANSITIONING OUT TO INDUSTRY PARTNERS FOR LATE
25	STAGE MANUFACTURING AND COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING.

107

1	IT WOULD ALSO INVOLVE ACTIVELY MITIGATING CAPACITY
2	AND EXAMINES GAPS BY COORDINATING PROJECT EXECUTION
3	ACROSS THE NETWORK.
4	THEN WE ANTICIPATE THIS FUNDING BEING USED
5	FOR SPECIALIZED OFFERINGS. SO THESE FACILITIES AND
6	THEIR PARTNERS COULD BE SPECIALIZING IN PARTICULAR
7	TECHNOLOGY PLATFORMS; FOR EXAMPLE, CRISPR, IMAGING
8	THERAPY, LENTIVIRAL CELL AND GENE THERAPY, OR
9	ANALYTICAL METHODS, OR BEING PIONEERS IN QUALITY BY
10	DESIGN IMPLEMENTATION, AUTOMATION, WHICH IS AN
11	IMPORTANT ASPECT OF SCALING UP, SCALING OUT, AS WELL
12	AS LOWERING MANUFACTURING COSTS, OR THE ULTRA RARE
13	DISEASE MANUFACTURING PLATFORMS THAT I MENTIONED
14	PREVIOUSLY.
15	LASTLY, ON WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT, WE
16	ANTICIPATE THIS FUNDING OPPORTUNITY BEING USED TO
17	DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT TRAINING PROGRAMS FOR BOTH
18	TECHNICAL POSITIONS AS WELL AS MENTORSHIP PROGRAMS
19	TO LEADERSHIP POSITIONS ALL IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE
20	EDUC PROGRAMS THAT I PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED AS WELL AS
21	INDUSTRY STAKEHOLDERS.
22	SO TO RECAP, THE PHASE 1 WOULD BE INITIAL
23	PROGRESS AT INDIVIDUAL FACILITIES, AND PHASE 2 WILL
24	BE NETWORKWIDE IMPLEMENTATION OF THESE ENHANCEMENTS,
25	SPECIALIZATIONS, AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS
	108

1	ACROSS THE NETWORK IN CALIFORNIA.
2	SO WE'VE BEEN TALKING A LOT ABOUT HOW ON A
3	BIG LEVEL ON THIS. SO I'M GOING TO WALK YOU THROUGH
4	AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE TO DEMONSTRATE HOW PHASE 1
5	AND PHASE 2 ACTIVITIES MIGHT INTERPLAY WITH EACH
6	OTHER, AND I'LL USE THAT FIRST EXAMPLE OF
7	QUALITY-DRIVEN OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS TO
8	ILLUSTRATE THAT.
9	SO INDIVIDUAL AWARDEES IN THE PHASE 1
10	AWARD FOR DERISKING MANUFACTURING MAY FOCUS ON
11	QUALITY SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS, THEY MAY FOCUS ON
12	IMPLEMENTATION OF QUALITY-BY-DESIGN PRINCIPLES, OR
13	THEY MAY BE FOCUSED ON HIRING AND TRAINING STAFF TO
14	BETTER MEET THE NEEDS OF THE PROJECTS THAT THEY
15	SUPPORT, BOTH FROM PROCESS DEVELOPMENT TO INITIAL
16	GMP MANUFACTURING.
17	AND THE OUTCOMES OF SUCH ACTIVITIES FOR A
18	PHASE 1 AWARD MAY BE DRIVEN BY HOW THESE
19	IMPROVEMENTS HAVE IMPROVED EXECUTION OF PROJECTS
20	COMPARED TO HISTORICAL PERFORMANCE OF THEIR
21	FACILITIES.
22	ON THE PHASE 2 SIDE NOW, WITH RESPECT TO
23	ALL THE IMPROVEMENTS AND ENHANCEMENTS THAT WERE MADE
24	AT THE INDIVIDUAL FACILITIES AND HAVING A METRIC ON
25	HOW THOSE PERFORMED IN THE INDIVIDUAL FACILITIES,
	100

1	PHASE 2 COULD FOCUS ON TAKING THE BEST PRACTICES,
2	THE QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS AND IMPLEMENTING THOSE
3	ACROSS THE NETWORK. SIMILARLY, THEY CAN
4	OPERATIONALIZE PARTNERSHIPS THAT EFFECTIVELY
5	TRANSITION PROJECTS FOR LATE STAGE AND COMMERCIAL
6	MANUFACTURING. THIS IS WHERE THE ACADEMIC GMP
7	FACILITIES WILL CREATE A PATHWAY FOR PROJECTS THAT
8	THEY SUPPORT FROM EARLY PROCESS DEVELOPMENT TO EARLY
9	GMP MANUFACTURING, AND THEN THERE'S A SET OF
10	PARTNERS OR A SET OF PROCESSES THAT COULD ALLOW AND
11	FACILITATE RAPID PROGRESSION OF THOSE PROJECTS TO
12	LATE STAGE MANUFACTURING IN THE INDUSTRIAL SETTING
13	FOR THERAPIES AS THEY GO INTO PIVOTAL CLINICAL
14	TRIALS AND THEN INTO COMMERCIALIZATION.
15	AND IN THOSE INSTANCES THE OUTCOME METRICS
16	CAN BE SUCCESS RATE OF PARTNERSHIP DRIVEN
17	PROGRESSION OF PROJECTS TO LATE STAGE AND COMMERCIAL
18	MANUFACTURING AS WELL AS HOW WELL DOES THE NETWORK
19	IMPLEMENT THE AGREED UPON QUALITY STANDARDS AND
20	PROTOCOLS AND BEST PRACTICES ACROSS ALL THE SITES.
21	SO TO HIGHLIGHT A COUPLE OF OTHER
22	POTENTIAL OUTCOME METRICS FOR THE OTHER AREAS THAT I
23	HAD MENTIONED. SO FAR I'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT
24	DERISKING MANUFACTURING; BUT ON THE SPECIALIZATIONS
25	AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT SIDE, YOU COULD HAVE PHASE
	110

1	1 OUTCOME METRICS THAT TALK ABOUT COMPETENCY IN
2	SPECIALIZATION AREAS AS DEMONSTRATED BY EXECUTING
3	PILOT PROJECTS, FOR EXAMPLE, OR IF THEY'RE
4	EMPHASIZING CRISPR TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM, MAYBE THEY
5	DEMONSTRATE HOW THEY ARE ABLE TO MORE EFFECTIVELY
6	DRIVE CRISPR PROJECTS THROUGH THEIR FACILITIES. AND
7	THEN ENROLL THEIR FIRST TRAINEE COHORTS FOR
8	TECHNICAL AND LEADERSHIP TRAINING PROGRAMS ON THE
9	WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT SIDE.
10	ON THE PHASE 2 SIDE, IT WOULD BE ENROLLING
11	THIS ON OUR NETWORK CAPACITY. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, ON
12	THE SPECIALIZATIONS, HOW WELL ARE THE
13	SPECIALIZATIONS UTILIZED ACROSS CALIFORNIA BY THE
14	COLLABORATING FACILITIES AND PROJECTS.
15	AND THEN ON THE WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
16	SIDE, TO SHOW A SUSTAINED ENROLLMENT IN TRAINING
17	PROGRAMS AND THE SUCCESS RATE OF THE TRAINEE JOB
18	PLACEMENT BOTH FOR TECHNICAL POSITIONS AND
19	LEADERSHIP POSITIONS.
20	AS WITH ALL OF OUR RECENT CONCEPT PLANS,
21	THERE IS A DEI COMPONENT AND A KNOWLEDGE COMPONENT.
22	SO FOR THIS PARTICULAR CONCEPT PLAN, THE DEI
23	COMPONENT, THERE'S TWO MAJOR CATEGORIES. THE FIRST
24	AND FOREMOST IS PARTICIPATION IN WORKFORCE
25	DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS BY UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS.
	111

1	AND SECONDLY, ON THE PROJECT TEAM SIDE IS THAT DOES
2	THE PROJECT TEAM REPRESENT DIVERSE AND INCLUSIVE
3	PERSPECTIVES AND EXPERIENCES BECAUSE MANUFACTURING
4	HAS A DIRECT LINE TO PATIENTS AND PATIENT THERAPIES.
5	AND SO HERE WE EXPECT THAT THE TEAM ITSELF COULD BE
6	DIVERSE AND INCLUSIVE WITH THOSE PERSPECTIVES AND
7	EXPERIENCES.
8	ON THE KNOWLEDGE SHARING SIDE, THEY WOULD
9	BE REQUIRED TO PROPOSE KNOWLEDGE SHARING PLANS. AND
10	FIRST AND FOREMOST TO DESCRIBE A PLAN TO CAPTURE AND
11	DISSEMINATE RELEVANT KNOW-HOW, OPERATIONAL DATA OF
12	PROCESSES, EXPERTISE AND GUIDANCE WITHIN THE
13	NETWORK. AND THEN IF THERE ARE CORE COMPONENTS IN
14	THEIR ACTIVITIES THAT REQUIRE SHARING, THEY WILL
15	DESCRIBE HOW THE KNOWLEDGE SHARING PLANS ARE
16	CRITICAL TO ACHIEVING THOSE AWARD OBJECTIVES.
17	LASTLY, AS YOU KNOW, BY LAW ALL OF OUR
18	TRAN AND CLIN APPLICATIONS ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE
19	THEIR OWN DATA SHARING AND MANAGEMENT PLANS. SO HOW
20	WILL THESE FACILITIES HAVE DATA MANAGEMENT PROCESSES
21	AND PRACTICES THAT WILL SUPPORT THE TRAN AND CLIN
22	AWARDEE'S ABILITY TO HAVE THEIR OWN RESPECTIVE DATA
23	MANAGEMENT SHARING PLANS EXECUTED.
24	LASTLY, I'M GOING TO END WITH TALKING A
25	LITTLE ABOUT THE STEERING COMMITTEE ITSELF. AS I
	112

1	MENTIONED, THE STEERING COMMITTEE IS A GROUP BETWEEN
2	THE AWARDEES AND A GROUP BETWEEN THE TWO PHASES. SO
3	THE STEERING COMMITTEE WILL BE COMPOSED OF THE
4	AWARDEES THEMSELVES, OUR CALIFORNIA INDUSTRY
5	PARTNERS, AS WELL AS NATIONAL STAKEHOLDERS. SO
6	THINK OF NIMBLE, ARMY, AND SO ON THAT ALL HAVE
7	SIMILAR EFFORTS ON THE NATIONAL SCALE AROUND
8	MANUFACTURING FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. THIS
9	STEERING COMMITTEE WOULD BE FOCUSED ON FACILITATING
10	THE IDENTIFICATION AND ADOPTION OF STANDARDS,
11	PROTOCOLS, AND BEST PRACTICES ACROSS THE NETWORK AND
12	POTENTIALLY CRITERIA FOR FACILITY ACCREDITATION
13	WHICH MAY BE IMPORTANT GOING FORWARD FOR THIS FIELD.
14	TO MITIGATE CAPACITY AND EXPERTISE GAPS ACROSS
15	PARTICIPATING SITES. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF THERE'S A
16	LONG LEAD-TIME AT ONE FACILITY, COULD SOME OF THE
17	PARTNERS TAKE UP THOSE PROJECTS OR COULD THERE BE A
18	WAY TO SHARE KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERTISE ACROSS THE
19	SITES TO FACILITATE EXECUTION OF PROJECTS AT THEIR
20	PARTICULAR SITE.
21	COLLABORATIVE PLANNING FOR PHASE 2
22	PROPOSALS. SO AS I MENTIONED, THE PHASE 2 PROPOSALS
23	WILL BE INFORMED LARGELY BY WHAT HAPPENS IN PHASE 1.
24	AND THIS COORDINATING COMMITTEE HAS AN IMPORTANT
25	FACILITATING ROLE FOR THAT.

1	TO DEVELOP SYSTEMS AND PROCESSES FOR
2	SHARING INFORMATION AND RESOURCES BETWEEN NETWORK
3	PARTICIPANTS. SO THIS IS CRITICAL IN TERMS OF HOW
4	BEST PRACTICES, PROTOCOLS, DOCUMENTS, TEMPLATES, AND
5	SO ON ARE SHARED BETWEEN ALL THE PARTICIPANTS IN THE
6	NETWORK.
7	LASTLY, TO COORDINATE COLLABORATIVE
8	DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF WORKFORCE TRAINING
9	PROGRAMS. SO TAKING WHAT ARE BEST PRACTICES AND
10	COURSEWORK OR TRAINING MODULE DEVELOPMENT ACROSS
11	CERTAIN SITES TO MAKE THOSE AVAILABLE ACROSS THE
12	NETWORK SO THAT PEOPLE ARE NOT REINVENTING THE WHEEL
13	AROUND DEVELOPMENT OF TRAINING PROGRAMS. THEY COULD
14	ALSO BE CRITICAL FOR JOB PLACEMENT AS WELL.
15	SO COMING BACK AROUND TO OUR INITIAL
16	EXAMPLE OF DERISKING MANUFACTURING, I JUST WANT TO
17	HIGHLIGHT HOW THE STEERING COMMITTEE WILL BE PLAYING
18	A ROLE IN THAT SET OF ACTIVITIES. SO WITH DERISKING
19	MANUFACTURING FOR PHASE 1 ACTIVITIES, THE STEERING
20	COMMITTEE COULD BE IDENTIFYING QUALITY STANDARDS FOR
21	ACADEMIC GMP FACILITIES. IT COULD ALSO BE DEFINING
22	KNOWLEDGE SHARING PROCESSES THAT WOULD ALL FEED INTO
23	THE PHASE 2 STEERING COMMITTEE ROLE OF APPLYING THE
24	QUALITY STANDARDS ACROSS THE NETWORK, TO FACILITATE
25	KNOWLEDGE SHARING WITHIN THE NETWORK, AND TO TRIAGE

1	PROJECTS BY EXPERTISE AND CAPACITY ACROSS THAT
2	NETWORK.
3	SO TO SUMMARIZE THE CONCEPT PLAN ITSELF,
4	THIS HAS A TOTAL PROGRAM BUDGET OF \$80 MILLION.
5	PHASE 1 IS 20 MILLION AND PHASE 2 IS 60 MILLION.
6	THE AWARDS THEMSELVES WILL HAVE AWARD CAPS
7	RESPECTIVE FOR EACH OF THOSE TWO PHASES: 2 MILLION
8	FOR PHASE 1, 5 MILLION FOR PHASE 2. THE ALLOWABLE
9	COSTS INCLUDE DIRECT PROJECT COSTS AND DIRECT
10	FACILITIES COSTS. AND THERE WILL BE A CO-FUNDING
11	COMPONENT TO BOTH OF THESE PHASE AWARDS AT 20
12	PERCENT. AND AS A REMINDER, THE APPLICANTS FOR BOTH
13	THE PHASE 1 AND PHASE 2 AWARDS ARE PROPOSED TO BE
14	CALIFORNIA NONPROFIT ACADEMIC GMP MANUFACTURING
15	FACILITIES WITH A TRACK RECORD OF CELL AND GENE
16	THERAPY PROJECT SUPPORT.
17	SO WITH THAT, I ASK THE BOARD TO APPROVE
18	THIS CONCEPT, AND I'M HAPPY TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS.
19	ACTUALLY I'LL LEAVE IT AT THIS SLIDE FOR NOW.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, SHYAM. IS
21	THERE A MOTION TO APPROVE?
22	DR. DULIEGE: MOVE.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY ANNE-MARIE.
24	SECOND?
25	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: SECOND.
	115
1	

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT.
2	QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? I
3	CAN'T TELL WHAT THE ORDER IS HERE, SO I'M JUST GOING
4	TO START WITH BARRY, THEN TO MOHAMMED, THEN TO
5	ANNE-MARIE.
6	DR. SELICK: THANKS, J.T. AND, SHYAM,
7	THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE PRESENTATION.
8	ONE THING THAT WASN'T OBVIOUS TO ME, YOU
9	TALK ABOUT WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT AND PUTTING IN
10	PLACE TRAINING PROGRAMS, BUT IN THAT \$80 MILLION
11	BUDGET IT DIDN'T SEEM THAT THERE WAS FUNDS EARMARKED
12	FOR THAT SPECIFICALLY. CAN YOU SHARE SOME OF YOUR
13	THINKING WITH RESPECT TO HOW YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT
14	THAT? WHAT ARE THE NATURE OF THE TRAINING PROGRAMS
15	THAT YOU ARE ENVISIONING? AND WILL THERE BE A
16	SEPARATE BUDGET SET ASIDE FOR THOSE TWO, FOR
17	EXAMPLE, SUPPORT A PROGRAM AT SAN FRANCISCO STATE
18	UNIVERSITY WHO HAS A KIND OF RICH HISTORY OF
19	TRAINING SCIENTISTS FOR THE BIOTECH INDUSTRY DATING
20	BACK ALMOST 50 YEARS.
21	DR. PATEL: THANK YOU, BARRY, FOR THAT
22	QUESTION. SO ONE OF THE THINGS YOU POINTED OUT WAS
23	THAT THE DIFFERENT FACILITIES HAVE DIFFERENT RANGES
24	OF TRAINING PROGRAMS AND DIFFERENT PARTNERS THAT
25	THEY MAY ALREADY BE WORKING WITH, COMMUNITY

1	COLLEGES, STATE UNIVERSITIES, AS WELL AS INDUSTRY
2	PARTNERS. SO WHILE WE EXPECT THAT EVERY APPLICANT
3	IS GOING TO BE RESPONSIVE IN SOME WAY TO ALL THREE
4	OF THOSE CATEGORIES, THE LEVEL OF FUNDING THEY MIGHT
5	NEED FOR CERTAIN AREAS IS GOING TO VARY BETWEEN THE
6	APPLICANTS. SO RATHER THAN SETTING SPECIFIC BUCKETS
7	FOR EACH OF THOSE THREE CATEGORIES, WE ARE THINKING
8	SOME FLEXIBILITY FOR THEM TO IDENTIFY WHERE THEY
9	FEEL THE MOST NEED THERE IS IN THOSE AREAS FOR THEIR
10	OWN ACTIVITIES AND BUDGETS. BUT WE WOULD EXPECT
11	THAT ALL APPLICANTS ARE ADDRESSING SPECIFICALLY ON
12	ALL THREE OF THOSE CATEGORIES. SO THAT'S DERISKING
13	MANUFACTURING, SPECIALIZATION, AS WELL AS WORKFORCE
14	DEVELOPMENT.
15	DR. SELICK: OKAY. THANKS, SHYAM.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. MOHAMMED IS
17	NEXT, ANNE-MARIE, AND THEN HAIFAA.
18	DR. ABOUSALEM: SHYAM, FIRST I'D LIKE TO
19	THANK YOU FOR THE CLEAR PRESENTATION, AND I REALLY
20	SUPPORT THIS INITIATIVE BECAUSE IT DOES TAKE THE
21	CIRM ACTIVITY AND PUSH FORWARD OUR INITIATIVE DOWN
22	THE SUPPLY CHAIN, IF YOU WILL, AND ALLOWS US TO
23	BRING THOSE SOLUTIONS TO MARKET.
24	I'VE GOT TWO QUESTIONS. I DON'T KNOW IF
25	YOU'VE CONSIDERED THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
	117

1	PROVISIONS THAT YOU WOULD ATTACH TO THIS ACTIVITY
2	BECAUSE MY RECOMMENDATION IS TO LOOK AT THAT VERY
3	CLOSELY BECAUSE NOW WE'RE GOING TO BE FUNDING
4	ASSETS, PERHAPS PHYSICAL ASSETS, AT THESE
5	UNIVERSITIES THAT MAY OR MAY NOT BE USED FOR THE
6	PRODUCTION OF CIRM-FUNDED SOLUTIONS. SO THERE IS AN
7	OPPORTUNITY FOR SOME JOINT VALUE THAT WOULD BE
8	ACCRUED FROM THESE ASSETS TO BRING BACK FUNDING TO
9	CIRM THAT IS NOT NECESSARILY THE SAME WAY THE IP
10	POLICY FOR CIRM PROGRAMS ARE RUNNING TODAY. SO
11	THAT'S ONE. I'D LIKE TO HEAR FROM YOU ON THAT.
12	BUT THE SECOND COMMENT OR QUESTION IS,
13	AGAIN, SINCE THERE'S GOING TO BE A PHYSICAL ASSET IN
14	SOME CASES, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'VE CONSIDERED THIS,
15	BUT IF NOT, YOU SHOULD, ATTACHING NAMING RIGHTS TO
16	THE FACILITY OR SPONSORSHIP PRICE THAT GO BEYOND THE
17	STANDARD CIRM ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. BECAUSE PART OF WHAT
18	WE NEED TO DO AS CIRM IS TO PUSH THE MESSAGE OUT,
19	NOT JUST FOR THE INDIVIDUAL SOLUTIONS THAT GO OUT,
20	BUT FOR CIRM ITSELF AND CIRM'S INITIATIVE TO HELP
21	WITH INCREASING THE ENGAGEMENT OF CALIFORNIA
22	CITIZENS WITH CIRM, WITH THE PROGRAMS, WITH THE
23	THERAPIES, WITH THE TESTING.
24	SO PUTTING THE NAME OUT IN THESE ACADEMIC
25	INSTITUTIONS, BIG SIGN THAT SAYS FUNDED BY CIRM OR A
	118

1	CIRM FACILITY, THAT MAY BE VERY HELPFUL. SO I WANT
2	TO HEAR WHAT YOUR THOUGHTS ARE ON BOTH OF THESE.
3	THANK YOU.
4	DR. PATEL: THANK YOU. SO THOSE ARE BOTH
5	EXCELLENT POINTS AND QUESTIONS. ON THE FIRST ONE
6	REGARDING IP, SO WHAT WE ANTICIPATE HERE IS THAT OUR
7	CURRENT IP AND REVENUE SHARING POLICIES WOULD APPLY.
8	AND I'LL DESCRIBE THAT TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY,
9	AND MAYBE KEVIN MARKS OR BEN HUANG MAY HAVE TO STEP
10	IN A LITTLE BIT.
11	SO THE WAY THAT OUR CURRENT IP REVENUE
12	SHARING PROVISIONS WORK IS THAT ACROSS ALL OF OUR
13	FUNDING MECHANISMS, IF ANY IP WAS GENERATED, AND IF
14	IT'S MONETIZED IN SOME WAY DOWN THE ROAD, THAT
15	REVENUE NEEDS TO BE SHARED WITH THE STATE OF
16	CALIFORNIA. HERE IN THIS INSTANCE IF ANY IP IS
17	GENERATED, THAT'S TRUE. ALSO, IN TERMS OF
18	TECHNOLOGY AND DATA THAT IS GENERATED AND THAT IS
19	USED FOR REGULATORY FILINGS TO SUPPORT APPROVAL OF
20	THERAPIES, THAT ALSO COMES INTO PLAY FOR REVENUE
21	SHARING. SO YOU'RE RIGHT, THAT HERE WE COULD HAVE
22	KIND OF A BROADER BASE FOR REVENUE SHARING BASED ON
23	HOW THE ACADEMIC FACILITIES IMPLEMENT ANY
24	SPECIALIZATIONS OR ANY IP THAT'S GENERATED FROM THIS
25	PARTICULAR FUNDING MECHANISM.

1	AND I THINK MARIA MILLAN HAS A POINT SHE
2	WANTS TO RAISE HERE.
3	DR. MILLAN: JUST FOR CLARIFICATION,
4	SHYAM. THE PROJECTS, FOR INSTANCE, THE CLINICAL
5	AWARDS HAVE THOSE PROVISIONS ATTACHED TO THEM ON A
6	PER PROJECT BASIS. I JUST DON'T WANT TO GIVE THE
7	IMPRESSION THAT ANYBODY WHO ENDS UP GOING THROUGH
8	THIS FACILITY THEN HAS THESE IP AND REVENUE SHARING
9	TAGGED ONTO THEM. THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WE
10	WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO DO. I WANTED TO JUST KIND OF
11	HIGHLIGHT WHAT SHYAM SAID WITH THAT CLARIFICATION.
12	DR. ABOUSALEM: MARIA, THIS IS EXACTLY MY
13	POINT. A RECOMMENDATION TO LOOK INTO THAT. AGAIN,
14	IF YOUR'RE FUNDING A FACILITY THAT WILL BE USED,
15	LET'S SAY, 50 PERCENT OF THE TIME FOR
16	NON-CIRM-FUNDED PROGRAMS, YOU HAVE STILL ENABLED
17	THAT FACILITY TO DO THAT WORK. AND YOU MAY WANT TO
18	CONSIDER IP REVENUE THROUGH THAT ENABLING MECHANISM.
19	JUST A RECOMMENDATION.
20	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH. I JUST
21	WANTED TO CLARIFY MAKE ANOTHER CLARIFICATION.
22	THESE FUNDS WILL NOT BE USED FOR ANY BUILDING OF
23	FACILITIES. IT WILL BE FOR OPERATIONAL COSTS. SO I
24	GUESS ONE WAY TO LOOK AT IT IS KIND OF THE BUSINESS
25	PRACTICES AND RULES THAT ONE WOULD FOLLOW AND MODELS
	120

-	
1	ONE WOULD FOLLOW IS IF THIS NETWORK THAT WE FUNDED,
2	ONE LARGE CDMO, CONTRACT MANUFACTURING RESOURCE, FOR
3	INSTANCE, WHAT RULES WOULD GUIDE THAT IF PRIVATE
4	ENTITIES OR OTHER ENTITIES, ORGANIZATIONS USED THAT
5	FACILITY. SO THAT, I THINK, IS WHAT WE NEED TO TAKE
6	AS KIND OF A BASELINE AND HOW THAT CAN BE STRUCTURED
7	WITH RELATION TO IP.
8	AGAIN, AS SHYAM SAID, WE HAVE OUR LEGAL
9	TEAM AVAILABLE. BUT THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUGGESTIONS.
10	I THINK THERE ARE SOME OTHER ASPECTS OF THAT THAT WE
11	SHOULD EXPLORE.
12	DR. PATEL: IP, I WAS REFERRING TO A
13	SPECIFIC IP GENERATED BY THE ACADEMIC FACILITIES
14	THEMSELVES, THE AWARDEES, AS PART OF DOING THE WORK
15	IN THIS AWARD. SO IT DOES NOT FALL IT WOULD NOT
16	ENSNARE THE IP OF ANY PROJECTS THAT THEY ARE
17	SUPPORTING THROUGH THAT. AS MARIA MENTIONED, THE
18	TRAN AND CLIN PROGRAMS HAVE THEIR OWN REVENUE
19	SHARING PROVISIONS APPLIED TO THOSE, BUT THERE COULD
20	BE SOME BROADER IP THAT MAY BE GENERATED HERE THAT
21	COULD POTENTIALLY CREATE REVENUE SHARING DOWN THE
22	ROAD.
23	AND THEN ON THE SECOND POINT THAT YOU HAD
24	RAISED AROUND THE NAMING RIGHTS, I THINK MARIA
25	ADDRESSES TO A CERTAIN EXTENT THAT WE ARE NOT
	121

1	ACTUALLY BUILDING THE FACILITIES THEMSELVES, BUT
2	THERE HAVE BEEN SEVERAL RECOMMENDATIONS FROM SOME OF
3	THE ACADEMIC FACILITIES THAT WE SPOKE TO DURING OUR
4	OUTREACH ABOUT CREATING KIND OF AN ACCREDITATION,
5	CIRM ACCREDITATION. THAT, I THINK, WOULD BE AN
6	INTERESTING CONCEPT THAT THE STEERING COMMITTEE
7	COULD PROPOSE TO LOOK AT WOULD BE, NOW THAT WE HAVE
8	ESTABLISHED PARTICULAR QUALITY STANDARDS AS WELL AS
9	SOME PROCESSES AND BEST PRACTICES ACROSS THE STATE
10	OF CALIFORNIA FOR THESE FACILITIES, THEN THERE'S
11	SOME SORT OF CIRM-ACCREDITED STATUS THAT THEY HAVE
12	NOW THAT COULD POTENTIALLY HELP THEM AS WELL IN
13	TERMS OF BRINGING IN PROJECTS BECAUSE NOW THERE IS A
14	SET OF STANDARDS THAT THE SPONSORS THEMSELVES CAN
15	RELY ON IN USING THESE FACILITIES.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. ANNE-MARIE,
17	YOU'RE NEXT.
18	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU. VERY BRIEFLY.
19	SHYAM, EXCELLENT PRESENTATION. AND TO ALL, JUST AN
20	EXCELLENT INITIATIVE. WE KNOW THAT THE FIELD OF CMC
21	FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IS SO COMPLEX, THAT I AM
22	CONVINCED THAT THIS WILL HAVE A VERY POSITIVE IMPACT
23	OVERALL IN BRINGING PRODUCTS CLOSER AND FASTER TO
24	PATIENTS.
25	SO MY OVERALL QUESTION IS CAN YOU TELL ME
	122
	±८ <i>८</i>

1	HOW MUCH IS THE TOTAL COST OF THIS PROJECT, THE
2	TITLE ON THIS PROJECT, COMPARED TO THE ENTIRE \$5.5
3	BILLION BUDGET, JUST A ROUGH ESTIMATE? IS IT 7, 10
4	PERCENT, ROUGHLY?
5	DR. PATEL: 80 MILLION. SO 80 MILLION
6	DIVIDED BY 5.5 BILLION. I'M NOT THAT GOOD AT MATH.
7	AS MY COLLEGES WILL KNOW, RECENTLY I CLAIMED I HAD
8	MY 25TH WEDDING ANNIVERSARY WHEN, IN FACT, IT WAS
9	THE 15TH. SO I'M GOING TO NEED A CALCULATOR HERE TO
10	GET THIS NUMBER RIGHT. I KNOW SOMEBODY ELSE HAS IT
11	ON HAND.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WHILE WE'RE FIGURING
13	THAT OUT, HAIFAA.
14	DR. LEVITT: TWO PERCENT.
15	DR. PATEL: ABOUT 1.4, 5 PERCENT.
16	DR. DULIEGE: VERY WELL WORTH IT. VERY
17	WELL WORTH IT.
18	DR. ABDULHAQ: A BRIEF COMMENT. I DO FEEL
19	VERY STRONGLY ABOUT THIS. I THINK THIS IS GOING TO
20	BE A GREAT PROGRAM. AND AS A CLINICIAN, I CAN SPEAK
21	TO THE CLINICAL AND PRACTICAL ASPECTS. FOR EXAMPLE,
22	FOR OUR PATIENTS WITH MULTIPLE MYELOMA, THERE ARE
23	TWO GREAT THERAPIES THAT ARE APPROVED, CAR-T
24	THERAPIES. AND UNFORTUNATELY LESS THAN 20 PERCENT
25	OF THE PATIENTS WHO ARE CANDIDATES FOR THIS
	123

1	TREATMENT CAN RECEIVE IT FOR VARIOUS REASONS. BUT
2	ONE IMPORTANT REASON IS JUST NOT BEING ABLE TO
3	MANUFACTURE THIS AND BEING ABLE TO PROVIDE IT TO
4	PATIENTS. SO I'M SO EXCITED TO HEAR ABOUT THIS
5	PROGRAM AND THANK YOU.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, HAIFA. OTHER
7	COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? PAT.
8	DR. LEVIN: I WAS JUST WONDERING ABOUT THE
9	ADDITIONAL CIRM GOLD SEAL OF APPROVAL, HOW THAT
10	DIFFERS FROM THE FACILITIES MEETING FDA REQUIREMENTS
11	AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE ALREADY STANDARDS IN
12	THE FIELD. IS THIS UPPING IT? IS IT DUPLICATING
13	IT, OR THAT'S MY QUESTION.
14	DR. PATEL: IT'S A GREAT QUESTION. SO IT
15	WOULD NOT BE IN LIEU OF ANY SORT OF GMP
16	CERTIFICATIONS THAT THE FACILITIES NEED TO HAVE. SO
17	THERE ARE A SPECIFIC SET OF GMP REQUIREMENTS THAT
18	THEY'RE MEETING FOR BEING ABLE TO SUPPORT PHASE 1
19	CLINICAL TRIALS. HERE IT WOULD BE BASICALLY THAT
20	THERE'S A CERTAIN LEVEL OF QUALITY POTENTIALLY
21	THAT'S BEING IMPLEMENTED WITH RESPECT TO THEIR
22	QUALITY SYSTEMS, GOING ABOVE AND BEYOND WHAT THEIR
23	GMP REQUIREMENTS MIGHT BE, AS WELL AS CREATING THESE
24	TEMPLATES FOR TECH TRANSFER FOR PROJECTS COMING IN
25	AND OUT, THOSE TYPES OF THINGS.

1	DR. LEVITT: SO IT'S NOT DUPLICATIVE.
2	IT'S IN ADDITION. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT
3	IT'S NOT ADDING MORE WORK THAT'S NOT NECESSARILY
4	REQUIRED FOR I THINK THIS IS A REALLY CRITICAL
5	INITIATIVE OBVIOUSLY. SO IT'S NOT ADDING WHAT I
6	WOULD SOMETIMES DEFINE AS BUSY WORK BECAUSE THEY'RE
7	ALREADY MEETING VERY HIGH STANDARDS. IF IT'S
8	MEETING HIGHER STANDS, THAT WOULD SPEED UP THE
9	PROCESS FOR QUALITY MANUFACTURING IN CALIFORNIA, AND
10	I THINK THAT THAT'S GREAT.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. YSABEL.
12	MS. DURON: THANK YOU, J.T. TO THE
13	POINT THANKS VERY MUCH FOR THE PRESENTATION. IT
14	WAS QUITE EXCELLENT. I'M VERY EXCITED ABOUT THE
15	ASPECT OF WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT AND APPRECIATE THERE
16	WERE MENTIONS ALSO OF INTERNSHIPS. GLAD TO SEE
17	THAT, IN FACT, WE DO ALREADY HAVE SOME UNIVERSITY
18	RELATIONSHIPS AND OPPORTUNITIES.
19	I TOO HAD SOME OF THOSE CONVERSATIONS AT
20	THE BRIDGES MEETING WHERE I HEARD STUDENTS THINKING
21	OUTSIDE THE BOX OF, NOT IN THE LAB PER SE, BUT IN
22	THE INDUSTRY PART. SO I'M GLAD THAT WE CAN OFFER
23	THROUGH THOSE INTERNSHIPS AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
24	JOBS A CHANCE FOR THEM TO SEE THE SCIENCE THROUGH A
25	DIFFERENT LENS.

1	AND I THINK THAT'S ALSO VERY MUCH
2	IMPORTANT TO PROMOTE IN TERMS OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF
3	A DIVERSE CALIFORNIA WORKFORCE IN THE SCIENCES. AND
4	SO I HOPE THAT WE AND THE COMMUNICATIONS
5	SUBCOMMITTEE CAN FIND WAYS IN WHICH WE CAN ALSO HELP
6	YOU ONCE ALL OF THIS IS WORKED OUT TO SEE HOW WE CAN
7	ALSO SHINE A LIGHT ON THAT. IT'S NOT JUST IN THE
8	LAB, BUT IT'S ALSO OUT THERE IN THE FIELDS AND THERE
9	ARE OPPORTUNITIES FOR OUR FUTURE LEADERS. THANK
10	YOU.
11	DR. PATEL: THANK YOU. I THINK THAT WILL
12	BE CRITICAL.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, YSABEL.
14	LARRY.
15	DR. GOLDSTEIN: GREAT JOB, SHYAM. I THINK
16	IT'S A TERRIFIC PROGRAM, AND I THINK MY PREDICTION
17	IS THAT IT'S GOING TO NEED TO EXPAND AS TIME GOES ON
18	BECAUSE MANUFACTURING IS GOING TO BE A CONTINUED
19	BOTTLENECK IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF CELLS AND GENES AND
20	EVERYTHING ELSE.
21	MY QUESTION IS HAVE YOU THOUGHT ABOUT
22	ADDING AN ANNUAL MEETING TO THE PROGRAM PLAN BECAUSE
23	IT SEEMS THAT BRINGING THESE GUYS TOGETHER EVERY
24	YEAR TO TALK ABOUT THEIR ENHANCEMENTS AND
25	BREAKTHROUGHS, THIS WILL BE A RAPIDLY MOVING AREA.
	126

1	DR. PATEL: YES, WE DID. WE THOUGHT ABOUT
2	THE ANNUAL MEETING, AND THEN WE KIND OF MOVED TOWARD
3	THE STEERING COMMITTEE WHICH IS PROBABLY GOING TO
4	MEET MORE FREQUENTLY THAN ANNUALLY. SO THAT WOULD
5	ACTUALLY BRING THAT TOGETHER. A POINT THAT YOU
6	RAISED COULD BE REALLY INTERESTING IS TO HAVE AN
7	ANNUAL MEETING WITH ALPHA STEM CELL CLINICS SIDE
8	WHERE YOU HAVE STAKEHOLDERS ALSO ATTENDING THAT
9	MEETING AND TURNING IT INTO CONFERENCE AND
10	PRESENTATIONS AND SO ON. AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT
11	WE HAVE CONSIDERED IN THE PAST AND MAYBE WORTH
12	CONSIDERING HERE.
13	MR. GOLDSTEIN: WELL, THE THING ABOUT AN
14	ANNUAL MEETING IS THAT THE FOLKS WHO ARE NOT THE
15	LEADERSHIP CAN ATTEND THOSE AND LEARN FROM EACH
16	OTHER.
17	DR. PATEL: THAT'S A GREAT POINT. WE CAN
18	BUILD THAT INTO THE I THINK THE WAY THAT WE WERE
19	DOING IN THE PAST IS THAT THE ANNUAL MEETINGS COME
20	OUT OF THE CONFERENCE BUDGET, AND SO WE WOULD BE
21	ABLE TO INCORPORATE THAT INTO THAT.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. I DON'T SEE
23	ANY OTHER BOARD MEMBER HANDS RAISED. AS WE NOTED IN
24	THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE, THIS CONCEPT PLAN, WHICH
25	OBVIOUSLY REFLECTS A HUGE AMOUNT OF WORK, HAS BEEN A
	127
	/

1	LONG TIME IN COMING. THERE WAS A GREAT DEAL OF WORK
2	PUT INTO CONVENING THE MANUFACTURING SYMPOSIUM THAT
3	TOOK PLACE IN 2021, AND ALL OF THIS FLOWS FROM THAT.
4	SO THIS IS A HIGHLY CONSIDERED PROGRAM THAT I THINK
5	ALL OF US BELIEVE WILL HAVE A DRAMATIC IMPACT.
6	SHYAM, CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU AND THE TEAM FOR
7	PUTTING THIS ALTOGETHER.
8	DO WE HAVE ANY COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF
9	THE PUBLIC ON THIS MOTION?
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: I DO NOT SEE ANY.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE
12	CALL THE ROLL.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: YES.
14	HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
15	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED ABOUSALEM.
17	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
19	DR. BARRETT: AYE.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
21	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL BOTCHAN.
23	DR. BOTCHAN: AYE.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
25	DR. BOXER: YES.
	128
	120

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
2	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
4	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
6	MS. DURON: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
8	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AYE.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
10	DR. FISHER: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS.
12	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
14	DR. GASSON: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
16	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
18	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
20	MR. LAJARA: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
22	DR. LEVITT: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
24	DR. LO: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
	120
	129

1	DR. MALKAS: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
3	DR. MELMED: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
5	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
7	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
9	DR. PADILLA: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
11	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: BARRY SELICK.
13	DR. SELICK: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
15	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
17	DR. STAMOS: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
21	MR. TORRES: AYE. AND THANK YOU, SHYAM.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA. THANK
24	YOU, EVERYBODY.
25	ITEM 9, CONSIDERATION OF REVISION TO CIRM
	130
	130

1	COMPENSATION LEVELS. KEVIN MARKS. KEVIN.
2	DR. MARKS: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN THOMAS.
3	I'M GOING TO ASK MARIANNE IS GOING TO ADVANCE THE
4	SLIDES FOR ME.
5	AS A PART OF THIS PRESENTATION, THERE'S
6	THREE DOCUMENTS WE'RE GOING TO ASK THE BOARD TO
7	CONSIDER. ONE IS THE PRESENTATION THAT I WILL WALK
8	YOU THROUGH WHICH OUTLINES THE SCOPE OF THIS
9	HR-RELATED PROJECT. THE SECOND DOCUMENT WILL BE A
10	REVISION TO THE COMPENSATION, THE CIRM COMPENSATION
11	LEVELS. THEY COME AS A RESULT OF THIS. AND THE
12	THIRD IS A BACKUP DOCUMENT WHICH SHOWS THE REVISED
13	SALARY RANGES THAT WE'VE BEEN CONSIDERING IN
14	FORMULATION OF THE COMPENSATION LEVELS. NEXT SLIDE
15	PLEASE.
16	ACTUALLY TWO SLIDES. WE'VE DONE THE
17	MISSION, SO WE CAN SKIP RIGHT TO THE OVERALL SCOPE
18	OF THE PROJECT. AROUND MAY OR EARLY JUNE OF LAST
19	YEAR, THE BOARD REQUESTED THAT THE STAFF TAKE A LOOK
20	AT THE SALARY RANGES AND RELATIVE MARKET DATA ACROSS
21	THE ORGANIZATION. THE LAST TIME THAT WAS DONE BY AN
22	EXTERNAL AGENCY WAS IN 2007. SO IT APPEARED TIME TO
23	ENSURE THAT THE COMPENSATION RANGES FOR EACH OF THE
24	POSITIONS AS WELL AS THE COMPENSATION LEVELS ACROSS
25	THE ORGANIZATION CONTINUE TO BE APPROPRIATE.

131

1	WHILE WE WERE DOING THIS, AND
2	UNFORTUNATELY WE HAVE HAD AN EXTENSIVE DELAY IN
3	GATHERING THIS INFORMATION, BUT WHAT THIS ALLOWED US
4	TO DO IS ACTUALLY TAKE A BROADER LOOK AT OUR CURRENT
5	HR STRATEGIES AND A LOT OF THE FOUNDATIONAL PIECES
6	OF THE HR FUNCTION IN OUR PROGRAM AND SEE IF WE
7	NEEDED TO MAKE ANY IMPROVEMENTS TO ALIGN WITH THE
8	DIRECTION WE WERE TAKING AS AN AGENCY IN ACCORDANCE
9	WITH THE NEW STRATEGIC PLAN. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
10	SO SCOPE OF THE COMPENSATION PROJECT
11	STARTED WITH JUST LOOKING AT A DETAILED SUMMARY OF
12	THE RELATIVE WORTH OF THE JOBS, LOOKING AT OUR
13	COMPETITIVE AND INTERNAL ALIGNMENT. WE WANTED TO
14	MAKE SURE THAT WE STILL HAVE THE ABILITY TO ATTRACT
15	GOOD TALENT AND RETAIN GOOD TALENT. SO PART OF THAT
16	WAS REALLY IDENTIFYING AND COLLECTING OUR DATA
17	SOURCES.
18	WHAT WE WERE ABLE TO DO, AGAIN AS A RESULT
19	OF A LITTLE BIT OF THE DELAY, IS WE COULD LOOK AT A
20	COMPENSATION STRUCTURE MORE BROADLY BECAUSE WE COULD
21	TAKE A DEEPER DIVE INTO OUR HR STRATEGY AND ENSURE
22	THAT OUR COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY, MISSION, CULTURE,
23	AND BUSINESS MODEL, THERE'S CONNECTIVITY ACROSS THE
24	ORGANIZATION. THE OTHER THING WE WANTED TO DO TOO
25	IS COME UP WITH MORE OF A FORMULAIC DOCUMENT TO

1	PROCESS THAT TAKES A LOOK AT HOW WE DO OUR JOB
2	ANALYSIS, LOOK AT OUR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL
3	EVALUATIONS OF OPPORTUNITIES, AND MAKING SURE THAT
4	WHEN WE HAVE POSITIONS, OUR CURRENT POSITIONS AND
5	ANY NEW POSITIONS, THAT WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO
6	ASSIGN THEM TO A GRADE AND PAY LEVEL AND ROLE WITHIN
7	OUR OVERALL JOB STRUCTURE. NEXT SLIDE.
8	SO THE HR PART OF THIS PROJECT WAS REALLY
9	KIND OF TAKING A DEEPER DIVE AND, ONE, JUST ENSURING
10	THAT ALL OF OUR POSITIONS HAVE DUTY STATEMENTS AND
11	THEIR JOB DESCRIPTIONS. WE WANTED TO LOOK AT
12	LEVELING ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION BECAUSE THERE
13	APPEARED TO BE SOME LEVELS OF INCONSISTENCY. FOR
14	EXAMPLE, OUR VICE PRESIDENT LEVELS IN THE
15	ORGANIZATION WERE AT TWO DIFFERENT LEVELS. WE HAD
16	ASSOCIATE DIRECTORS AND DIRECTORS THAT WERE
17	SCATTERED IN VARIOUS LEVELS ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION.
18	WHAT WE WANTED TO DO IN THIS PROJECT TOO
19	IS DETERMINE IF THERE'S ANY CHANGES THAT WERE
20	NECESSARY. WE WANTED TO BE TRANSPARENT AS TO WHAT
21	OUR PERFORMANCE EXPECTATIONS WERE FOR OUR EMPLOYEES.
22	WE WANTED TO TAKE A LOOK AT CAREER LADDERS AND BE
23	ABLE TO CLEARLY IDENTIFY THAT. WE WANTED TO LOOK AT
24	OPPORTUNITIES. AND WE REALLY WANTED TO DEVELOP
25	CONSISTENCIES ACROSS THE EXPECTATIONS FOR HOW WE

133

1	DESCRIBE OUR POSITIONS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
2	SO AS PART OF OUR SUMMARY HERE, AND I HAVE
3	TO COMPLIMENT HR STAFF AS WELL AS OUR LEADERS ACROSS
4	THE ORGANIZATION BECAUSE A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF
5	EFFORT WENT INTO REVIEWING ALL OUR LEVELS OF
6	POSITION ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION, AND THAT WITH
7	RESPECT TO WHAT ARE THE EXPECTATIONS OF THE ROLES.
8	WHAT ARE THE COMPETENCIES THAT WE WANT TO DEVELOP
9	AND HAVE REPRESENTED AND DEMONSTRATED IN THESE
10	POSITIONS? AND THEN HOW DO WE BENCHMARK THE
11	COMPENSATION AND MAKE ANY CORRECTIVE REASSIGNMENTS
12	THAT ARE NECESSARY?
13	WE REALLY ARE COMMITTING OURSELVES TO
14	ENSURE EVERY POSITION WITHIN THE ORGANIZATION HAD A
15	CLEAR JOB DESCRIPTION OR DUTY STATEMENT. WE
16	DEVELOPED BRAND-NEW COMPETENCIES, DETAILED
17	COMPETENCIES, FOR EVERYTHING FROM THE ASSOCIATE
18	DIRECTOR ABOVE BECAUSE THAT'S WHERE WE REALLY HAVE
19	CONSISTENCY ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION AND HOW WE TITLE
20	OUR POSITIONS. SO IT WAS IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND
21	WHAT CONSTITUTES AN ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR, WHAT
22	CONSTITUTES THE DIRECTOR VP, ET CETERA.
23	WE'VE THEN TAKEN THOSE DESCRIPTIONS AND
24	COMPETENCIES THAT WE'VE BEEN DEVELOPING AND PUT THIS
25	INTO A REVISED PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROCESS. SO
	134

REALLY IT BECOMES A STANDARD BY WHICH EMPLOYEES ARE
NOW MEASURED SPECIFICALLY AGAINST THOSE
EXPECTATIONS.
SOME OF THE OTHER AREAS THAT WE DEVELOPED
OR HAD CONSISTENCIES AND CREATED IS WE REALIGNED THE
VP LEVEL SO THAT ALL VP'S WERE CAPTURED IN THE LEVEL
9. WHAT YOU WILL SEE, AND I'LL EXPLAIN A LITTLE
FURTHER IN A BIT, IS WHAT WE ARE RECOMMENDING OUR
COMPENSATION LEVELS RIGHT NOW ACROSS THE
ORGANIZATION ARE ONLY LEVELS 1 THROUGH 8. WE ARE
STILL RESERVING 9 AND 10 FOR SOME FURTHER
EVALUATION.
WE CREATED A BROADER SENIOR DIRECTOR
LEVEL. WHEN WE STARTED THIS PROJECT, WE HAD ONLY
ONE SENIOR DIRECTOR POSITION. BUT IN LOOKING ACROSS
THE ORGANIZATION AND LOOKING AT ADVANCEMENT
OPPORTUNITIES, WE REALIZED THAT A SENIOR DIRECTOR
LEVEL WAS NECESSARY TO CREATE A STEPWISE APPROACH
BETWEEN THE DIRECTOR AND THE VP LEVELS.
AND FINALLY, WE IN WORKING VERY CLOSELY
WITH OUR LEADERS AND WHAT I CALL OUR CORE PROGRAMS,
BUT WE BASICALLY WERE LOOKING AT REVIEW THERAPEUTICS
AND SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMS. WE CREATED A FELLOWSHIP
TRACK. AND WHAT THIS ALLOWS FOR IS MORE CAREER
ADVANCEMENTS ACROSS OUR CORE PROGRAMS TO ENABLE US
125

135

1	TO HAVE A MANAGERIAL TRACK AS WELL AS AN INDIVIDUAL
2	CONTRIBUTOR TRACK. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
3	SO REALLY AS A PART OF THEN TAKING THIS
4	INTO OUR COMPENSATION REVIEW, WE RETAINED MORGAN HR.
5	AS MANY OF YOU NOTED, WE STARTED THIS PROJECT WITH
6	MERCER LAST YEAR. MERCER WAS UNABLE TO COMPLETE THE
7	CUSTOMIZED REVIEW OF OUR COMPENSATION. SO WE THEN
8	PIVOTED TO MORGAN HR, WHO HAS INCREDIBLE EXPERTISE
9	IN WORKING WITH I WOULD CALL UNIQUE ORGANIZATIONS
10	THAT ARE REALLY INDIVIDUALIZED IN THEIR APPROACH.
11	PART OF THE COMPANIES THAT THEY'VE WORKED WITH ARE
12	THE ACADEMY AWARDS, FOR EXAMPLE, THE PRO FOOTBALL
13	HALL OF FAME. SO THEY HAVE, AGAIN, AN EXPERTISE IN
14	LOOKING AT THE UNIQUENESS AND THEN PUTTING IT INTO
15	MORE OF THE STANDARDIZATION WHEN WE'RE LOOKING AT
16	VARIOUS COMPENSATION AND BENCHMARKING LEVELS. AND
17	WE BROUGHT THEM IN REALLY BECAUSE WE NEEDED TO
18	ENSURE THIS EXTERNAL COMPETITIVENESS AS WELL AS THE
19	INTERNAL QUALITY ACROSS OUR GROUP.
20	DID AN EXTENSIVE AMOUNT OF REVIEW IN ALL
21	OF OUR POSITIONS ACROSS OUR UNIQUE JOBS. AND EACH
22	JOB WAS INTERNALLY ALIGNED TO, FIRST, RADFORD'S
23	GLOBAL GRADE HIERARCHY BECAUSE IT'S IMPORTANT TO, IN
24	ORDER TO ESTABLISH OUR BENCHMARK POSITIONS ACROSS
25	THE ERI COMPENSATION DATA, WE NEED TO ENSURE THAT
	120

136

1	WE'RE CODING AND LOOKING TO THE GREATEST EXTENT WE
2	CAN LIKE-FOR-LIKE OPPORTUNITIES.
3	AS MANY OF YOU ARE ALSO AWARE, CIRM HAS A
4	UNIQUE OBLIGATION ACCORDING TO STATUTE TO BENCHMARK
5	OUR COMPENSATION AGAINST THE UC REGENT'S EDUCATIONAL
6	SYSTEM, SPECIFICALLY THE MEDICAL SCHOOLS, AS WELL AS
7	PRIVATE RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS WITHIN CALIFORNIA. SO
8	TO ACCOMPLISH THIS, MORGAN REACHED OUT OR COLLECTED
9	COMPENSATION INFORMATION FROM THOSE VARIOUS
10	ENTITIES.
11	WE THEN DETERMINED THAT THE, AND
12	CONCLUSION REALLY, WAS THAT A MAJORITY OF OUR
13	SALARIES WERE ALIGNED WITHIN THE SALARY RANGE DATA
14	BASED ON WHAT WE WERE FINDING. AND THE RANGES THAT
15	WE WERE PAYING WERE THE MARKET DATA FOR THE 25TH TO
16	THE 75TH PERCENTILE. AND WHY WE PICKED THOSE.
17	BECAUSE THEY WERE CONSISTENT WITH THE PERCENTILES
18	THAT THE BOARD HAD PREVIOUSLY APPROVED DURING OTHER
19	COMPENSATION REVIEWS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
20	SO AS PART OF THE METHODOLOGY, AGAIN,
21	MORGAN WORKED WITH US IN CREATING AND DEVELOPING AND
22	GATHERING ALL OF OUR EXISTING JOB DESCRIPTIONS AND
23	TAKING A LOOK AT THAT ANALYSIS. THEY USED THE ERI
24	DATA TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT FROM A CODING PERSPECTIVE
25	AND THEN HOW WE COMPARED TO OTHER ORGANIZATIONS.

1	AND THEN WE WERE ABLE TO DO OR MORGAN WAS ABLE TO
2	CREATE A MODIFICATION DEPENDING ON THE GEOGRAPHIC
3	LOCATION AND USED OAKLAND BECAUSE THAT WAS OUR
4	HEADQUARTERS AT THE TIME WE STARTED THIS REVIEW TO
5	TAKE A LOOK AT GEOGRAPHICALLY BENCHMARK THE DATA.
6	AS YOU KNOW, SPECIFICALLY FOR THE UC,
7	THERE IS A LOT OF FLEXIBILITY DEPENDING ON
8	GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION. SO IT WAS VERY IMPORTANT FOR
9	US AS AN ORGANIZATION, AS MOST OF OUR EMPLOYEES ARE
10	BASED IN THE BAY AREA, TO MAKE SURE OUR COMPENSATION
11	REFLECTED BAY AREA RATES.
12	SO AS YOU CAN SEE IN THE NEXT PART OF THE
13	SLIDE WHAT THEY WERE ABLE TO DO. SO THEY CUSTOMIZED
14	THE SURVEY, THEY LOOKED AT PUBLICLY AVAILABLE
15	INFORMATION, AND THEN COMPARED THAT TO THE ERI DATA
16	THAT THEY WERE SEEING. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
17	SO THEY CAME FORWARD WITH A PAY STRUCTURE
18	THAT RECOMMENDED OUR CURRENT PHILOSOPHY AND REALLY
19	AGAIN, AS I STATED BEFORE, THAT LOOKED TO REALLY
20	REFLECT WHAT CIRM'S COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY WAS AND
21	HAS BEEN DETERMINED BEFORE. WE TOOK A LOOK AT THE
22	25TH TO THE 75TH PERCENTILES TO CALCULATE THE MINS
23	AND MAXES FOR EACH OF THE LEVELS.
24	NOW, HOW WE CREATE THE CIRM LEVELS AS AN
25	APPROACH TO THE INDIVIDUAL MARKET DATA IS WE TAKE
	138

1	ALL OF THOSE POSITIONS THAT ARE FOUND WITHIN A
2	PARTICULAR COMP LEVEL, WE TAKE THE MIN OF THE MIN
3	AND THE MAX OF THE MAX, AND THEN THAT'S THE BROAD
4	RANGE THAT YOU WILL SEE IN THE CIRM COMPENSATION
5	LEVELS. SO WE TOOK THIS INFORMATION, WE BROUGHT IT
6	BACK INTO THE ORGANIZATION. WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH
7	ANOTHER EXTERNAL ADVISOR, TAMMI BUETTNER, WHO IS OUR
8	EXTERNAL HR CONSULTANT, MYSELF, AND MARIA AND WE
9	LOOKED ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION. AND ULTIMATELY WE
10	REVIEWED IT, WE APPROVED IT. THIS INFORMATION WAS
11	TAKEN TO THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE IN EARLY JULY
12	AND WAS APPROVED. THE COMPENSATION LEVELS WERE
13	APPROVED OR RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL AT THE
14	GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE.
15	IS THERE A NEXT SLIDE OR IS THIS THE LAST?
16	SO NEXT STEPS ARE RECOMMENDATIONS. SO WE'VE
17	IMPLEMENTED THE TITLE CHANGES AND RESPECTIVE GRADES.
18	WE WANT TO CONTINUE TO DEVELOP CORE JOB DESCRIPTIONS
19	FOR EACH OF THE POSITIONS, MAKING SURE EVERY TIME
20	THAT WE GO AND EITHER CREATE NEW JOBS OR LOOK TO
21	MODIFY DUTIES TO EXISTING JOBS, THAT WE HAVE
22	EXISTING DOCUMENTATION FOR THOSE. WE'RE GOING TO
23	CONTINUE TO REVIEW ALL OF OUR JOB GRADES AND MARKET
24	VALUES AT LEAST ANNUALLY TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE
25	FITTING WITHIN THE DESIRED PAY LEVELS.

139

1	AGAIN, WE ARE GOING TO CONTINUE TO LOOK AT
2	THE MARKET, AND WE'RE GOING TO UTILIZE THIS
3	INFORMATION FROM A PAY EQUITY PERSPECTIVE
4	INTERNALLY. SO WE WANT TO ENSURE THAT OUR
5	COMPENSATION STRATEGIES ARE RELEVANT. IF WE DO HAVE
6	ANY PAY GAPS, THAT WE REDUCE THEM EFFECTIVELY AND
7	THAT WE HAVE NO DISPARATE IMPACTS ON EMPLOYEES AS A
8	RESULT OF ANY PAY INEQUITIES.
9	SO JUST AS A FINAL POINT, I KNOW SOME
10	QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN RAISED IN THE PAST ABOUT WHAT'S
11	THE IMPACT OF EMPLOYEES TO THIS. SO THERE'S REALLY
12	NO DETRIMENTAL IMPACT TO EMPLOYEES. NO EMPLOYEES'
13	SALARIES HAVE GONE DOWN AS A RESULT OF THIS. WE'RE
14	IN THE MIDST OF OUR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND
15	COMPENSATION REVIEW AT THIS CURRENT TIME. SO
16	THERE'S NO IMPACT, DOWNWARD IMPACT, TO EMPLOYEES.
17	MOST OR A MAJORITY OF OUR EMPLOYEES FALL WITHIN THE
18	RESPECTIVE SALARY RANGES. SO THAT'S A GOOD STEP,
19	THAT CIRM HAS BEEN MOVING IN LOCKSTEP WITH HOW THE
20	MARKET HAS BEEN PERFORMING WITH REGARD TO PAY.
21	SO AT THE END, WHAT I'LL BE ASKING FOR IS
22	A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE COMPENSATION LEVELS THAT
23	WE'LL BE RECOMMENDING. AND NOW I'LL ASK MARIANNE IF
24	SHE CAN DISPLAY THOSE. NOT THAT ONE. IT'S THE
25	OTHER ONE.

140

1	IN THE MEANTIME WHILE SHE'S PULLING THAT
2	UP, ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE PRESENTATION? I
3	DON'T SEE ANY. MARIA, DO YOU SEE ANY?
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO.
5	MR. MARKS: NO. THANK YOU.
6	SO AS YOU CAN SEE HERE, THERE'S SORT OF A
7	HEAD-TO-HEAD COMPARISON. ON THE LEFT-HAND SIDE WHAT
8	YOU SEE IS OUR EXISTING PAY RANGES FOR THE VARIOUS
9	LEVELS. AND ON THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE WHAT YOU SEE IS
10	THE MODIFIED VERSION THAT WE'RE ASKING FOR
11	RECOMMENDATION. NOW, AS I COMMENTED BEFORE, LEVELS
12	9 AND 10 WE'RE NOT AT THIS POINT PROPOSING ANY
13	ADDITIONAL CHANGES. THAT'S BECAUSE WE CONTINUE TO
14	PRESSURE TEST THE DATA THAT WE'VE RECEIVED AS A
15	RESULT OF THESE POSITIONS. THESE ARE UNIQUE
16	POSITIONS TO CIRM, AND WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT
17	WE'RE BENCHMARKING THEM ACCORDINGLY.
18	IN ADDITION, AT THE TIME WE WERE LOOKING
19	THROUGH THIS, WE WERE ALSO THE BOARD WAS ALSO
20	CONSIDERING THE SCOPE OF THE DUTIES FOR THE CHAIR
21	AND VICE CHAIR POSITIONS. AND WE WERE UNCERTAIN AS
22	TO WHERE THEY WOULD SLOT INTO THE LEVELS. NOW THAT
23	WE KNOW, BASED UPON THE DUTIES, THAT THEY WILL GO
24	INTO THE LEVEL 10 AND 9 POSITIONS, WE ARE TAKING
25	THAT, WE'RE TRYING TO BENCHMARK DATA ON THOSE

1	POSITIONS, AND THEN INCORPORATE THOSE INTO THE
2	RANGES.
3	SO IN CONSULTATION WITH THE GOVERNANCE
4	SUBCOMMITTEE, WE MAKE THE RECOMMENDATION THAT WE
5	WILL CONTINUE TO LOOK AT THIS. WE EXPECT TO BE DONE
6	IN THE NEXT COUPLE WEEKS AND PRESENT IT TO THE NEXT
7	GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING IN EARLY SEPTEMBER
8	AND SUBSEQUENTLY TO THE BOARD AT THE END OF
9	SEPTEMBER.
10	SO AS YOU ALSO SEE THROUGH THE COMPARISON
11	THAT THE RANGES WERE NOT FAR OFF. THE MODIFIED
12	RANGES, TO A GREAT EXTENT, ARE VERY CONSISTENT WITH
13	THE PRIOR RANGES. WHAT WE ALSO WANTED TO DO TOO IS,
14	IF YOU NOTICE, BASICALLY IN MOST RANGES FROM 7 ON
15	DOWN, IS THAT YOU HAVE MULTIPLE NUMBERS IN EACH OF
16	THE RANGES. SO WHAT WE'VE DONE IS WE'VE CREATED
17	GREATER BREADTH WITHIN THE LEVELS, BUT WE HAVE JUST
18	CONSISTENT LEVELS FOR EACH OF THE RANGES WITH ONE
19	RANGE NUMBER INSTEAD OF MULTIPLE RANGE NUMBERS.
20	SO I BELIEVE THAT'S IT. SO, AGAIN, I WILL
21	TAKE ANY QUESTIONS. I SEE SENATOR TORRES.
22	MR. TORRES: YES. I JUST WANT TO THANK
23	YOU BECAUSE I KNOW WHAT YOU AND MARIA AND THE OTHERS
24	HAVE DONE. IT WAS AN ARDUOUS TASK. WE JUST WENT
25	THROUGH THAT, AS YOU KNOW, BECAUSE I'VE BEEN IN
	142

_	
1	COMMUNICATION WITH YOU, AT THE UC ESPECIALLY IN
2	TERMS OF MEASURING CHANCELLOR SALARIES AS WELL AS
3	OTHER ACADEMICS. IT IS NOT AN EASY PROCESS. AND TO
4	GET THE RIGHT MIX OF CONSULTANTS TO HELP YOU LOOK AT
5	ALL THE PARAMETERS IS ALSO A CHALLENGE.
6	SO KUDOS TO YOU, KEVIN. AND KUDOS TO THE
7	FOLKS, MARIA B AND MARIA M AND OTHERS WHO
8	PARTICIPATED IN THE PROCESS. I'M GRATEFUL THAT I
9	DIDN'T HAVE TO DO IT TWICE.
10	DR. MARKS: THANK YOU, SENATOR TORRES.
11	MUCH APPRECIATED.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. KEVIN, CAN YOU
13	JUST AGAIN FRAME THE ASK ON THE MOTION PLEASE?
14	DR. MARKS: CERTAINLY. SO THE ASK, THE
15	STAFF RECOMMENDATION IS FOR A MOTION TO APPROVE THE
16	PROPOSED COMPENSATION LEVELS, THE CIRM COMPENSATION
17	LEVELS, WHICH WAS THE LAST DOCUMENT THAT YOU SAW.
18	MR. TORRES: SO MOVED.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY SENATOR TORRES.
20	IS THERE A SECOND?
21	DR. BARRETT: SECOND.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: KIM BEAT YOU TO IT
23	THERE, GEORGE.
24	ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS BY MEMBERS
25	OF THE BOARD?
	143
	140

1	MS. DURON: CAN I JUST ASK, KEVIN, ARE THE
2	ACTUALS BETWEEN THE TWO, THE MINIMUM AND THE MAX AT
3	THIS STAGE, THE ACTUAL AMOUNT THAT THESE VARIOUS
4	PEOPLE ARE GETTING? THEY'RE MINIMUM, ARE THEY, SO
5	THEY MIGHT BE GETTING MAX, WHICH IS COOL FOR THEM.
6	DR. MARKS: YES.
7	MS. DURON: BUT ARE WE LOOKING AT ANY
8	ACTUALS? BE NICE TO SEE ACTUALS ACTUALLY.
9	MR. MARKS: AS STATE EMPLOYEES, ALL OF OUR
10	EMPLOYEE SALARIES ARE PUBLIC INFORMATION. WE HAVE
11	NOT PRESENTED THAT. WE HAVE NOT PRESENTED THAT IN
12	THE PAST. WE ARE PERFECTLY ABLE TO PRESENT THAT TO
13	THE BOARD IF THE BOARD WISHES TO SEE THE
14	COMPENSATION LEVELS OF OUR EMPLOYEES. WE HAVE BEEN
15	MOVING. WHAT I SAID BEFORE IS THERE'S BEEN NO
16	DETRIMENTAL IMPACT ON EMPLOYEES AS A RESULT OF THESE
17	NEW RANGES.
18	WHAT WE DID IDENTIFY IS THAT WE HAD
19	CERTAIN EMPLOYEES THAT WERE PAID BELOW THE RANGES,
20	AND WE'RE MAKING THOSE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TO ENSURE
21	THAT WE'RE PAYING COMPETITIVELY. SO REST ASSURED
22	THAT WE ARE SLOTTING EVERYONE WITHIN THE RESPECTIVE
23	SALARY RANGES FOR THEIR POSITIONS.
24	MS. DURON: I JUST THINK THAT WE DO HAVE
25	THE OPPORTUNITIES TO WORK WITH SOME PEOPLE, BUT NOT
	144

 ALWAYS WITH ALL, AND WE OFTENTIMES VALUE THEM VERY HIGHLY. AND WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE GETTING WHAT THEY'RE WORTH. AND SO IT WAS JUST CURIOSITY ON MY PART. THANK YOU. IT WAS A GOOD CLARIFICATION. DR. MARKS: YOU'RE WELCOME. 	
 GETTING WHAT THEY'RE WORTH. AND SO IT WAS JUST CURIOSITY ON MY PART. THANK YOU. IT WAS A GOOD CLARIFICATION. DR. MARKS: YOU'RE WELCOME. 	
 4 CURIOSITY ON MY PART. THANK YOU. IT WAS A GOOD 5 CLARIFICATION. 6 DR. MARKS: YOU'RE WELCOME. 	
5 CLARIFICATION. 6 DR. MARKS: YOU'RE WELCOME.	
6 DR. MARKS: YOU'RE WELCOME.	
7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: LARRY, THEN FRED.	
8 MR. GOLDSTEIN: YEAH, I WANT TO ECHO ART	
9 AND YSABEL, KEVIN. IT IS CRITICAL THAT WE MAKE SU	RE
10 THAT CIRM STAFF ARE PAID FAIRLY, PARTICULARLY WITH	
11 RESPECT TO THE COST OF LIVING IN THE BAY AREA. OU	R
12 ABILITY TO FUNCTION AT TOP QUALITY IS COMPLETELY	
13 DEPENDENT ON THE QUALITY OF OUR STAFF. AND SO WE	
14 WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE PAY THEM FAIRLY AND RETA	IN
15 THEM WHEN THEY'RE AT THE QUALITY LEVEL WE EXPECT A	ND
16 HOPE FOR, WHICH GENERALLY THEY ARE. SO THANK YOU.	
17 DR. MARKS: YOU'RE WELCOME.	
18 DR. FISHER: SO IN ADDITION TO APPLAUDIN	G
19 THE EFFORT, I'M WONDERING IF THE CHANGES IN	
20 COMPENSATION THAT YOU'RE ANTICIPATING THAT ARE	
21 APPROPRIATE, WHETHER THAT REQUIRES A CHANGE IN THE	
22 BUDGET IN TERMS OF THE ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET THA	т
23 PERHAPS THIS BOARD PASSES. DOES IT REQUIRE AN	
24 AMENDMENT TO THE BUDGET TO DEAL WITH THOSE CHANGES	?
25 DR. MARKS: NO. SO WHAT WE DID IS WE HA	D
145	

1	SOME PRELIMINARY DATA AS WE WERE PREPARING THE
2	BUDGET AND MADE CERTAIN ASSUMPTIONS. SO THOSE
3	ASSUMPTIONS TEND TO BE VALID, AND THE NUMBER THAT
4	WAS PUT FORTH FOR THE PERSONNEL INCREASE IN THE
5	CURRENT BUDGET WILL NOT CHANGE.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. BARRY.
7	DR. SELICK: THANKS, J.T. KEVIN, JUST A
8	FOLLOW-ON FROM THE POINT THAT YSABEL WAS MAKING. AM
9	I CORRECT IN ASSUMING THAT THERE MUST BE A
10	COMPENSATION SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE BOARD THAT DOES
11	ACTUALLY REVIEW THE SALARIES OF THE INDIVIDUAL
12	MEMBERS OF THE TEAM?
13	DR. MARKS: SO THERE'S CURRENTLY NOT A
14	COMPENSATION SUBCOMMITTEE. I BELIEVE, MARIA
15	BONNEVILLE, PLEASE CORRECT ME, THAT THERE USED TO BE
16	A COMPENSATION SUBCOMMITTEE. THAT TASK HAS BEEN
17	ABSORBED INTO THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUST A CORRECTION. THERE
19	WAS NO BOARD SUBCOMMITTEE, COMPENSATION
20	SUBCOMMITTEE. THERE WAS AN INTERNAL, INFORMAL
21	MEETING OF THE CHAIR AND THE PRESIDENT, AND THEY
22	WOULD REVIEW SALARIES TOGETHER. BUT IT WAS NOT A
23	SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE BOARD, JUST AS A CLARIFICATION.
24	DR. SELICK: JUST AS A SUGGESTION, A NICE
25	COMPROMISE MIGHT BE TO FORM A SMALL SUBCOMMITTEE OF
	146

1	THE BOARD SIMPLY BECAUSE THE RANGES, KEVIN, THAT YOU
2	PRESENTED ARE QUITE BROAD. AND IT MAY HELP TO HAVE
3	KIND OF A PSEUDO INDEPENDENT LOOK AT THE ACTUAL
4	SALARIES WITHIN THE RANGES JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT
5	THERE'S NOT SOME SORT OF UNCONSCIOUS BIAS, THAT SOME
6	PEOPLE TEND TO BE LOCATED TOWARDS THE LOWER END OF
7	THE RANGE AND OTHERS TEND TO BE IN THE UPPER END OF
8	THE RANGE. AND AN INDEPENDENT SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE
9	BOARD COULD BE USEFUL IN HELPING SORT THAT OUT.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU FOR
11	THAT SUGGESTION, BARRY. WE'LL DISCUSS THAT.
12	ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE
13	BOARD? ANY COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: I DO NOT SEE ANY.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HEARING NONE, MARIA,
16	WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
18	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED ABOUSALEM.
20	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
22	DR. BARRETT: AYE.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
24	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL BOTCHAN. LINDA
	147
	÷ • •

1	BOXER.
2	DR. BOXER: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
4	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
6	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
8	MS. DURON: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
10	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
12	DR. FISHER: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS.
14	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
16	DR. GASSON: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
18	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
20	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
22	MR. LAJARA: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
24	DR. LEVITT: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
	148
	170 170

	,
1	DR. LO: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
3	DR. MALKAS: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED. CHRISTINE
5	MIASKOWSKI. LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
6	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
8	DR. PADILLA: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
10	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: BARRY SELICK.
12	DR. SELICK: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
14	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS. JONATHAN
16	THOMAS.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
19	MR. TORRES: AYE.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
21	DR. VUORI: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA. THANK
24	YOU, KEVIN AND TEAM, FOR ALL YOUR HARD WORK. WE
25	LOOK FORWARD TO COMPLETING THE TOPIC WHEN WE GET THE
	149
	±13

1	DATA FOR THE LEVELS 9 AND 10, AS YOU SAY, IN THE
2	NEXT FEW WEEKS.
3	I'M GOING TO CIRCLE BACK. WE HAVE ONE
4	CONSENT ITEM WHICH WAS THE APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES
5	FOR THE JUNE 23D ARS AND THE JUNE 27TH ICOC. DOES
6	ANYBODY WANT TO TAKE THAT ITEM OUT OF THE CONSENT
7	AGENDA? HEARING NONE, DO WE HAVE A MOTION TO
8	APPROVE?
9	DR. ABOUSALEM: I MAKE THE MOTION.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MOHAMMED. IS
11	THERE A SECOND?
12	DR. HIGGINS: SECOND.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS OR
14	COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? ANY PUBLIC
15	COMMENT?
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE
18	CALL THE ROLL.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: SURE. HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
20	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOHAMMED ABOUSALEM.
22	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: KIM BARRETT.
24	DR. BARRETT: AYE.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
	150

	,,,
1	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
3	DR. BOXER: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
5	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
7	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
9	MS. DURON: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
11	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
13	DR. FISHER: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS.
15	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
17	DR. GASSON: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
19	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
21	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
23	MR. LAJARA: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
25	DR. LEVITT: YES.
	1 - 1
	151

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
2	DR. LO: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
4	DR. MALKAS: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED. CHRISTINE
6	MIASKOWSKI. LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
7	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
9	DR. PADILLA: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
11	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: BARRY SELICK.
13	DR. SELICK: ABSTAIN. I WASN'T AT THE
14	MEETING.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
16	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS. JONATHAN
18	THOMAS.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
21	MR. TORRES: AYE.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
23	DR. VUORI: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA. THAT
	152
	152

1	CONCLUDES THE ACTION ITEM AGENDA. WE ARE NOW INTO
2	GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT. ARE THERE ANY GENERAL
3	PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ANY TOPICS OF INTEREST?
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: I HAVE GO AHEAD,
5	DAVID.
6	DR. HIGGINS: MARIA, I WANTED TO ASK A
7	QUICK QUESTION. HOW IS THE NEW FACILITY COMING
8	ALONG? JUST CURIOUS, JUST A CURIOSITY QUESTION.
9	HOW'S THE NEW SPACE?
10	DR. MILLAN: THAT'S FOR ME, MARIA.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: I CAN'T ANSWER THAT.
12	DR. MILLAN: I'M GOING TO DEFLECT THIS TO
13	KEVIN MARKS WHO'S ALSO IN CHARGE OF OUR FACILITIES
14	PLANNING. KEVIN.
15	DR. MARKS: I DO APOLOGIZE. I WAS DOING
16	SOME INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS HERE, AND I MISSED THE
17	QUESTION. SO CAN YOU PLEASE REPEAT?
18	DR. HIGGINS: HOW ARE THINGS GOING WITH
19	THE MOVE?
20	DR. MARKS: GOOD QUESTION. THANKS FOR
21	THAT. THEY ARE MOVING ALONG. WE HAVE AN IN-DEPTH
22	PROJECT TEAM NOW WITH RESPECT TO TGS INTERNAL STAFF.
23	OUR CONTRACTORS ARE ON BOARD. I HAVE A MEETING
24	ACTUALLY THIS AFTERNOON TO MORE CLEARLY UNDERSTAND
25	AND GET A COMPLETE ARTICULATION OF OUR TIMELINE.
	153

1	BUT, AGAIN, WE CONTINUE TO MOVE FORWARD.
2	IN THE INTERIM WHAT WE'VE DONE, HOWEVER,
3	IS CREATED MORE TEMPORARY SPACE ALMOST THROUGHOUT
4	THE BAY AREA. SO WE HAVE TEMPORARY WORK SPACES
5	RESERVED IN OAKLAND. I'M CURRENTLY SITTING WITH
6	MARIANNE AND BEN HERE IN GATEWAY COMPLEX IN WHAT'S
7	CALLED THE LIGHTHOUSE. AND WE HAVE SPACE RESERVED
8	ON A WEEKLY BASIS THERE FOR EMPLOYEE GROUPS TO GET
9	TOGETHER AND MEET. WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO IS
10	PHASE IN OUR RETURN TO OFFICE SO IT'S NOT JUST AN
11	ABRUPTNESS AND GET PEOPLE USED TO ACTUALLY COMING IN
12	AND WORKING WITH EACH OTHER ON A MORE REGULAR BASIS.
13	SO WE'LL CONTINUE IN THAT STRUCTURE UNTIL OUR
14	BUILD-OUT IS COMPLETE.
15	WE DID HAVE PLANS FOR PARTIAL OCCUPANCY OF
16	THE FLOOR WHILE CONSTRUCTION WAS STILL TAKING PLACE.
17	WE'RE STILL IN CONVERSATIONS WITH THE LANDLORD
18	AROUND THAT, AND WE'RE HOPING THAT STILL IS A
19	FEASIBLE OPTION. IT'S LOOKING A BIT MORE DOUBTFUL
20	WITH THAT FROM A RISK PERSPECTIVE, BUT WE ARE DOING
21	EVERYTHING TO ENSURE THAT WE ARE BRINGING EMPLOYEES
22	TOGETHER MORE FREQUENTLY, BUILDING THAT
23	COLLABORATIVE WORK ENVIRONMENT UNTIL THE OFFICE IS
24	COMPLETE. PROBABLY MORE THAN YOU WANTED TO KNOW,
25	BUT HERE YOU ARE.

	- ,
1	DR. HIGGINS: THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY
2	MUCH. APPRECIATE THAT.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA, ANY PUBLIC
4	COMMENT?
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: I HAVE TWO NOTES TO
6	SHARE. SO THE FIRST ONE IS FROM DON REED. "ICOC
7	PUBLIC COMMENT. AS AN INVOLVED MEMBER OF THE
8	PUBLIC, I NATURALLY SUPPORT THE GREAT WORK BEING
9	DONE BY THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE
10	MEDICINE. ACCORDINGLY, I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE
11	RECENT RETURN OF THE PERSONHOOD MOVEMENT WHICH
12	DIRECTLY THREATENS EMBRYONIC STEM CELL RESEARCH.
13	PERSONHOOD WAS DEFINED AS A FERTILIZED EGG OR
14	BLASTOCYTE AS A FULL HUMAN BEING UNDER LAW.
15	"OPPONENTS HAVE OFTEN STATED THEIR INTENT
16	TO CRIMINALIZE EMBRYONIC STEM CELL RESEARCH DIRECTLY
17	OR INDIRECTLY. A RECENT FEDERAL BILL, THE LIVELY
18	CONCEPTION ACT, WOULD, 'EXTEND TO EMBRYOS
19	CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO LIFE BEGINNING AT THE MOMENT
20	OF FERTILIZATION THIS BILL HAS BEEN READ TWICE AND
21	REFERRED TO THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. IT HAS 164
22	CO-SPONSORS IN THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.
23	"THAT BILL DOES NOT MENTION STEM CELL
24	RESEARCH DIRECTLY, BUT IT DOES NOT HAVE TO. IF A
25	FERTILIZED EGG IS DECLARED A HUMAN BEING WITH ALL
	155

1	RIGHTS AND PROTECTIONS, RESEARCH INVOLVING
2	BLASTOCYTES MUST BE CONSIDERED AT RISK.
3	"IT IS TO BE HOPED THAT CALIFORNIA'S RIGHT
4	TO RESEARCH IS SAFE ENSCONCED AS IT IS WITHIN OUR
5	STATE'S CONSTITUTION. BUT I ALSO REMEMBER WHEN CIRM
6	WAS SUED BY AN ALLEGED EMBRYO TITLED JANE DOE. THAT
7	SO-CALLED INDIVIDUAL WAS FOUND BY THE JUDGE TO HAVE
8	NO STANDING IN THE CASE, BUT WE LIVE IN DIFFERENT
9	TIMES TODAY.
10	"I REALIZE THAT CIRM ITSELF AS A STATE
11	AGENCY CAN TAKE NO POLITICAL ACTION; HOWEVER,
12	EVERYONE HERE IS AN INDIVIDUAL AT THE TOP OF YOUR
13	FIELD AND WITH CIRCLES OF INFLUENCE. IT MIGHT BE
14	IMPORTANT TO ACQUAINT YOURSELF WITH AN ISSUE WHICH
15	IN MY OPINION THREATENS THE RESEARCH WE ALL SUPPORT.
16	THANK YOU. DON REED."
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, DON. I'M
18	SURE YOU'RE LISTENING, NOT JUST FOR THIS VERY
19	IMPORTANT COMMENT, BUT FOR YOUR INCREDIBLE SUPPORT
20	THROUGHOUT THE YEARS. YOU'VE BEEN OUR STAUNCHEST
21	FAN OUT THERE, AND WE SO APPRECIATE YOUR ATTENTION
22	AND ALL THE GREAT POINTS YOU'VE MADE. SO THANK YOU
23	VERY MUCH FOR THAT.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: WE HAVE ONE MORE. IT'S
25	FROM DAVID JENSEN. "WHEN DOES CIRM INTEND TO BEGIN
	156

1	TO ADDRESS PUBLICLY THE ETHICAL, FINANCIAL, AND
2	OTHER ISSUES RELATED TO THE ORCHARD THERAPEUTICS
3	ABANDONMENT OF THE ADA-SCID TRIAL THAT WAS BEING
4	FINANCED BY CIRM? IT SEEMS THAT THIS SITUATION
5	COULD EASILY OCCUR AGAIN GIVEN THE NATURE OF THE
6	SO-CALLED ONE AND DONE TREATMENTS FOR RARE
7	DISEASES."
8	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO IT'S GENERALLY OUR
9	POLICY NOT TO ENGAGE IN Q & A IN PUBLIC COMMENT, BUT
10	I AM GOING ON THIS PARTICULAR MATTER TO ASK KEVIN
11	MARKS IF HE WOULD RESPOND TO THAT QUESTION.
12	DR. MARKS: SURE. THANK YOU, MR.
13	CHAIRMAN. THIS PARTICULAR SITUATION, I THINK, IS
14	ONE IN WHICH IT DEMONSTRATES THE INDIVIDUAL
15	CIRCUMSTANCES OF EACH OF THE OPPORTUNITIES THAT GET
16	PRESENTED TO US. THIS WAS A SITUATION WHERE ORCHARD
17	DECIDED TO DISCONTINUE A DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM IN
18	RELATION TO A THERAPY THAT HAD BEEN FUNDED AND
19	CREATED AT UCLA AND OUT-LICENSED TO ORCHARD.
20	I THINK IN THIS PARTICULAR SITUATION, WHAT
21	WE HAD AT OUR DISPOSAL WAS THE ABILITY TO WORK WITH
22	ORCHARD AND UCLA TO GET THE THERAPY RETURNED TO UCLA
23	AND REINSERTED INTO A CLINICAL TRIAL SO THAT WE CAN
24	CONTINUE OR ASSIST UCLA IN THE CONTINUANCE OF THE
25	AVAILABILITY OF THIS THERAPY.

1	I THINK IT'S VERY DIFFICULT FOR US IN
2	DEVELOPING A SORT OF PUBLIC PRONOUNCEMENT OF WHAT WE
3	WILL DO IN EACH AND EVERY SITUATION BECAUSE, AGAIN,
4	EVERYTHING IS UNIQUE. WE HAVE VARIOUS TOOLS AT OUR
5	DISPOSAL, ONE OF WHICH IS MARCH-IN RIGHTS IN
6	PARTICULAR SITUATIONS. BUT I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT
7	THAT WE JUST HAVE TO ENSURE THAT, WHEN WE SEE VIABLE
8	OPPORTUNITIES AND VIABLE THERAPIES THAT POTENTIALLY
9	DON'T HAVE COMMERCIAL OPPORTUNITIES AND ARE GOING TO
10	BE ABANDONED BY OUR PARTNERS, TO ENSURE THAT THEY
11	HAVE ANOTHER PATHWAY BACK.
12	AGAIN, WE HAVE TO LOOK AT EACH SITUATION
13	TO DETERMINE WHAT THAT VIABLE PATHWAY IS AND HOW DO
14	WE CONTINUE THE ADVANCEMENT OF THESE THERAPIES. SO
15	REALLY IT'S UP TO THE BOARD TO DECIDE WHETHER IT
16	WANTS TO LOOK AND SEE IF WE CAN DEVELOP OVERALL
17	STANDARDS. WHERE I SIT HERE, AGAIN, I THINK IT'S
18	ALL DEPENDING ON THE INDIVIDUAL CIRCUMSTANCES OF
19	EACH OF THE OPPORTUNITIES.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU, KEVIN,
21	FOR THAT ANSWER.
22	MARIA, ANY OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT?
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO, OTHER THAN A REMINDER
24	THAT WE HAVE AN APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE ON
25	AUGUST 30TH FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE MEMBERS AND FOR
	158

1	OTHER BOARD MEMBERS TOO IF THEY JUST WANT TO LISTEN
2	IN.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: AND THE NEXT FULL BOARD
4	MEETING, I BELIEVE, IS SEPTEMBER 29.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: OKAY. THAT SOUNDS GOOD.
6	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: YES.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES. THANK YOU.
8	AND LASTLY, WHILE WE'RE IN THE COMMENT
9	PERIOD, I WONDERED IF AL, KRISTINA, OR ANYBODY ELSE
10	WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON NATIONAL LEAGUE WEST
11	STANDINGS AT THIS POINT?
12	MR. ROWLETT: I OBJECT TO THE CHAIR'S
13	ASSERTION THERE AND WOULD RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT
14	HE RECUSE HIMSELF FROM ANY OTHER COMMENTS ABOUT
15	MAJOR LEAGUE BASEBALL.
16	DR. VUORI: I FULLY SUPPORT WHAT AL JUST
17	SAID ON BEHALF OF EVERYBODY IN SAN DIEGO. I THINK
18	THAT'S ONLY FAIR.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WELL, THANK YOU. ON
20	THAT NOTE, EVERYBODY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR
21	DR. FISHER: I'D KIND OF LIKE TO HEAR MORE
22	OF WHAT THE CHAIR IS THINKING.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WELL, FRED, YOU'RE IN
24	LOS ANGELES. YOU'RE ON MY SIDE.
25	MR. TORRES: OFFLINE. OFFLINE. THANK
	159

1	YOU.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: MANY THANKS TO MARIANNE
3	AND DOUG FOR ALL THEIR HELP TODAY. SO I APPRECIATE
4	IT.
5	MR. TORRES: HERE. HERE.
6	CHAIRPERSON DURON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
7	THANK YOU TO EVERYBODY FOR A VERY PRODUCTIVE
8	MEETING.
9	MR. TORRES: KEVIN, BE SURE TO RECYCLE AND
10	COMPOST.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. WE WILL SEE
12	EVERYBODY IN THE EITHER AUGUST OR SEPTEMBER FULL
13	BOARD MEETING. WITH THAT, WE STAND ADJOURNED.
14	THANK YOU.
15	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 12:36
16	P.M.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	160

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JULY 28, 2022, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR-7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-354

161